Table LIST OF TABLES

<u> </u>	No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
` 1.1		Land man ratio (1981).	3 3
1.2		Land use densities (1981), persons per hect.	35
2.1		Tahsilwise percentage distribution of land	
		according to different uses (1977-80).	42
2.2		Number of operational holdings and average	
& • Z		size of class.	45
2 2			_
2.4		Area irrigated different sources (area in hect.).	48
2.4		Area under different crops in Kolhapur district	
		(1977–80).	53
2.5		Tahsilwise area under sugarcane (1977-80).	54
2.6		Tahsilwise sugar factorises in Kolhapur district.	55
2.7		Irrigated cropping pattern.	56
2.8		Tahsilwise tractors per 1000 hect. of sugarcane	
		area (1979-80).	61
2.9		Availability of tractors per 1000 hect. of	
		cultivated area.	64
4.1		Actual number of tractors and second degree	
		trend values (Karveer, Hatkanagale and Panhala	
		tahsil).	92
4.2		Actual number of tractors and second degree	
		trend values (Shirol, Kagal and Gadhinglaj	•
		tahsil):	97
4.3		Actual number of tractors and second degree	
		trend values (Shahuwadı, Radhanagari and	
		Chandgad tahsil).	103

List of tables cont...

Table No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
4.4	Actual number of tractors and second degree	
	trend values (Ajara, Bhudargad and Gaganbavada	
	tahsil).	107
5.1	Distribution of the tractor adopter and non	
	adopter farmers by their characteristics	117
5.2	Percentage distribution of the tractor owner	
	farmers by education and adoption score of	
	tractor.	121
5.3	Percentage distribution of the farmers by	
	their age and adoption score of tractor.	125
5 .4	Distribution of the farmers by their	
	knowledge of each selected innovation.	128 [°]
5.5	Percentage distribution of tractor adopter	
	farmers by their agricultural knowledge and	
	adoption score of tractor.	129
5.6	Percentage distribution of tractor adopter	
	farmers by their size of family members and	
	adoption score of tractor.	132
5.7	Distribution of the farmers by their formal	•
•	social participations.	134
5.8	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
	farmers by formal social participation and	
	adoption score of tractor.	135
5.9	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
	farmers by their income and tractor adoption	
	score.	139

List of tables cont...

Table No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
5.10	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
	farmers by farm size and adoption score of	
•	tractor.	143
5.11	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	ı
	farmers by their area under irrigation and	,
	tractor adoption score.	145
5.12	Percentage distribution of tractor adopter	
	farmers by their area under sugarcane and	•
	adoption score of tractor.	149
5.13	Distribution of the farmers by their visits to	
	district place.	152
5.14	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
*	farmers by cosmopoliteness and adoption score	
	of tractor.	153
5.15	Percentage distribution of tractor adopter	
	farmers by their information seeking through	
	agricultural training and adoption score of	
	tractor.	155
5.16	`Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
	farmers by their participation and adoption	
•	score of tractor.	158
5.17	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
	farmers by observation score and adoption score	
•	of the tractor.	161
5.18	Distribution of the farmers by the frequency of	
	seeking information through radio and news	
	papers.	163

List of tables conti...

T	able No.	<u>Title</u>	Page
	5.19	Percentage distribution of the tractor adopter	
		farmers by their mass media score and adoption	
		score of the tractor.	167
	6.1	Cropping pattern in Karveer tahsil.	178
	6.2	Cellwise comparision of the Realistic and	
		Simulated number of tractors.	198.

APPENDEX

I	Scheduled questionnaire.	214
II	A case of Karveer tahsil to illustrate the	
	calculation of the trend value of the second	
.	degree curve.	222
III	List of villages in Karveer tahsil.	224