,

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF STATE EXCISES

~

CHAPTER-III

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF STATE EXCISES

3.1 Introduction :

In Maharashtra rules and regulations made under Bombay Prohibition Act, 1949 provide for the levy, collection and administration of excise duties and prohibition in Maharashtra. In the subsequent period the Act of 1949 has been subjected to frequent amendments necessitated by the changing policy of the Government. Rates of excise duties have undergone manyy changes. These rates are specific in nature. They are related to the per gallon of different types and qualities of liquors. It is interesting to note that rates of excises seem to change with the location of liquor production, mainly with reference to different districts.

In this Chapter we give below empirical analysis of State excises for a period of 20 years i.e. 1961-62 to 1980-81.

3.2 <u>Revenue from State Excless</u> :

Table No. 3.1 gives data for the revenue from State excises in case of Maharashtra in comparison with All-States model for the period under study. On the basis of this table, following observations can be made :

1) Both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model the revenue from State excises upto 1970-71 has increased

-0 33 0-

gradually. In the next year, there seems to be a significant increase in both cases. After 1971-72 again there is an increasing trend in the revenue from State excises which, however, is greater in case of Maharashtra. In this respect we have to remember that relaxation in prohibition policy in a number of States became more and more pronounced and hence the sudden increase and subsequent growth in the revenue from State revenue.

2) The overall increase in the revenue from State excises is almost five times greater than All-States model. One explanation for this may be a greater relaxation in prohibition policy in Maharashtra. The second explanation is technical in that the base year figure for Maharashtra is very small relative to the All-States model.

3) The compound rate of annual growth of the revenue from State excise in Maharashtra is similarly much greater than in case of the All States model, again for the same reasons.

This table is a sufficient proof that revenue from State excises increases in a significant manner with pronounced relaxation in the prohibition policy.

-0 34 0-

TABLE No. 3.1

REVENUE FROM STATE EXCISES

	interies and the second s	
Year 	Maharashtra	All-States
1.	2.	3.
ӥҹӹ҂ӹ҂ӹҿӹѻӟ ^ѩ ӹѧӟ	「日本を行き行き行き行き行き行き行き行きです」	·該身後も対すはそはそれを残す其を推さ
1961-62	1,26	58.58
1962-63	1.47	62.81
1963-64	1.72	72.89
1964-65	3.05	84.54
1965-66	3.26	96 .37
1966-67	3.77	108.88
1967-68	4.15	130.56
1968-69	5.49	159.04
1969-70	7.26	173.57
1970-71	6.82	193,92
1971-72	7.89	233.82
1972-73	10.12	212.66
1973-74	25.16	353.70
1974 -7 5	29.36	387.30
1975-76	34.92	435,50
1976 - 77	40.99	504.80
1977-7 8	47.88	569.87
1978-79	53.75	583.00
1979-80	70.24	698.48
1980-81	88.70	824.28
Overall	7039.68	1407.10
Increase %		ት ፕሬዝ የ የ ፊትህ
CGR	25.1	14.9
Average	6 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	400 400 100 400 400 400 100

,

r

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins for various years.

-0 35 0-

3.3 Revenue Significance of State Excises :

Revenue significance of a tax can be expressed in any one of the following manners :

- 1) Percentage ratio of the revenue from a particular tax to the total revenue of the Goverrmental Unit concerned.
- Percentage ratio of revenue from the tax to the total tax revenue of the Governmental Unit concerned.
- 3) Percentage ratio of the revenue from the tax to the own tax revenue of the Governmental Unit concerned.

This ratio in a simple manner shows the importance of a State tax can be and should be measured with reference to States' own tax revenue.

3.3.1 Overall Revenue Significance :

Table No. 3.2-A gives data regarding the overall revenue significance of State excises. Column 4 and column 7 express these ratios for Maharashtra and the All-States' model respectively. It is evident that -

> In case of Maharashtra the revenue significance shows an increasing trend. But in case of All-States' model no trend is visible. In fact, the

over-all revenue significance of State excises fluctuates in a small range eround the average overall revenue significance which happens to be 5.44 percent.

- 2) In case of Maharashtra the compound rate of annual growth of revenue from State excises is much greater than in the case of the growth rate of revenue from State excises in the All-States' model.
- 3) In case of Maharashtra the compound rate of annual growth of the revenue from State excises is much greater than the rate of growth of total revenue. In case of the All-States' model the rates of growth of excise revenue and total revenue are almost very close to each other. But it cannot be neglected that the former is slightly smaller than the latter in case of All-States model.

TABLE No. 3.2-A

TOTAL REVENUE SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

/

(Rs. in crores)

