

- ▽ -

---

C H A P T E R - II

MEIJER BESSEL TRANSFORM AND ITS  
PROPERTIES

---

## 2.1 Introduction :

In this chapter we construct a testing function space  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ , its dual space  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  and extend the Meijer Bessel transform defined by the equation (1.1-3) to a certain class of generalized functions and study some properties of it.

For real numbers  $\gamma, \mu$  and positive numbers  $a, b$ ; we construct a testing function space  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  which contains the kernel  $\frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px)K_{\mu}(qy)$  as a function on  $0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty$  for each fixed  $p$  and  $q$ .

The Meijer Bessel transform  $F(p, q)$  of a distribution  $f$  in the dual space  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is defined by

$$F(p, q) = K'_{\gamma, \mu}(f) = \langle f(x, y), \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px)K_{\mu}(qy) \rangle$$

for suitably restricted  $p$  and  $q$ .

Let  $I$  denotes the open set ( $0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty$ ).  $D(I)$  is the space of all smooth functions on  $I$  having compact support on  $I$  and  $D'(I)$  is the dual space of Schwartz distributions on  $I$ .

## 2.2 The Testing Function Spaces $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ and Their Duals.

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any real numbers and  $\gamma, \mu$  be either

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be any real numbers and  $\gamma$ ,  $\mu$  be either zero or complex numbers such that  $\operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} \mu > 0$ .

Let  $h(t)$  be a continuous function on  $0 < t < \infty$  defined by

$$h(t) = \begin{cases} \log t & \text{if } 0 < t < e^{-1} \\ -1 & \text{if } e^{-1} < t < \infty \end{cases}$$

and we set

$$j_{\gamma, \mu}(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^{\gamma-1/2} y^{\mu-1/2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0, \operatorname{Re} \mu > 0 \\ [\sqrt{x} h(x)]^{-1} [\sqrt{y} h(y)]^{-1} & \text{if } \gamma = \mu = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  be the space of all infinitely differentiable functions  $\emptyset(x, y)$  on  $0 < x < \infty$ ,  $0 < y < \infty$  such that for each  $k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\oint_{k_1, k_2} (\emptyset) \triangleq \oint_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} (\emptyset) =$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty}} \left| e^{ax+by} j_{\gamma, \mu}(x, y) s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \emptyset(x, y) \right| < \infty$$

... (2.2.-1)

$$\text{where } s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} = s_{\gamma, x}^{k_1} s_{\mu, y}^{k_2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$s_{\nu, x} = x^{-\nu-1/2} D_x x^{2\nu+1} D_x x^{-\nu-1/2}$$

$$s_{\mu, y} = y^{-\mu-1/2} D_y y^{2\mu+1} D_y y^{-\mu-1/2}.$$

where  $D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ,  $D_y = \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$

Clearly  $K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$  is a linear space over the field of complex numbers.

Moreover  $\left\{ \sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} \right\}_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty}$  is a multinorm

on  $K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$ .

Indeed for any complex number  $\beta$  and  $\theta \in K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$ ,

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\beta \theta) = |\beta| \sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta).$$

Also for each  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta_1 + \theta_2) \leq \sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta_1) + \sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta_2).$$

Hence each  $\sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta)$  is a seminorm and in addition

$\sum_{0, 0}^{\nu, \mu, a, b} (\theta)$  is a norm on  $K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$ .

We assign to  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  the topology generated by the multinorm  $\left\{ \rho_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} \right\}_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty}$  and this makes

$K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ , a countably multinormed space. The dual space  $K'^{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  consists of all continuous linear functions on  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

The dual is a linear space to which we assign the weak topology generated by multinorm  $\left\{ \sum_{\emptyset} (f) \right\}$  where

$$\left\{ \sum_{\emptyset} \emptyset \right\} = | \langle f, \emptyset \rangle | \quad \text{and } \emptyset \text{ varies through } K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}.$$

A sequence  $\left\{ \emptyset_m \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$

to  $\emptyset$  if and only if for each pair of non-negative integers  $k_1, k_2$

$$\rho_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} (\emptyset_m - \emptyset) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

A sequence  $\left\{ \emptyset_m \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence in

$K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  if and only if

$$\rho_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} (\emptyset_m - \emptyset_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ for every } k_1, k_2 \text{ as } m \text{ and } n \text{ tend to infinity independently.}$$

Lemma 2.2-1

$K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is complete and therefore a Fre'chet space.

