## CHAPTER 4

# BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS OF TULSHI PROJECT

## 4.1 SIGNIFICANCE OF BENEFIT-COST RATIO

Since 1951, Indian Government has accepted the policy of agricultural development through the irrigation development. Hence, irrigation has been assigned a high priority in investment decisions. It is necessary to ensure that being gainfully spent because capital this investment is resources are limited. Due to scarcity of capital, the government selects such irrigation projects whose capital value is less than its benefits. Different criteria are used for the purpose of selecting viable schemes of irrigation. Benefit-Cost Ratio is one of the techniques so used to judge the economic feasbility of the project.

Benefit-Cost Ratio is the ratio between present worth of Benefits and Costs.

Benefit - Cost Ratio =  $\frac{PW_B}{PW_C}$ 

where  $PW_B$  is the present worth of benefits and  $PW_C$  is the present worth of costs. Present worth of benefits and costs is calculated as below:

$$PW_{B} = \sum_{t=1}^{N} (P/F, i, t) \times B_{t}$$

where,

N - Number of years

P/F - Present worth factor,



i - Internal rate of return (%)
t - time period
B<sub>t</sub> - Benefit
C<sub>t</sub> - Cost

This method is very useful for economic evaluation of projects. If Benefit-Cost Ratio is greater than one, then the project is said to be economically feasible. Projects can be ranked according to the magnitude of their Benefit-Cost Ratio. Higher the Benefit-Cost Ratio, greater the priority. The project having maximum Benefit-Cost Ratio is selected from amongst different alternative projects under considerations.

The Second Irrigation Commission (1972) endorsed the use of Benefit-Cost Ratio as the appropriate criterion for judging the economic soundness of an irrigation project and recommended that any irrigation project with a Benefit-Cost Ratio greater than 1.5 should be an acceptable proposition. The Commission further recommends that a lower limit of 1.0 for Benefit-Cost Ratio should be acceptable for irrigation projects in the drought-prone and tribal areas. The lower limit in drought-prone areas is to cover additional costs involved in protective irrigation. The Commission also suggested a format for calculation of Benefit-Cost Ratio.

The annual benefits are calculated from the yield of the various crops due to irrigation in the command area. For this purpose, the details are taken from the agricultural

50

department about yield from the crops due to irrigation in the command area and the net benefits. Deduction of the existing produce is made, all other benefits are added and the annual net benefits are worked out. For this purpose, the annual cost of the project is worked out by considering simple interest capital cost, other costs on lift irrigation schemes, on maintenance and establiishment are added and annual cost of the project is worked out. The ratio of annual benefit and annual costs known briefly as B-C Ratio is thus calculated. It is expected that the value should be greater than 1.5, if the said project is to become feasible and consequently, get sanction for construction.

In this light, the Benefit-Cost analysis of Tulshi Irrigation Project is done in the following pages.

## 4.2 BENEFIT-COST RATIO OF TULSHI PROJECT

The criteria recommended by the Second Irrigation Commission for calculation of the Benefit-Cost Ratio (B-C Ratio) is now employed for the Tulshi Irrigation Project. It is not worthwhile to calculate the ratio for the year immediately after the completion of the project as some time needs to be elapsed for necessary readjustments and stabilisation of the changes. Hence, the calculations here have been done for the year 1984-85, that is, after a lapse of about 6 years from the completion of the original project.

Sr. No.	Crops		3010	NGE SK	i Ti'ni	FROM THE	ECROP						ISOO	3HL 30	CROP					Net Benefit
		rield 2t/na	l Rate Rs/Qt	Value	For For GIOW Col	a کروں کے اور مرکز کروں	Podder Podder a Recelat 25% for Rabi Sower Sower 10% to Rabi Whe Fat 15%	Imple ments charges 03% of Col.7	Total Bene- fit per ha.	Seed	Ferti- lizer cost.	Total of Col.No (11+12)	Add Fodder Expt. Col.7	Limple Ment charg es 63 es 63 cof Col.7	Labour charges \$2% of Col. 7.	Cost pesti des.	charges charges	Intere work- work- ling ling ling ling for col. for con for con	Potal Potal Sol.	Col. (10-20)
н	3	m	4	ŝ	9	7	- aug 8	6	10	Ħ	12	E	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
	Sugar	1500	22_00		3300	00162		 168	30591	2500	3430	0263	2970	168	5940	460	750	3422	20363	10228
<b>5</b> .	Vegetables**	262.5	175.00	) 4580C	3 4580	41,220	I	1236	42456	125	260	385	4122	1200	8,244	34	50	650	14688	27768
	Paddy (HYU)	ß	202.Q	0 1010	י פ	00TÓT	-1,515	303	11913	120	8	1,020	1,010	303	2020	380	ያ	225	5007	9069
4.	Pulses (HYV)	10	419 <b>.</b> 0		ı R	4890	)	147	5036	275	505	780	487	147	978	125	75	185	2662	2259
5.	Wheat	20	230 <b>.</b> 0	3 460	X 460	4140	414	124	4698	300	375	675	414	124	828	200	75	100	2417	2,261
6.	Paddy (Hot Weather)	<b>4</b>	202.0	808	۱ ک	0808	1212	242	9534	120	800	1,020	808	242	1616	380	<b>S</b>	198	4339	5195
7.	Onton	260	68.75	5 1787	5 178.	7 16,088	I	482	16570	800	1468	2268	1,608	482	3216	65	75	339	3053	8517
<b>.</b>	Maize	25	175.00	(437.	5 43.	7 3938	I	118	4056	8	212	272	393	118	786	ï	20	61	1690	2,376
	NOTE: * F.H.	а. С.	Farm F	Harre	st P	rice.									       					