	-					
Year	Excise	<u>Asharashtr</u> Total	2 25	Excise	<u>All-States</u> Total	5 88
	Revenue	Revenue	% of 3	Revenue	Revenue	% of 6
1.	2.			· •• •• •• •• •• 5.		7.
				-		
1961-62	1.26	116.77	1,08	58.58	1073-49	5.45
1962-63	1.47	145.93	1.01	62.81	1283.06	4.89
1963-64	1.72	183.61	0.94	72.89	1490.20	4.89
1964-65	3.05	198.43	1.54	84.54	1634.98	5.17
1965-66	3.26	221.45	1.47	96.37	1850.21	5.21
1965-67	3.77	265.70	1.42	109.68	2135.20	5.10
1967-68	4.15	293.14	1.42	130,56	2324.68	5.62
1968-69	5.49	345.49	1.59	159.04	2670.00	5.96
1969-70	7.26	377.03	1.93	173.57	3052.70	5.66
1970-71	6.82	431.14	1.58	193.92	3370.49	5.75
1971-72	7,89	494.61	1.60	233.82	4044.72	5.78
1972-73	10.12	592.54	1.71	212.66	4912.35	4.38
1973-74	25.16	771.04	3.26	353.70	5552.00	6.37
1974-75	29.36	850.67	3.45	387.30	6431.51	6.02
1975-76	34.92	1049.38	3.33	435,50	7938.16	5.49
1976-77	40.99	1204.83	3.40	504.80	9037.02	5.59
1977 -7 8	47.88	1290.20	3.71	569.87	9030.57	6.31
1978-79	53.75	1533.45	3.51	583.00	11646.69	5.01
1979-80	70.24	1794.33	3.91	698.48	13629.31	5.12
1980-81	88.70	2038.06	4.35	824.28	16293.30	5.06
1989 (2014) 1988 (2014) 1989 2014 - 1989 (2014) 1989 (2014)			a ana ang aga an	مرید میرو میرو میرو اور وارد میرو اور میرو اور وارد میرو	مری میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں میں می	adari dadi ulgar
Overall 7 increase %	048 98	1745.36	-	1407.10	1517.79	- date
CGR	25.1	16.2	1994 - 1996 - 1995 - 1995 1999	14.9	15.4	1990 (1990) (1990) 1990)
Average	7 4945 4959 4959 4955 4955 - 1955	۵۵۵ میں میں میں میں میں اور میں میں اور میں	2.31		*** *** *** *** *** *** *******	5.44

Source : For tax and total revenue figures R.B.I.Bulletins.

-0 38 0-

3.3.2 Own Revenue Significance :

Table No. 3.2-B gives information regarding own revenue significance of State excises. Revenue from State excises expressed as a percentage ratio of total own revenue measures the own revenue significance of the State excises. Column 4 and Column 7 of the table give these ratios for Maharashtra and All-States model respectively. In this case total own revenue of the States is equal to total revenue of the States minus tax and non tax transfers from the Centre to the State. On the basis of this data we can make following statements :

- In case of Maharashtra own revenue significance of State excises shows a rising trend. More interestingly it is seen that upto 1966-67 this ratio is about 1.66, then from 1967-68 to 1972-73 it is around 2.34 and in the remaining period it is around 4.05. It means that by periods, the own revenue significance of State excises in Maharashtra has made significant jumps.
- 2) In the case of All-States model, however, excepting the year 1973-74 (12.86%) the own revenue significance of State excises has fluctuated around - the overall average of 8.72%. In otherwords, in case of the All-States model the own revenue significance of the State Excises has remained more or less stable.

-0 39 0-

Even though the own revenue significance of State excises in Maharashtra has shown a rising tendency, for the whole period and yearwise, it is significantly less than the own revenue significance of State excises in case of the All-States model. This may be due to the relatively more efficient administration of State excises and prohibition policy even after large doses of relaxation in the prohibition policy in Maharashtra.

3) In case of Maharashtra revenue from State excises has increased much faster than the total own revenue. In case of All-States model both the revenue from State excises and total own revenue show the same rate of annual growth.

-0 40 0-

TABLE No. 3.2-B

OWN REVENUE SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

τ

(Rs. in crores)

year	***************************************	Maharashtra				第111章 第111章 第11章
10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 -	Excise Revenue	Total Own Revenue	2 as % of 3	Excise Revenue	Total Own Revenue	5 as % of 6
4000 MEX 4000 1940		40. 170 AB 410 AM	r 1894, 1486, 1424, 1886	nan and and an and	antar diga kiya dang dang '	
1961-62	1.26	89.85	1.40	58.58	699.70	8.37
1962-63	1.47	107.12	1.37	62.81	850.45	7.31
1963-64	1.72	140.54	1.22	72.89	1003.11	7.27
1964-65	3.05	150.76	2.02	84.54	1107.03	7.64
1965-66	3.26	165.93	1.96	96.37	1244.96	7.74
1966 - 67	3.77	194.52	1.94	108.88	1369.54	7.95
1967-68	4.15	207.79	2.00	130.56	1442.20	9.06
1968-69	5.49	255.74	2.15	159.04	1745.07	9.11
1969-70	7.26	276 .44	2.63	173.57	1847.86	9.39
1970-71	6.82	302.92	2.25	193.92	1980.01	9.79
1971-72	7.89	332.05	2.38	233.82	2661.71	8.78
1972-73	10.12	381.85	2.65	212.66	2438.36	8.72
1973-74	25.16	529.40	4.75	353.70	2750.26	12.86
1974-75	29.36	689.55	4.26	387.30	4081.41	9.49
1975-76	34.92	838.91	4.16	435.50	5120.54	8.50
1976 - 77	40.99	983.64	4.17	504.80	5852.05	8.63
1977-78	47.88	1034.37	4.63	569.87	6286.69	9.06
1978-79	53.75	1218.83	4.41	583.00	7421.17	7.86
1979-80	70.24	1373.84	5.11	698.48	8138.35	8.58
1980-81	88.70	1567.68	5.66	824.28	9881.82	8.34
Overall increase %		1744.77	9 006 909 408 409 909	1407.10	1412.29	
CGR	25.1	16.2	400 MB 400 50	14.9	14.9	4866 4989 1416 4115 5860
Average			3.06			8.72
		• ************************************		۵ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲	*** **********************************	14 0

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins. Note : Total Own Revenue - Total Revenue - Central Transfers. -0 41 0-

3.3.3 Own Tax Revenue Significance of State Excises :

Table No. 3.2-C gives information for Maharashtra and All-States model regarding the own tax revenue significance of State Excises (Column 4 and 7). The revenue significance of a State tax with reference to its own tax system given by the constitution is a more relevant concept from the point of view of the own tax policy of the Government. The own tax revenue significance of a tax is measured by the percentage ratio of the revenue from the tax to the total revenue from all the taxes under the jurisdiction of the State Governments. It is seen that most of the observations made in connection with Table No. 3.2-B are almost applicable in this case also. However, there is one significant difference. In this case revenue from State excises as before has increased at a faster rate than the rate of growth of total own tax revenue in case of Maharashtra. But unlike before, in case of the All-States model the rate of growth of revenue from State excises is less than the rate of growth of total own tax revenue. This may be considered as an indication that State excises at the all States level have reached a saturation point whereas in case of Maharashtra there remains further scope for additional revenue from State excises.