Proof :

Let  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

Then by equation (2.2-1), we have a uniform Cauchy sequence

$\{\psi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  on I for each  $k_1, k_2$  where

$$\psi_m(x, y) = e^{ax+by} j_{\gamma, \mu}(x, y) s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} [\phi_m(x, y)] \dots (2.2-2)$$

By Cauchy criterion  $\left\{ s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \psi_m \right\}$  converges uniformly

to  $\left\{ s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \psi \right\}$  on I for all  $k_1, k_2$ . Hence by standard theorem [1, P.402], there is a smooth function  $\psi(x, y)$  on I such that  $\psi_m(x, y) \rightarrow \psi(x, y)$  uniformly on I and

$$s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \psi_m(x, y) \rightarrow s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \psi(x, y)$$

$$\text{where } \psi(x, y) = e^{ax+by} j_{\gamma, \mu}(x, y) s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} [\phi(x, y)]$$

$\dots (2.2-3)$

Since  $\psi_m(x, y)$  is a uniform Cauchy sequence hence for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an integer  $N_{k_1, k_2}$  such that

$$\sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty}} | \psi_m(x, y) - \psi_n(x, y) | < \epsilon$$

for every  $m, n < N_{k_1, k_2}$ .

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty}} |\psi_m(x, y) - \psi(x, y)| \leq \epsilon, \quad m > N_{k_1, k_2}. \quad \dots \quad (2.2-4)$$

Thus for each  $k_1, k_2$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \psi_m(x, y) \rightarrow \psi(x, y) \\ \theta_m \rightarrow \theta \end{array} \right\}_{k_1, k_2} \quad (\theta_m - \theta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Finally according to the uniformity of the convergence and the fact that each  $\psi_m(x, y)$  is bounded on I, there exists a constant  $C_{k_1, k_2}$  not depending on  $m$  such that

$$|\psi_m(x, y)| < C_{k_1, k_2} \text{ for all } (x, y)$$

Hence by (2.2-4) we get

$$\sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 \leq y < \infty}} |\psi(x, y)| \leq \epsilon + C_{k_1, k_2}.$$

which shows that  $\psi(x, y)$  is bounded on I.

Hence a function  $\psi(x, y)$  which is the limit of a given sequence  $\{\theta_m\}$  is a member of  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

Thus the sequence  $\{\theta_m\}$  converges in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  to the unique limit  $\psi$ . Hence  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is complete.

Since  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is countably multinormed space which is complete hence  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is a Fre'chet space.

$K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is complete and hence  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is complete.

Theorem 2.2-1 :  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  is a testing function space.

Proof : Clearly  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  satisfies first two conditions of testing function space. Now we shall prove the third.

Let  $\left\{ \theta_m \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

In view of (2.2-2) and the seminorms defined in (2.2-1), it follows by induction on  $k_1, k_2$  that, for each pair of

$k_1, k_2, \left\{ D_x^{k_1} D_y^{k_2} (\theta_m) \right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly to

zero function on every compact subset of  $I$ .

which completes the proof of the Theorem 2.2-1.

Now we list some properties of these spaces.

(i) Let  $\gamma, \mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . For a fixed complex number  $(p, q)$  belonging to the strip

$$\sim = \left\{ (p, q) \in C^2 / p, q \neq 0 \text{ or a negative number} \right\},$$

$$pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \in K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}.$$

Indeed by the analyticity of  $\sqrt{zw} K_{\gamma}(z) K_{\mu}(w)$ ,

$z, w \neq 0$ , it follows that  $pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)$  is smooth on  $I$ .