52

\*\* Average figures taken for various vegetables and pulses. Source: Excess Note of Tulshi Irrigation Project

.

TABLE - 4.1

To begin with, estimates of the net value of irrigated produce per hectare for the command area are made. Details of calculations are given in Table 4.1. These values are worked out by the Agricultural Department of the State Government and hence, are adopted as they are. Table 4.1 presents details in three parts: (i) gross benefit from the crop; (ii) cost of production of the crop, and (iii) net benefit accruable from each crop per hectare. Cropwise gross benefit, total expenditure and net benefit are given in columns 10, 20 and 21, respectively.

As a second step, these calculations per hectare are used as basis for converting the figures for the estimated command area of 4,720 hectares, through an intervening step of total benefit from different crops per 1,000 hectares. The intervening step can be seen in Table 4.2.

#### Table 4.2

Net value of irrigated produce from Tulshi Project per 1,000 hectares of irrigable area

Sr. No.	Сгор	% area of crop	Area (ha.)	Net bene fit per	Total bene- fits for
			L	Hectare	1000 ha.
				KS.	KS.
1	Sugarcane	33	330	10,228	33,75,240
2	Vegetables	5	50	27,768	13,88,400
3	Paddy (HY)	50	500	6,906	34,53,000
4	Pulses (HY)	12	120	2,259	2,71,080
5	Wheat*	30	300	2,261	6,78,300
6	Paddy* (HW)	20	200	5,195	10,39,000
7	Onion*	4	40	8,517	3,40,680
8	Maize*	5	50	2,376	1,18,800
				Total	106,64,500

Source: Tulshi Irrigation Project Report, 1987.

Note: \*Follow on crops.

53

- mi
•
41
- 1
ଲା ।
ЪI
μ
<b>~</b> I
E+I

TRRTGATION accasa ļ BENEFITS AVAILABLE FROM

	-					5	4
Net	col. (10-20)	7	2324	954	1600	954	9 <u>8</u> 6
	Total Spe- nditu- re Col	50	1,489	532	086	537	2148
	Inte rest work- ing Captil Captil 13tol8 13tol8 13tol8 13tol8	crop 19	8	24	100	33	63
	irri gation charge	18 d	1	ł	ł	I v	I
	Cost of des.	17	180	45	ł	45	45
	Labour darge e20% of Co.7	16	346	252	436	252	808
CROPS	Imple ment charg es83 of Col.7	15	6	37	65	37	16
F THE	Add Frodder Expt. 10%of Col.7	14	323	126	218	126	304
OST 0	Total of (11+12) (11+12)	13	526	<b>4</b> 8	160	48	1,007
	Ferti izer cost	21	406	i	100	f	337
	Seed cost. 1	Ħ	120	48	8	<b>4</b> 8	670
	Total Bene- fit per ha.	10	3813	1488	2580	1493	3134
	Limple ments Col.7 Col.7	6	8	37	65	37	16
e e	Podder Receil At258 At258 For Rabi Sower 10% to Rabi	lawars Pady - 8	484	189	318	189	I
THE CRO	Price.	2	3232	1260	2187	1265	3043
T FROM	Deduct For GIOS 5 Col	10	I	I	ı	I	338
BENEFI	Value	5	3232	1260	2182	1265	<b>3</b> 381
CROSS	Rate Rs/Qt	4	202	210	175	2.30	485
	rield in Qt/ha	m	16	9	12.5	5.5	7
Crops			Paddy	Jawar	Maize	What	Gram
Sr No		             	1.	ч.	<b>3</b> .	4.	<b>۵</b>

<u>NOTE:</u> F.H.P. = Fram Harvest Price.