> 3588 A

-0 42 0-

TABLE No. 3.2-C

REVENUE SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

(Rs. in crores)

Year	1 1	laharashtra		A1	1-States	All-States		
	Excise Revenue	Total Own Tax Re venue	2 a8 % of 3	Excise Revenue	Total Own Tax Revenue	5 as % of (
4440 - 470 - 470 - 1	1829, 1829, 1879, 1899, 1899, 1899, 1899, 1899,	5 485 623 489 444 48	ante ante digito aj	n ayan dan 496 sehi agi	n 1996 ann 4996 ann 4996			
1961-62	1.26	66.76	1.89	58,58	438.68	11.35		
1962-63	1.47	77.46	1.90	62.81	569.92	11.02		
1963-64	1.72	100.39	1.71	72.89	680.70	10.71		
1964-65	3.05	112.62	2.71	84.54	764.83	11.05		
1965-66	3.26	122.43	2.66	96.37	842.60	11.44		
1966-67	3.77	153.01	2.46	108.88	937.24	11.62		
1967-68	4.15	168.17	2.47	130.56	1065.52	12.25		
1968-69	5.49	187.49	2.93	159.04	1205.00	13.20		
1969-70	7.26	216.53	3.35	173.57	1355.51	12.80		
1970-71	682	255.56	2.67	193.92	1527.85	12.69		
1971-72	7.89	274.57	2.87	233.82	1695.28	13.79		
1972-73	10.12	302.82	3.34	212.66	1928.48	11.03		
1973-74	25.16	382.31	6.58	353.70	2305.37	15.34		
1974-75	29.36	497.87	5.90	387.30	2880.57	13.44		
1975-76	34.92	585.96	5.96	435.50	3546.16	12.28		
1976-77	40.99	679.97	6.03	504.80	4033.45	12.51		
1977 -7 8	47.88	712.80	6.72	569.87	4349.24	13.10		
1978-79	53.75	850.81	6.32	583.00	4970.35	11.73		
1979-80	70.24	980.85	7.16	698.48	5669.14	12.32		
1980-81	88.70	1130.34	7.85	824.28	6616.18	12.45		
Overall increase X	7039.68	1693.14	80 413 415 484 80	1407.10	1508.20	ande dese ander ander		
CGR	25.1	16.1	485 483 486 480	14.9	15.3	, 400 CH (00.		
Average	900 610 900 600 600 900 900	- 442 400 400 400 405 167	4.17	yah eta ata ajir i		12.41		

Note : Total Own Tax Revenue = Total Tax Revenue - transfered revenue. -0 43 0-

3.4 Level of State Excise :

Level of a tax is measured by the percentage ratio of the revenue from the tax to the national income of the Governmental Unit concerned. In our analysis we use State domestic product for Maharashtra and gross national product for the All-States model. The level of a tax highlights the proportion of income which the Government collects in the form of the particular tax. We have already pointed out in Chapter-I & II that the demand for alcoholic drinks and intoxicants shows price inelasticity and at the same time income elasticity. This peculiar feature of the demand for the concerned goods, suggests that with increasing income people will spend larger amounts on the particular item of expenditure and as a reason even at the constant rate of taxation the revenue from Statee excise will increase rapidly and naturally the level of the tax also will tend to increase.

Table No. 3.3 gives information about the level of State excises in Maharashtra (Column No. 4) and in the All States model (Column No. 7) for a period of 20 years from 1961-62 to 1980-81. On the basis of the data in this table, we can make following observations :

> The average level of State excises in Maharashtra (.25%) is almost half of that in the All-States model (.54%).

-0 44 0-

- 2) Both in case of Maharashtra and the All-States model the level of State excises shows a rising trend. However, throughout the period the level of State excises in All-States model is significantly higher than the level in case of Maharashtra.
- 3) The rate of growth of revenue from State excises both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model is significantly greater than the respective growth rates of State domestic product and gross national product. This shows the buoyant and income elastic nature of the State excises.