Also in the view of the property

$$\int_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} [pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)] = p^{2k_1} q^{2k_2}.$$

$$(pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy))$$

... (2.2-5)

and by the equations (1.2-2), (1.2-3) and asymptotic estimate (1.2-4) and the fact that  $|e^{ax+by} (px)^{\gamma} (qy)^{\mu}|$ .

$|K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)|$  is bounded for  $0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty$ ,  
 $(p, q) \in \gamma$ , the quantities  $\int_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} [pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)]$  are finite for all  $k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Thus our assertion is verified.

(ii) Let  $\gamma > -\frac{1}{2}, \mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . For a fixed complex number  $(p, q)$  belonging to the strip

$$\gamma = \left\{ (p, q) \in C^2 / p, q \neq 0 \text{ or a negative number} \right\}$$

$$D \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right] \in \gamma, \mu, a, b$$

$$\text{where } D = \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \text{ or } \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

$$\text{Hence } \int_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} [D \left( \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right)] < \infty$$

for any fixed  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{N}$ .

(iii) Let  $0 < c < a$ ,  $0 < d < b$ . Then

$K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d} \subset K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b}$  and the topology of  
 $K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d}$  is stronger than the topology induced on it  
by  $K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b}$ .

This follows from the inequality

$$\int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b} \emptyset(\theta) \leq \int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d} \emptyset(\theta) \text{ for } \theta \in K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b}.$$

Let  $0 < e^{ax+by} < e^{cx+dy}$  on I,

Then

$$\left| e^{ax+by} \int_{\mathcal{V}, \mu}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b} \emptyset(x, y) \right| \leq \int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b} \emptyset(x, y)$$
$$\left| e^{cx+dy} \int_{\mathcal{V}, \mu}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d} \emptyset(x, y) \right| \leq \int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d} \emptyset(x, y)$$

Therefore  $\int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b} \emptyset(x, y) \leq \int_{k_1, k_2}^{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d} \emptyset(x, y).$

Thus our assertion is implied by the last inequality.

Hence the restriction of  $f \in K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, a, b}$  to  $K_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d}$

is in  $K'_{\mathcal{V}, \mu, c, d}$ .

(iv)  $D(I)$  is a subspace of  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  and the convergence in  $D(I)$  implies convergence in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  i.e. the topology of  $D(I)$  is stronger than that induced on it by  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ . Hence the restriction of  $f \in K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  to  $D(I)$  is in  $D'(I)$ . Thus, members of  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  are distributions in Zemanian sense [14, P.39].

Here  $I$  denotes the first quadrant  $\{(x, y) / 0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty\}$ .

(v) Each of the differential operators  $S_{\gamma, x}$  and  $S_{\mu, y}$  is a continuous linear mapping of  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  into itself since

$$\int_{k_1, k_2} (S_{\gamma, x} \emptyset) = \int_{k_1+1, k_2} (\emptyset) \text{ and}$$

$$\int_{k_1, k_2} (S_{\mu, y} \emptyset) = \int_{k_1, k_2+1} (\emptyset)$$

We define the weak differential operators  $S'_{\gamma, x}$  and  $S'_{\mu, y}$  on  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  as

$$\langle S'_{\gamma, x} f, \emptyset \rangle = \langle f, S_{\gamma, x} \emptyset \rangle$$

$$\langle S'_{\mu, y} f, \emptyset \rangle = \langle f, S_{\mu, y} \emptyset \rangle$$

for  $\emptyset \in K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

Since  $\emptyset \rightarrow S_{\gamma, x} \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \rightarrow S_{\mu, y} \emptyset$  are continuous linear mappings of  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  into itself, the mappings  $f \rightarrow S'_{\gamma, x}$  and  $f \rightarrow S'_{\mu, y}$  are continuous linear mappings from  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  into itself.