SOURCE: Excess Note of Tulshi Project

.

Thus, as per Table 4.2, total benefit accruable per 1,000 hectares is Rs.106.65 lakhs. Therefore, for 4,720 hectares of the command area, it comes to Rs.503.39 lakhs by the following calculation:

 $\frac{4720}{1000} \times 106.65 = 503.39$ 

This is the net value of irrigated produce for 4,720 hectares.

As a further step, it is necessary to deduct from this net value, the value of 'existing produce' to arrive at the figure of the net addition to the crop value due to the availability of irrigation facility from the project. Here the term 'existing' produce connotes the produce before irrigation.

In this context, per hectare net benefit that was available before irrigation facility, is estimated in Table 4.3 by following the procedure adopted by the Agricultural Department on the State Government. Cropwise gross benefit, total expenditure and net benefit are given in columns 10, 20 and 21, respectively. Then, these calculations are used to estimate the net value of the 'existing produce', that is, produce before irrigation for 4,720 hectares. Table 4.4 presents the relevant details.

Thus, as per Table 4.4, the benefit accruable per 1,000 hectares is Rs.25.75 lakhs. Therefore, for 4,720 hectares of the command area, it comes to Rs.121.54 lakhs by the following calculation:

MARR. BALASANEB KHARDERAR LIBHAR

## Table 4.4

Net value of the agricultural produce before irrigation in the Tulshi Project command area (for 1,000 ha.)

Sr. No.	Сгор	% area of crop	Area (ha.)	Net bene fit per Hectare	Total bene- fits for 1,000 ha.
				Rs.	Rs.
1	Paddy	38.60	386.00	2,324	8,97,064
2	Jowar	3.25	32.50	954	31,005
3	Sugarcane	16.42	164.20	8,628	14,16,718
4	Food Crops	17.92	179.20	588	1,05,370
5	Grass	19.86	198.60	100	19,860
6	Maize	3.63	36.30	1,600	58,080
7	Wheat*	0.43	4.30	954	4,102
8	Gram*	4.33	43.30	986	42,694
				Total	25,74,893
				Say Rs.2	5.75 Lakhs

Note: \*Follow on crops

Source: Tulshi Irrigation Project Report, 1987.

 $\frac{4720}{1000} \quad X \quad 25.75 \quad = \quad 121.54$ 

This is the net value of the agricultural produce before irrigation for 4,720 hectares.

The net agricultural produce due to irrigation is Rs.503.39 lakhs - Rs.121.54 lakhs = Rs.381.85 lakhs.

Now, in the light of these results, actual B-C Ratio of the Tulshi Project is estimated, the details of which follow in Table 4.5. The estimates are for the year 1984-85.



As per the calculation, the B-C ratio has been well high at 2.53. As is said earlier, the rock-bottom minimum ratio for any irigation project is unity. However, for lauching any project, the minimum expected ratio is 1.5. Any higher ratio than this would strengthen the case of the project for execution. The Tulshi Project provides a high margin from the expected minimuum so that there is no doubt that in the long run, the project would continue to be economically viable. One important reason of this viability is that the planners have made it a low cost proposition by avoiding canal network and poroviding for lift irrigation through Kolhapur-type weirs. In fact, the topography of the river basin itself has compelled the planners to frame the scheme in this manner. The entire river basin is a hilly tract so that development of canal irrigation for a wider command area is well-nigh impossible.

A point worth noting. The method of estimating B-C Ratio as given in Table 4.5 is the officially accepted one by the Government of India and evaluations of all the projects in the country are done on the same lines.

## 4.3 ALTERNATIVE METHOD OF ESTIMATING BENEFIT-COST RATIO

The experts from Water and Land Management Institute (WALMI), Aurangabad, have studied a number of irrigation projects for guaging their impact on agricultural and economic development of the region. They have proposed an alternative method to calculate the Benefit-Cost Ratio, which

### Table 4.5

Benefit-Cost Ratio of Tulshi Project (1984-85)

			(Rs.in Lakhs)
(A)	BENE	FITS	
	(i)	Net value of irrigable produces for 4,720 hectares	503.39
	(ii)	deduct Value of existing produce	<u>121.54</u> 381.85
	(iii)	add benefits due to (a) Pisciculture (b) Drinking water	1.34 8.25
		Net Annual Benefit	391.44