-0 45 o-

TABLE No. 3.3

,

LEVEL OF STATE EXCISES

						(Rs. in c	rores)
Year		aharasht	•3• 63	はそれそはやは	anne seansaith A		******
	Excise	State	- Ciffinite	2 85	Excise	Gross	5 as
	Revenue	Domestic Product	8	% of 3	Revenue	National Froduct	% of 6
1.	2,	3.		4.	5.	6.	7.
Ц. ····································	11-11 or 12	***********	-24	e iller sken sin fan it.	************		
1961-62	1.26	1556 .20		0.08	58.58	14799	0.39
1962-63	1.47	1748.20		0.08	62.81	15727	0.40
1963-64	1.72	2030.80		0.08	72.89	17989	0.40
1964-65	3.05	2230.80		0.14	84.54	21113	0.40
1965-66	3.26	2354.50		0.14	96.37	21866	0.44
1966-67	3.77	2759.00		0.14	108.88	25279	0.43
1967-68	4.15	3155.80		0.13	130.56	29652	0.44
1968-69	5.49	3323.08		0.17	259.04	30417	0.52
1969-70	7.26	3656.37		0.20	173.57	3366 9	0,51
1970-71	6.82	4004	p	0.17	193.92	36558	0.53
1971-72	7.89	4307	**	0.18	233.82	38814	0.60
1972-73	10.12	4566	Ħ	0.22	212.66	42077	0.50
1973-74	25.16	5958	Ħ	0.42	353.70	51902	0.69
1974-75	29.36	7463	Ü	0.39	387.30	63203	0.61
1975-76	34.92	7693	Ħ	0.45	435.50	64996	0.67
1976-77	40.99	8479	ŧ ‡	0.48	504.80	80600	0.63
1977-78	47.88	9400	şŧ	0.51	569.87	90200	0.63
1978-79	53.75	9909	Q	0.54	583.00	97700	0.60
1979-80	70.24	N.A.		***	698.48	108500	0.64
1980-81	88.70	N.A.		-	824.28	104601	0.79
Overall increase %				148 188 44, 172 682	1407.10	706.81	ayy ana ayy
CGR	25.1	11.5		444 444 444 1997	14.9	10-9	444 445 445
-459 488 485 488 1			***	100 ann 100 ann	463 468 464 464 464	446 428 349 488 888	
Average	an: 					ینید بر کار میں ایک میں کر میں ایک	0.54
	For tax dat For GNP - Y UN, New You Government For SDP - F	ta - R.B. Kear-book rk, 1982 of India	1. an	Bulleti f Nation d Econom 1982-83 etins Ap	ins. Mal Account mic Survey	s 1980 of the	

۲

-0 46 0-

3.5. Per Capita Burden of State Excises :

Table No. 3.4 gives information regarding per capita burden of State excises for the period 1961-62 to 1980-82 for Maharashtra (Column No. 4) and All-States model (Column No.7). On the basis of this data we can make following observations :

- Both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model per capita burden of State excises shows a clearly rising trend particularly during the decade 1971 to 1981.
- 2) Period as a whole the average per capita burden of State excises in case of Maharashtra is Rs. 3.99 and in case of All-States model Rs. 5.02. In other words, the period average of per capita burden of State excises in case of the All-states model is greater than in case of Maharashtra. However, if we make year by year comparison, then upto 1978 this burden is constantely greater in case of All-States model than for Maharashtra. But in the last three years the per capita burden of State excise is greater in Maharashtra than that in case of All-States model.
- 3) The more interesting thing is the large discrepancy between the compound growth rate of per capita burden of State excises in Mahareshtra (22.3%) and

-0 47 0-

in All-States model (12.5%). This perhaps highlights the effect of latter relaxation of prohibition policy in Maharashtra.

3.5.1 Micro-level of State Excises :

By micro level of State excises we mean percentage ratio of per capita burden of State excises to per capita income. Table No. 3.4-A gives information regarding this ratio for Maharashtra and All-States model. Most of the observations we made regarding macro level of State excises are more or less applicable to this data also.

-0 48 0-

TABLE No. 3.4

PER	CAPITA	BURDEN	OF	STATE	EXCISES
Contraction of the	the second se	the state of the s	and the second second	Statement of the second se	Contractor in the second s

•

	and the second		1		(Ru	pees)
Year	⋼∷⇔≍⇔≵∽⋈⋍≇∝	Maharasht		i de Marcille d Nota de Marcille	All-Stat	a Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mart Mar
	Excise Revenue	Popula- tion	Fer Capita Burden of State Excise 2 + 3 = 4	Excise Revenue		Per capita Burden of State Excise 5 + 6 = 7
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
1961-62	1.26	3.99	0.32	58,58	44.24	1.92
1962-63	1.47	4.09	0.37	62.81	45.29	1.38
1963-64	1.72	4.18	0.41	72,89	46.20	1.57
1964-65	3.05	4.28	0.71	84.54	47.21	1.79
1965-66	3.26	4.38	0.74	96.37	48.25	1.99
1966=67	3.77	4.49	0.84	108.88	49.32	2.21
1967-68	4.15	4.50	0.92	130.56	50.43	2.58
1968- 69	5.49	4.71	1.16	159.04	51,54	3.08
1969-70	7.26	4.83	1.50	173.57	52.60	3.29
1970-71	6.82	4.95	1.37	193.92	53.89	3.59
1971-7 2	7.89	5.07	1.55	233.82	55.08	4.24
1972-73	10.12	5.18	1.95	212.66	56.25	3.78
1973-74	25.16	5.29	4.75	353.70	57.42	6.15
1974-75	29.36	5.41	5.42	387.30	58.61	6.60
1975-7 6	34.92	5.52	6.32	435.50	59.79	7.28
1976-77	40.99	5.60	7.30	504.80	61.33	8.23
1977-78	47.88	5.71	8.38	569.87	62.58	9.10
197 8 79	53,55	5.81	9.21	583.00	63.84	9.13
1979-80	70.24	5.91	11.88	698.48	65.10	10.72
1980-81	88.70	6.01	14.76	824.28	66.36	12.42
Overall increase	7039.68	150.62	4612.5	1407.10	150.00	940.90
CGR	25.1	2.2	22.3	14.9	2.1	12.5
Average	400 ang	alah alah ang alah aga alah	3.99	400 400 400 400	ange alter aller anger a	5.02
Source :	1) For Ex	cise Reve	nue _ R.B.I. - Statistica	Bulletins	for var	ious years

-0 49 0-

3.5.2 Consumer Expenditure on pan, tobacco and intoxicants :

We have also tried to find out the relation between per capita burden of State excises per month and per capita consumer expenditure on pan, tobacco and intoxicants for the average household, in case of Maharashtra and All-States model. In this case we have used data for per capita burden of State excises per month from table No. 3.4 by dividing Column No. 4 (in case of Maharashtra) and Column No. 7 (in case of All-States model) by 12. We made calculations only with reference to 1965-66 and 1970-71. The information regarding consumer expenditure on pan, tobacco and intoxicants is taken from Basic Table No. 13. In the year 1965-66 in case of Maharashtra the percentage ratio of per month per capita State excise revenue to per month per capita consumer expenditure on the related items comes to be 5.45%. For the year 1970-71 the same ratio comes to be 7.98%. In other words, this means that the effective incidence of State excises as a percentage of respective consumer expenditure per month was 5.45% and around 7.98% in the years 1965-66 and 1970-71 respectively in Maharashtra.

