LIST OF TABLES

| Sr. | Table No. | <u>Title</u> | Page No. |
|-----|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | I.1 | SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW-SCHEDULE- CUM-QUESTIONNAIRE | 18 |
| 2. | II.1 | OBJECTIVEWISE DIVISION OF MARKS | 37 |
| 3. | II.3 | UNITWISE DIVISION OF MARKS | |
| 4. | II.4 | DIVISION OF MARKS ACCORDING TO THE TYPES OF QUESTIONS | |
| 5. | II.5 | FINAL BLUE-PRINT | |
| 6. | III.1 | CLASSIFICATION OF THE TEACHERS TEACHING MARATHI FOR STANDARD VIII ACCORDING TO THEIR ACADEMIC AND PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS | 56 |
| 7. | III.2 | CLASSIFICATION OF THE TEACHERS TEACHING MARATHI ACCORDING TO THEIR EXPERIENCE OF TEACHING MARATHI FOR STANDARD-VIII | 59 |
| 8. | III.3 | CLASSIFICATION OF THE TEACHERS ACCORDING TO THE SPECIAL SUBJECTS OFFERED BY THEM AT GRADUATION OR POST-GRADUATION LEVEL | 62 |
| 9. | III.4 | CLASSIFICATION OF THE TEACHERS TEACHING MARATHI ACCORDING TO THEIR METHODS AT B.ED./B.ED. (PHYSICAL), D.B.ED./S.T.C./ D.ED./SR.H.S.S. EXAMINATION | 66 |
| 10. | III,5 | TABLE EXPLAINING THE TOTAL NUMBER OF 74 SPECIAL METHODS AT PROFESSIONAL DEGREE/DIPLOMA/ CERTIFICATE LEVEL | 67 |
| 11. | III.6 | NUMBER OF TEACHERS WHO CONSIDER UNIT TESTS IN MARATHI USEFUL OR OTHERWISE | 70 |

- VII -

| Sr. No. | Table No. | <u>Title</u> | Page No. |
|------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 12. | III.7 | THE TABLE SHOWING THE REASONS GIVEN BY THOSE TEACHERS WHO CONSIDER THE UNIT TEST USEFUL | 74 |
| 13. | III.8 | THE TABLE SHOWING THE REASONS GIVEN BY THOSE TEACHERS WHO DO NOT CONSIDER THE UNIT TEST USEFUL | 79 |
| 14. | III.9 | THE TABLE SHOWING THE NUMBER OF TEACHERS DOING ITEM ANALYSIS AFTER THE ASSESSMENT OF ANSWERBOOK IS OVER | 92 |
| 15. | 111.10 | VIEWS REGARDING THE PRACTICE OF USING UNIT TESTS SET BY THE ASSOCIATION | 98 |
| 16. | III.11 | THE TEACHERS WHO DO NOT LIKE THE PRACTICE OF USING THE UNIT TESTS SET BY KOLHAPUR DISTRICT SECONDARY SCHOOLS! HEADMASTERS' ASSOCIATION DUE TO FOLLOWING REASONS | 107 |
| 17. | III.12 | TEACHERS VIEWS ABOUT DRAWING A SEPARATE TABLE FOR OBJECTIVES | 111 |
| 18. | III.13 | TEACHERS VIEWS ABOUT DIVIDING THE CONTENT INTO SUB-POINTS | 115 |
| 19. | III.14 | TABLE SHOWING THE NUMBER OF TEACHERS WHO DECIDE IN ADVANCE TO GIVE SEPARATE WEIGHTAGE TO DIFFERENT AREAS OF CONTENT | 116 |
| 20. | III.15 | BASIS OF DECIDING WEIGHTAGES TO DIFFERENT CONTENT AREAS | 118 |
| 21. | III.16 | THE TABLE SHOWING NUMBER OF TEACHERS WHO DRAW CONTENTWISE TABLE SHOWING SUB-POINTS AND WEIGHTAGES GIVEN TO THEM IN TERMS OF MARKS | 120 |

- VIII -

| Sr. | Table No. | <u>Title</u> | Page No. |
|-----|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 22. | III.17 | THE NUMBER OF TEACHERS WHO DECIDE THE NATURE OF QUESTIONS/ ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE UNIT TEST | 122 |
| 23. | III.18 | TEACHERS' VIEWS REGARDING OPTIONS' IN THE UNIT TEST | 124 |
| 24. | III .1 9 | TEACHERS' VIEWS REGARDING THE NECESSITY OF PREPARING BLUE-PRINT | 132 |
| 25. | III.20 | TEACHERS' VIEWS REGARDING THE QUESTIONWISE ANALYSIS. | 132 |
| 26. | III.21 | TABLE SHOWING THE REASONS FOR NOT PREPARING THE QUESTIONWISE ANALYSIS | 132 |
| 27. | III.22 | NUMBER OF TEACHERS PREPARING MARKING SCHEME AND/OR SCORING KEY | 136 |
| 28. | IV. 1 | डिंदिष्टं नुसार गुणि विभागणी | 143 |
| 29. | IV.2 | घटकानुसार गुणाविभागणी | 146 |
| 30. | IV.3 | प्रश्नप्रकारानुसार गुणाविभागणी | 150 |
| 31. | IV.4 | संविधान तक्ता | 153 |
| 32. | IV.5 | गुणदान योजना | 155 |
| 33. | IV.6 | प्रश्नविक्षेष्ठाण | 160 |