## (B) CAPITAL COST

Capital cost of the project is capital cost of dam plus capital cost of lift irrigation schemes. Capital cost = 949.19 + 230.51 = 1,179.70ANNUAL COST (i) Annual cost of the project (by considering simple interest at 10 percent on capital cost) 117.97 (ii) Depreciation value at 2 percent on capital cost 23.59 (iii) Energy charges on lift irrigation schemes 4.19 (iv) Maintenance cost 7.64 (v) Establishment cost 1.17 Net Annual Cost 154.56 Annual benefit .'. B - C Ratio = Annual Cost 391.44  $=\frac{154.56}{154.56}$ = 2.53

may be called as "Net Present Worth Method". Therefore, by using net worth of benefits as also costs, the Benefit-Cost Ratio of Tulshi Irrigation Project is calculated. The expenditure made on the project from 1965 to 1990 and the agricultural returns after storing the water in the dam are used to calculate the B-C Ratio. Agricultural returns are calculated on the basis of the agricultural produce, which is given by the Agricultural Department for Tulshi command area. Relevant details of the official data and further calculations are presented in Table 4.6. As per the suggested alternative method,

B - C Ratio = 
$$\frac{PW_B}{PW_C}$$

Net Present Worth =  $PW_B - PW_C$ 

Using the totals arrived at in Table 4.6, the B-C Ratio and the Net Present Worth of the Tulshi Project are calculated as under:

Benefit-Cost Ratio = 
$$\frac{PW_B}{PW_C}$$
  
=  $\frac{443.32}{207.76}$   
= 2.13  
Net Present Worth =  $PW_B - PW_C$   
= 443.32 - 207.76 = 235.56

As regards the B-C ratio, by applying the "Net Present Worth Method", it is found to be 2.13, which is marginally different from the figure of 2.53 arrived at by using

Economic	evaluation	of	Tulshi	Irrigation	Project	by
	Net Pre	sen	it Worth	Method		

Table 4.6

		<b>.</b>	r		(Rs.	in Lakhs)
Vear	Expen-	Baturn		Prese	nt Worth I	@12%
, eai	diture	neturn	0.1.	Cost	Benefit	Benefits
40.05	0.00		0.0000			<b>.</b>
1965-66	0.23	-	0.8929	0.20	-	- 0.20
1966-67	3.47	-	0.7922	2.73	-	- 2.73
1967-68	3.00	-	0.7118	2.13	-	- 2.13
1968-69	8.00	-	0.6355	5.08	-	- 5.08
1969-70	9.57	-	0.5674	5.43	-	- 5.43
1970-71	11.62	-	0.5066	5.88	-	- 5.88
1971-72	24.42	-	0.4523	11.045	-	-11.045
1972-73	52.09	-	0.4039	21.04	-	-21.04
1973-74	82.26	-	0.3606	29.66	-	-29.66
1974-75	84.89	-	0.3220	27.33	-	-27.33
1975-76	106.71	-	0.2875	30.70	-	-30.70
1976-77	136.01	-	0.2567	34.91	-	-34.91
1977-78	55.19	-	0.2292	12.65	-	-12.65
1978-79	21.21	61.16	0.2046	4.34	12.51	+ 8.17
1979-80	12.83	178.35	0.1827	2.34	32.58	+30.24
1980-81	5.05	222.03	0.1631	0.82	36.21	+35.39
1981-82	5.55	221.67	0.1456	0.81	32.27	+31.46
1982-83	12,99	284.56	0.1300	1.69	36.99	+35.30
1983-84	17.38	328.77	0.1161	2.01	38.17	+36.16
1984-85	15.25	391.44	0.1037	1.56	40.59	+39.01
1985-86	11.61	455.60	0.0926	1.08	42.18	+41.10
1986-87	17,56	544.30	0.0826	1.45	44.95	+43,50
<b>19</b> 87-88	10.15	535.94	0.0738	0.75	39.55	+38.80
1988-89	18.91	660.57	0.0659	1.25	43.53	+42.28
<b>19</b> 89-90	14.69	744.75	0.0588	0,86	43,79	+42 93
						• - 1 Eu & J V
Total	723.26	-	-	207.76	443.32	235.56

D.F. : Discount Factor.

Source: Compiled on the basis of data collected from the Agricultural Department of the Tulshi Irrigation Project. .

the conventional method. Therefore, the alternative method too reinforces the inference that the Tulshi Project is an economically viable proposition. The estimated net present worth of Rs.235.56 lakhs speaks for the soundness of the project.

..00000..