The same for All-States model are 17.83% and 21.99% respectively for the years 1965-66 and 1970-71. From these figures it is clear that incidence of State excises in relation to respective expenditure during the period has -0 50 0-

increased both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model. This incidence in case of All-States model is more than 3 times that of Maharashtra. More directly we can say that share of State excises in the consumer expenditure on the respective commodities has shown a significant increase during the period 1965 to 1971.

-0 51 0-

.

TABLE No. 3.4-A

PER CAPITA LEVEL OF STATE EXCISES

.

					(Rupees)	
Year	ada 22.449 ()2 429 (28 ana 72 ana 48 ana	Maharashtz		one rižove Stand žižen. Oždes bri on	All-States	: 20.000 (ji) 36 on 26
	Per capi- ta burden of State Excises	Per capi- ta Income SDP	2 as % of 3	Fer capi- ta burden of State Excises	Per capi- ta income NDP	5 22 % of 6
1.			4.		6 . 6 .	7.
1961-62	0.32	412	0.07	1.92	317	0.60
1962-53	0.37	442	0.08	1.38	328	0.42
1953-64	0.41	485	0.08	1.57	368	0.43
1964-65	0.71	526	0.13	1.79	425	0.42
1965-66	0.74	534	0.14	1.99	429	0.46
1966-67	0.84	610	0.14	2.21	486	0.45
1967-68	0.92	676	0.14	2.58	560	0.46
1968-69	1.16	70 0	0.16	3.08	517	0.59
1969-70	1.50	752	0.20	3,29	603	0.54
1970-71	1.37	803	0.17	3.59	642	0.56
1971-72	1.55	8.36	0.18	4.24	662	0.54
1972-73	1.95	861	0.23	3.78	707	0.53
1973-74	4.75	1091	0.43	6.15	862	0.71
1974-75	5,42	1271	0.43	6.60	995	0.66
1975-76	6.32	1393	0,45	7.28	1033	0.70
1976-77	7.30	1505	0.48	8.23	1097	0.75
1977-78	8.38	1637	0.51	9.10	1214	0.75
1978-79	9.21	1694	0.54	9.13	1270	0.72
1979-80	11.98	N.A.	**	10.72	N.A.	
1980-81	14.76	N.A.	*	12.42	N.A.	-
Overall increase	4612.50	411.16	,	645,87	400,00	400) Ani 400 400
CGR	22.3		tey niy itis day apis	12.5	8.5	1999 yan 1999 1999
Average	, and the state state and the state of the s	1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 - 1990 1990 - 1990 -	0.25	498 499 499 499 499 -	900 100 600 100 900 100 900	0.58

Source : For Per capita Income SDP and NDP, R.B.I. Bulletins April 1978 and September 1982. -0 52 0-

3.6 Cost of Collecting State Excises :

Table No. 3.5 giv es data regarding cost of collection ratio of State excises for Moharashtra and All-States model for the period 1961-1981. It is evident from this data that -

- 1) Both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model, this ratio shows a decreasing trend. This decrease in case of Maharashtra in quite substantial after 1964. In other words, in the initial stage when prohibition was in full force in Maharashtra, the cost of collection ratio was almost in the range of 15 to 20% of the excise revenue. This shows in an indirect manner the burden of prohibition. This is significantly proved if we take into consideration, this ratio for the years 1974 to 1981.
- 2) On the average, the cost of collection ratio in Maharashtra is slightly greater than the respective ratio for All-States model.
- 3) Both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model the rate of growth of excise revenue is much faster than the rate of growth of cost of collection.

It seems from the above facts that relexation or scrapping of prohibition policy has resulted into a substantial increase in the revenue but not a very high increase in the cost of collection and it may be that in future also this cost ratio may further decline. Of course, this itself canot be considered as an indication of increasing efficiency of the excise administration. But at least it suggests that a more rational excise policy mainly with reference to prohibition reduces significantly the relative burden of excise administration.

-0 54 0-

					(Rs. 1n	
Year	≠∷⇔≋⇔ä≈a≠	Mahareshtr		: Zi colleo ()an Ciosili 	All Stat	
	Excise Revenue	Cost of collect- ing State Excises	Cost Ratio	Excise Revenue	Cost of collect- ing State Excises	Cost Ratio 6 as % of 5
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.
ᆙᆃᅝᆥᄣᄣ ^ᅓ ᆃᅇᆧ						***************************************
1961-62	1.25	0.242	19.21	58.58	5.62	9.59
1962-63	1.47	0.254	17.29	62.81	5.76	9 ,17
1963-64	1.72	0.257	14.94	72.89	5.90	8.09
1964-65	3.05	0.292	9,57	84.54	5.82	6.88
1965-66	3.26	0.287	8.80	96 .37	6.29	6.53
1966-67	3.77	0.268	7.11	108,88	6.75	6.20
1967-68	4.15	0.335	8.07	130.56	7.77	5.95
1968-69	5.49	0.531	9.67	159.04	13.14	8.26
1969-70	7.26	0.717	9.88	173.57	10.62	6.12
1970-71	6.82	0.731	10.72	193.92	11.74	6.05
1971-72	7,89	0.777	9.85	233.82	13.21	5.65
1972-73	10.12	0.839	212.66	15.54	7.31	7.31
1973-74	25.16	0,924	3.67	353.70	18.03	5.10
1974-75	29.36	0.848	2.89	387.30	21.08	5.44
1975-76	34.92	0.953	2.73	435.50	23.91	5.49
1976-77	40.99	1.14	2.78	504.80	27.09	5,37
1977-78	47,88	1,12	2.34	569.87	N.A.	-
1978-79	53.75	1.75	3.26	583.00	N.A.	
1979-80	70.24	1.86	2.65	698.48	N.A.	~
1980-81	88,70	2.18	2,46	824.28	N.A.	-
Overall increase	7039.68	900.82	80 495 495 495	1407.10	482.02	* * * *
CGR	25,1	12,3	486.	14.9	11.0	400 and 400 and 400
Average			7.81	1989 4944 4988 4997 4994 1988 1988	1996 - 4992 - 4992 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 - 4993 -	6.7
) Combin and St	ed Finance	and Rev	enue Accoi	onts of the 1976-77 fo	Union