(vi) Let  $f(x, y)$  be locally integrable function on

$I \left\{ 0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty \right\}$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ax - by}}{\int_{\gamma, \mu} (x, y)} |f(x, y)| dx dy < \infty$$

Then  $f$  generates a regular generalized function in  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ ; defined by

$$\langle f, \emptyset \rangle = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(x, y) \emptyset(x, y) dx dy. \quad \dots (2.2-6)$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \emptyset \rangle &= \left| \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x, y)}{e^{ax+by} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (x, y)} e^{ax+by} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (x, y) x \right. \\ &\quad \left. x \emptyset(x, y) dx dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \emptyset(x, y) \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{e^{ax+by} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (x, y)} \right| dx dy \end{aligned}$$

which shows that (2.2-6) truely defines a functional  $f$  on  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ . This functional is clearly a linear one.

Moreover, if  $\{\phi_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  to zero  
then  $\sum_{0,0}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} (\phi_m) \rightarrow 0$  so that  $|\langle f, \phi_m \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ .

Thus  $f$  is also continuous on  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ . Hence  $f$  generates a regular generalized function in  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

(vii) For each  $f \in K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ ; there exists a non-negative integer  $r$  and a positive constant  $C$  such that for all  $\phi \in K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$

$$|\langle f, \phi \rangle| \leq C \max_{\substack{0 < k_1 < r \\ 0 \leq k_2 \leq r}} \sum_{k_1, k_2}^{\gamma, \mu, a, b} \quad (f)$$

The proof of this statement is similar to that of [13, Theorem 3.3-1].

### 2.3 The Distributional Meijer Bessel Transforms.

We call  $f$ , a  $K_{\gamma, \mu}$  - transformable generalized function if it is a member of  $K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  for some pair  $(a, b)$  of real numbers. In view of note (iii) sec.2.2; to every  $f \in K'_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  there exists the unique reals  $\delta_f, \eta_f > 0$  (Possibly  $\delta_f = \infty, \eta_f = \infty$ ) such that  $f \in K'_{\gamma, \mu, c, d}$ .

if  $c < a < \sigma_f$ ,  $d < b < \rho_f$  and  $f \notin K'_{\nu, \mu, c, d}$  if  $c > \sigma_f$ ,  
 $d > \rho_f$ . We define the  $(\nu, \mu)^{\text{th}}$  order Meijer Bessel  
transform  $K'_{\nu, \mu}(f)$  of  $f$  as the application of  $f$  to the  
kernel  $\frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)$ ; i.e.

$$F(p, q) = \langle f(x, y), \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \rangle \quad \dots (2.3-1)$$

where  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{D}_f = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p, q) \in C^2 / \operatorname{Re} P > \rho_f, \operatorname{Re} q > \sigma_f \\ (p, q) \neq (0, 0); -\pi < \arg P < \pi \\ -\pi < \arg q < \pi \end{array} \right\}$

The right hand side of (2.3-1) has a sense because the  
application of  $f \in K'_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$  to  $\frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu}(px)$   
 $K_{\mu}(qy) \in K_{\nu, \mu, a, b}$ .  
where  $a$  and  $b$  are any real numbers such that  $\rho_f < a < \operatorname{Re} p$ ;  
 $\sigma_f < b < \operatorname{Re} q$ .

The subset  $\mathcal{D}_f$  of  $C^2$  is the region of definition  
of the transform of  $f$  and the numbers  $\rho_f$  and  $\sigma_f$  as the  
abscissae of the definition.

We refer to the operator  $K'_{\nu, \mu}: f \rightarrow F$  as the  
generalized Meijer Bessel transform of  $(\nu, \mu)^{\text{th}}$  order.

whenever  $F(p, q) = k'_{\gamma, \mu}(f)$  for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{L}_f$  then  $f$  is a  $K_{\gamma, \mu}$  - transformable generalized function when  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  are either zero or complex numbers with  $R_e \gamma > 0$ ,  $R_e \mu > 0$  and  $F(p, q)$  as in (2.3-1) and  $\mathcal{L}_f$  in usual sense.