COST OF COLLECTING STATE EXCISES

•

various years. 11) Budget of Maharashtra Government, 1977-78 onwards. -0 55 0-

3.7 Buoyancy and Income Elasticity of State Excises :

Buovancy which measures the overall responsiveness of a tax to changes bboth in national income and the tax parameteres like rate, base, coverage and administrative efficiency, generally shows whether a tax will became increasingly productive or not in the profess of economic change. However, income-elasticity of a tax is a more precise measure of the responsiveness of a tax to the change, exclusively, in the national income, with the tax paremeters remaining constant. Income-elasticity of a tax indicates productivity of a tax of an inherent nature. It, therefore, introduces in the tax system an in-built flexibility. It is generally suggested that a tax system of a developed economy should be, as far as possible, income-elastic which naturally will depends upon the income-elasticity of component taxes. An income-elastic tax system is beneficial from productivity, progressivity and stabilization point of view.

Table No. 3.6 gives information regarding buoyancy and income-elasticity of State Excises in comparison with the overall tax-system for Maharashtra and All-States model. It is clear from this table that -

> Buoyancy of State excises is higher than the buoyancy of all taxes taken together both in Maharashtra and All-States model.

- 2) Similarly, income-elasticity of State excises is also greater than income-elasticity of All taxes together both in Maharashtra and All-States model.
- 3) The more interesting is the fact, that compared to All-States model, the State excises in Maharashtra show a higher buoyancy and income elasticity.

This can be due to the higher level of per capita income in Maharashtra, increasing concentration of a alcohol production in Maharashtra or perhaps a more rational and accelerated relaxation of prohibition policy in Maharashtra. However, it is clear that State excises do contribute possitively to the buoyancy and income elasticity of States' own tax structure.

-0 57 0-

TABLE No. 3,6

BUOYANCY AND INCOME ELASTICITY OF STATE EXCISES

Buoyancy Income Elasticit								
	State Excises	All taxes	State Excises	All taxes				
ᇌᆃᇌᆃᇓᅀᆂᆃᇌᆃᇌᆃᆧᆥᇝᆃᇕᆥᇊᆃᇊᆥᇔᆃᇌᆃ	, ····································	뺘뽍뺘꺯┅应╺쐚⋍ଊ	Ballin State State State	计关系的现在式 中指非常有				
Maharashtre	2.25024	1.43652	2.25024	1.39685				
All-States	1.31829	1.19044	1.27656	1.06593				

Source : ARTHA VIJNANA, September 1978, Vol. XX No. 3, p. 249 and 262. Buoyancy and Income Elasticity of State Taxes in India, M.C. Purchit. -0 58 0-

3.8 Developmental Significance of State Excises :

Developmental significance of State excises can be examined from theoretical point of view as well as practical point of view. Theoretically speaking, consumer expenditure on commodities like pan, tobacco, intoxicants etc. is non escential and avoidable and of a luxury type. If these commodities are subjected to a high level of excise duties, leading to a decreased demand for such commodities, then the amounts thus released may either be saved or expenditure on more important items may be increased. The latter effect may increase the general efficiency of the population. On the supply side the production of such commodities will decrease releasing capital for investment in more desirable production areas. Thus, State excises may contribute qualitatively as well as quantitatively to the process of economic development. However, in view of the fact that demand for such commodities is generally price-inelastic and income-elastic, State excises may not achieve the above mentioned objectives in a big way. But on the other hand, they will certainly bring in larger and larger amounts of revenue for the Covernment, increasing the ability of the Government to finance the general increase in public expenditure including developmental expenditure. It is in this latter sense that we have tried to find out developmental significance of State excises.

-0 59 0-

3.8.1 Revenue Account Developmental Significance of State Excises :

Table No. 3.7 relates revenue from State excises to total development expenditure on the rev enue account, for Maharashtra (Column No. 4) and All-States model (Column No.7). On the basis of this table we can make following observations:

- Period as a whole the average revenue account developmental significance of State excises is
 4.45% in case of Maharashtra and 9.43% in case of All-States model. However, in case of Maharashtra, this ratio has gradually increased throughout the period but in case of All-States model it certainly shows a gradually decreasing trend.
- 2) In case of Maharashtra, the revenue from State excises has increased faster than developmental expenditure. But in case of All-States model the rate of growth of revenue from State excises is slightly less than the rate of growth of developmental expenditure.
- 3) Broadly speaking, we can say that revenue from State excises may cover revenue account developmental expenditure to the extent of 10%.

3.8.2 Revenue Account (Plan) Developmental Significance of State Excises :

Table No. 3.7-A relates revenue from State excises to plan developmental expenditure on revenue account. In this case, eventhough the data is available only for the period 1972 to 1981, the trends are clear -

1) In case of Maharashtra, the average revenue account plan developmental significance of State excises is 33.71% and in case of All-States model 42.68%. In case of All-States model in the year 1974-75 this ratio was almost 61%.

2) This ratio in case of Maharashtra shows a rising trend and this ratio in case of All-States model shows falling trend.

3) The more important conclusion of this analysis is the fact that a substantial part of plan developmental expenditure is covered by the excise revenue. Of course, it seems that with rapid growth in plan developmental expenditure, this significance of State excise revenue may gradually decrease atleast in the early stages of development. Without prohibition fad, State excises can be effectively used in financing a substantial part of plan developmental expenditure.

-0 61 0-

TABLE No. 3.7

REVENUE ACCOUNT DEVELOPMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

Year	M	laharashtr	6		All-States	
	Revenue from State Excise	Revenue Account Devp. Expen.	2 as % of 3	Revenue from State Excise	Revenue Account Devp. Expen.	5 as % of 6
l. Remansion	2. 2.	3. 3.	4. 		6. 	7.
1961-62	1.26	6.82	2.03	58.58	660.23	8.87
1962-63	1.47	64.42	2.28	62.81	720.06	8.72
1963-64	1.72	79.24	2.17	72.89	797.94	9.13
1964-65	3,05	100.31	3.04	84.54	913.04	9.26
1965-66	3.26	126.91	2.58	96.37	1103.14	8.73
1966-67	3.77	134.47	2.80	108.88	1213.19	8.97
1967-68	4.15	88.51	4.68	130.56	1062.56	12.29
1968-69	5.49	120.62	4.55	159.04	1156.88	13.63
1969-70	7.26	181.61	3.99	173.57	1629.70	10.65
1970-71	6.82	121.46	5.61	193.92	2844.14	10.91
1971-72	7.89	229.04	3.44	233.82	2155.77	10.85
972-73	10.12	410.81	2.46	212,66	3349.49	6.35
1973-74	25.16	540.84	4.65	353.70	3742.91	9.45
1974-75	29.36	495.99	5.92	387.30	4102.55	9.44
975-76	34.92	578.17	6.04	435.50	4709.24	9.25
1976-77	40.99	628.87	6.52	504.80	5369.28	9.40
1977-7 8	47.88	717.06	6.67	569.87	6126.55	9.30
978-79	53.75	888.09	б.05	583.00	7377.77	7.90
1979-80	70.24	1073.83	6.54	698.48	8601.20	8.12
980-81	88.70	1277.97	6.94	824.28	10514.74	7.83
verall ncrease	7039.68 %	2066.75	459 485 485 48	1407.10	1592.59	
GR	25.1	17.3	90 dee 146 W	14.9	15.7	988 988 449 449 449
vorage	n 406 yrs siyn anb	an an na an an an	4.5	رای سوید دیک ماله ماله ۵ افغا	ada aya 600 aya 400 0 aya	9.43

.

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins for various years.

-0 62 0-

TABLE NO.3.7-A

REVENUE ACCOUNT (PLAN) DEVELOPMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

(Rs. in coores)

****	******	⋽₩⋽₩₩₩₽₩₩₩₩				Les II es
Year		Maharashtr			All-States	
	Revenue from state Excise	Revenue Account Plan Devp. Expen.	2 as X of 3	Revanue from State Excise	Revenue Account Plan Devp. Expen.	5 as % of 6
1.	-2.	-3.	4.	·5.	6.	7.
はまだりだよがち	;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	9) 40-94 40-92 40 50 40 50 40 50 40 50 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	***************************************	***************************************	1999-22 on 22 on 22 on 22 on 23 on 3	
1961-62	1.26	N.A.	~	58.58	N.A.	-
196263	1.47	N.A.	-ind	62.81	21	**
1963-64	1.72	N.A.	-	72.89	N.A.	***
1964-65	3.05	N.A.		84.54	N.A.	-
1965-66	3.26	N.A.	**	96.37	N.A.	-
1966-67	3.77	N.A.	••	108.88	N.A.	**
1967-68	4.15	N.A.	***	130.56	N.A.	-
1968-69	5.49	N.A.	-	159.04	N.A.	-
1969-70	7.26	N.A.	C	173.57	N.A.	-
1970-71	6.82	N.A.	-	193.92	N.A.	4.0
1971-72	7.89	N.A.	-	233.82	N.A.	-
1972-73	10.12	89.82	11.27	212.66	525.48	40.47
1973-74	25.16	105.04	23.95	353+70	657.61	53.78
1974-75	29.36	83.58	35.13	387.30	636.64	60.83
1975-76	34.52	102.20	34.17	435.50	854.39	50.97
1976-77	40.99	100.42	40.82	504.80	1236.73	40 .82
1977-78	47.88	131.90	36.30	569.87	1353.77	42.09
1978-79	53.75	157.00	34.23	583.00	1942.05	30.02
1979-80	70.24	162.02	43.35	698.48	2001.11	34.90
1980-81	8 8 .70	200.64	44.21	824.28	2728.18	30.21
Overall increase	7039.68	223,38	****	1407.10	519.18	9 Ayr Allin Gan And 1953
CGR		10.6		14.9	22.8	- 400 - 500 - 604 - 444 -
Average	ور میں 200 میں 200 میں 200 میں 200 میں میں میں 200 میں 200 میں		33.71	₩ # # # # # # \$\$	**************************************	42.68

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins for various years.