Theorem 2.3-1 :

If  $F(p, q) = k'_{\gamma, \mu}(f)$  for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{L}_f$ , then  
for any positive integer  $m$

$$k'_{\gamma, \mu} S_{\gamma, x}^m f = p^{2m} F(p, q) \quad \dots (2.3-2)$$

$$k'_{\gamma, \mu} S_{\mu, y}^m f = p^{2m} F(p, q) \quad \dots (2.3-3)$$

Proof : By property (iii) and the equation (1.2-8)

$$\begin{aligned} k'_{\gamma, \mu} S_{\gamma, x}^m f &= \langle f(x, y), S_{\gamma, x}^m \left[ -\frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma} (px) K_{\mu} (qy) \right] \\ &= \langle f(x, y), S_{\gamma, x}^m \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{px} K_{\gamma} (px) x \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \sqrt{qy} K_{\mu} (qy) \right] \\ &= \langle f(x, y), p^{2m} \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma} (px) \cdot \\ &\quad x K_{\mu} (qy) \rangle \\ &= p^{2m} F(p, q). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove (2.3-3).

Lemma 2.3-1:

Let  $\nu_R \triangleq R_e \nu > 0$ ,  $\mu_R \triangleq R_e \mu > 0$  and let  $a, b, \delta_f$ ,  $\rho_f$  be the fixed real numbers such that  $0 < a < \delta_f$ ,  $0 < b < \rho_f$  for all  $(p, q)$  in the strip  $\mathcal{S}$  where  $\mathcal{S} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{C}^2 / p, q \neq 0 \text{ or negative number}\}$ . For  $0 < x < \infty$ ,  $0 < y < \infty$  and  $\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}$

$$\left| e^{ax+by} (px)^\nu (qy)^\mu K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy) \right| < A_{\nu, \mu} [1 + |p|^\nu] [1 + |q|^\mu]$$

where  $A_{\nu, \mu}$  is the constant with respect to  $p, q, x$  and  $y$ .

Proof :  $(px)^\nu (qy)^\mu K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy)$  is entire and hence bounded on any bounded domain. Moreover by the series expansions of  $K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy)$  as  $|px| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|qy| \rightarrow \infty$ ; we have that there exists a constant  $B_{\nu, \mu}$  such that

$$(px)^\nu (qy)^\mu K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy) < B_{\nu, \mu}, \quad \begin{cases} |px| \leq 1 \\ |qy| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

and by the asymptotic expansion in (1.2-5) there exists a constant  $C_{\nu, \mu}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (px)^\nu (qy)^\mu K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy) \right| &= \left| (px)^{\nu-1/2} (qy)^{\mu+1/2} \right| \\ &\cdot \left| (px)^{1/2} (qy)^{1/2} K_\nu(px) K_\mu(qy) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$< c_{\gamma, \mu} |px|^\gamma |qy|^\mu e^{-(px+qy)},$$

$$|px| > 1, |qy| > 1.$$

Hence for all values of  $p, q, x, y$

$$\left| (px)^\gamma (qy)^\mu K_\gamma (px) K_\mu (qy) \right| < E_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |px|^\gamma] [1 + |qy|^\mu] \cdot e^{-(px+qy)}$$

where  $E_{\gamma, \mu}$  is another constant.

It follows that for  $x, y, p, q$  and  $\gamma, \mu$  restricted as stated

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{ax+by} (px)^\gamma (qy)^\mu K_\gamma (px) K_\mu (qy) \right| &< \\ &< E_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |px|^\gamma] [1 + |qy|^\mu] e^{(ax+by)-(px+qy)} \\ &= E_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |px|^\gamma] [1 + |qy|^\mu] \cdot e^{(a-p)x+(b-q)y} \\ &< E_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |p|^\gamma] [1 + |q|^\mu] (1+x)^\gamma \cdot \\ &\quad (1+y)^\mu e^{(a-p)x+(b-q)y}. \\ &< A_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |p|^\gamma] [1 + |q|^\mu]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof where  $A_{\gamma, \mu}$  is constant with respect to  $p, q, x$  and  $y$ .