-0 63 0-

3.8.3 Capital Account Developmental Significance of State Excise :

Table No. 3.8 relates excise revenue to total developmental expenditure on capital account for Maharashtra (Column 4) and All-States (Column 7) for the period under study. This ratio can be considered as a measure of the possible contribution of State excises to long term developmental projects or investment projects of the Government. It is clear from the table :

- 1) In case of Maharashtra excise revenue, on the average can possibly finance more than 14% of the capital account developmental expenditure and in case of All-States, 28.28%.
- 2) In case of Maharashtra, this ratio shows a rising tendency throughout the period, but in case of All-States model initially a rising trend and latter on some decrease.
- 3) Both in case of Maharashtra and All-States model, the rate of growth of revenue from State excise is greater than rate of growth of capital account developmental expenditure.

In this respect also the significant extent of possible contribution of excise revenue to developmental expenditure on capital account is evident.

-0 64 0-

TABLE NO.3.8

CAPITAL ACCOUNT DEVELOPMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

		12 ann 22 aine 117 aine 117 aine 128		(Rs. in crores)				
Year	Maharashtra			All-States				
	Revenue from State Excise	Capital Account Devp. Expen.	2 88 % of 3	Revenue from State Excise	Capital Account Devp. Expen.	5 as X of 6		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5. 	6. *:::*::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	7 		
1961-62	1.26	27.33	4.61	58.58	315.15	18.59		
1962-63	1.47	25.42	5,78	62.81	330.20	19.02		
1963-64	1.72	24.00	7.17	72.89	350.07	20.82		
1964-65	3.05	33.81	9.02	84.54	401.07	21.08		
1965-66	3.26	44.75	7.28	96.37	403.89	23.86		
1966-67	3.77	46.34	8.13	108.88	421.41	25.84		
1967-68	4.15	53.05	7.82	130.56	426.18	30.63		
1968-69	5.49	60.49	9.07	159.04	515.05	30.88		
1969-7 0	7.26	67.66	10.73	173.57	500.48	34.68		
1970-71	6.82	76 .44	8.92	193.92	584.43	33.18		
1971-72	7.89	85.40	9.24	233.82	705.49	33.14		
1972-73	10.12	89.57	11.30	212.66	718.25	29.61		
1973-74	25,16	110.46	22.78	353.70	952.95	37.12		
1974-75	29.36	122-21	24.02	387.30	1096.79	35.64		
1975-76	34,92	204.01	17.12	435.50	1381.97	31.51		
1976-77	40.99	166.93	24.55	504.80	1622.90	31.10		
1977-78	47.88	205.46	23.30	569.87	1818.17	31.34		
1978-79	53.75	256.91	20.92	583.00	2243.79	25.98		
1979-80	70.24	280.13	25.07	698.48	2625.85	26.60		
1980-81	88.70	340.49	26.05	824.28	3128.66	26,35		
Overall increase	7039.68	1245.85	480 480 480 480	1407.10	992.75	ana ana ana ana ana ana		
COR	25.1	14.2		14.9	12.8			
Average	100 100 AR 100 A	a an an an an an	14.14	49 49 49 49 49 49 49	4442 4044 4049 4049 4	28.34		

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins for various years.

-0 65 0-

3.8.4 Capital Account (Plan) Development Significance of State Excises :

Table No. 3.8-A relates State excise revenue to plan developmental expenditure on capital account for Maharashtra (Column 4) and All-States model (Column 7) for the period 1972 to 1981. In this case again the observations made in respect of Table No. 3.8 hold, excepting one particular aspect only. It is seen that in case of Maharashtra excise revenue has increased at a faster rate than the rate of growth of plan developmental expenditure on capital account, whereas in case of All-States model the reverse seems to be fact.

-0 65 0-

TABLE No. 3.8-A

CAPITAL ACCOUNT (PLAN) DEVELOPMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE OF STATE EXCISES

					-	crores)	
X031.				alestes			
	Revenue from State Excise	Capital Account Plan Devp. Expen.	2 85 % of 3	Revenue from State Excise	Capital Account Plan Devp. Expen.		
1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	
1961-62	1.26	N.A.	-	58.58	N.A.		
1962-63	1.47	N.A.	-	62.81	N.A.	**	
1963-64	1.72	N.A.	*	72.89	N.A.		
1964-65	3.05	N.A.	3 4	84.54	N.A.	-	
1965-66	3,26	N.A.	-	96.37	N.A.	-	
1966 - 67	3,77	N.A.	-	108.88	N.A.	**	
1967-68	4.15	N.A.	-	130.56	N.A.	-	
1968-69	5,49	N.A.		159.04	N.A.	-	
1969-70	7.26	N.A.	-	173.57	N.A.	**	
1970-71	6.82	N.A.		193.92	N.A.	-	
1971-72	7.89	N.A.	-	233.82	N.A.	••	
1972-73	10.12	105.10	9.63	212.66	482.82	44.04	
1973-74	25.16	104.07	24.18	353.70	710.85	49.75	
1974-75	29.36	111.14	26.42	387.30	990.37	39.11	
1975-76	34.92	139.74.	24.99	435.50	1217.55	35.77	
976-77	40.99	190.04	21.57	504.80	1591.84	31.71	
977-78	47.88	213.87	22.39	569.87	1850,19	30.80	
1978-79	53.75	239.99	22.40	583.00	2228.99	26.15	
1979-80	70.24	260.04	27.01	698.48	2525.88	27.65	
1990-81	88.70	239.95	36.97	824.28	2950.54	27.94	
Dverall Increase	7039.68	228,31	***	1407.10	611.10	- 400 es es (100 -	
CGR	25.1	10,9		14.9	25.4		
Average	الكي هيه التي منه التي منه التي منه وي منه التي منه التي منه التي منه التي منه و التي منه التي منه التي منه التي منه	- 444 - 424 - 444 - 4446	23.95	- 400- 400- 200- 400-	an din ka ba yay dar	34.77	

Source : R.B.I. Bulletins for various years.