We shall now prove the analyticity theorem for the

generalized Meijer-Bessel transform.

Theorem 2.3.2

If  $k_{\nu, \mu}(f)(p, q) = F(p, q)$  for  $(p, q) \in \sim_f$

then  $F(p, q)$  is analytic in  $p$  and for fixed  $q$ ,  $(p, q) \in \sim_f$

$$DF(p, q) = \langle f(x, y), D \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu} (px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right] \rangle$$

... (2.3-4)

where  $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$  or  $\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$

Proof : Let  $(p, q)$  be an arbitrary but the fixed point of  $\sim_f$ .

Fix  $q$  Construct two concentric circles of radii  $r$  and  $r_1$  with centre  $p$  such that both the circles are in  $\sim_f$ .

Let  $r < r_1$  and let  $|\Delta_p|$  be a non-zero complex increment in  $p$ -plane such that  $|\Delta_p| < r$ .

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(p + \Delta_p, q) - F(p, q)}{\Delta_p} &= \langle f(x, y), \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu} (px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right] \rangle \\ &= \langle f(x, y), \Psi_{\Delta_p}(x, y) \rangle \\ &\dots (2.3-5) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\Delta p}(x, y) = \frac{2}{\pi \Delta p} & \left\{ (p + \Delta p) \sqrt{p + \Delta p} q \sqrt{q} \sqrt{xy} \right. \\ & \cdot K_{\nu}[(p + \Delta p)x] \cdot K_{\mu}(qy) - \\ & \left. - [pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)] \right\} - \\ & - \frac{\partial}{\partial p} [pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\nu}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)].\end{aligned}$$

our theorem will be proven when we show that (2.3-5)  
converges to zero as  $|\Delta p| \rightarrow 0$ .

This can be done by showing that  $\Psi_{\Delta p}(x)$  converges in  
 $K_{\nu}, \mu, a, b$  to zero as  $|\Delta p| \rightarrow 0$ .

Using the fact that

$$S_{\nu, x}^k [p \sqrt{px} K_{\nu}(px) q \sqrt{qy} K_{\mu}(qy)] = p^{2k} (p \sqrt{px} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}((px) K_{\mu}(qy))$$

and by interchanging differentiation on  $p$  with differentiation on  $x$ , we may write  $S_{\nu, x}^k \Psi_{\Delta p}(x, y)$  using Cauchy's integral formulas [5] as follows :

$$S_{\nu, x}^k \Psi_{\Delta p}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{\eta^{2k} \eta \sqrt{\eta x} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy)}{(\eta - p - \Delta p)} d\eta -$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{\eta^{2k} \eta \sqrt{\eta x} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy)}{(\eta - p)\Delta_p} d\eta =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{\eta^{2k} \eta \sqrt{\eta x} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy)}{(\eta - p)^2} d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{[(\eta - p)^2 - (\eta - p - \Delta_p)(\eta - p) - (\eta - p - \Delta_p)\Delta_p]}{\Delta_p(\eta - p - \Delta_p)(\eta - p)^2} d\eta .$$

$$\cdot \eta^{2k} \eta \sqrt{\eta x} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy) d\eta .$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{\Delta_p}{(\eta - p)^2 (\eta - p - \Delta_p)} \eta^{2k} .$$

$$\cdot \eta \sqrt{\eta x} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\nu}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy) d\eta .$$

Hence

$$s_{\nu, x}^k \psi_{\Delta_p}(x, y) = \frac{\Delta_p}{\pi^2 i} \int_C \frac{\eta^{2k} \eta \sqrt{\eta x} K_{\nu}(\eta x) q \sqrt{qy} K_{\mu}(qy)}{(\eta - p)^2 (\eta - p - \Delta_p)} d\eta$$

Now for all  $\eta \in C$  and  $0 < x < \infty, 0 < y < \infty$

$$\left| e^{ax + by} x^{\nu-1/2} y^{\mu-1/2} s_{\nu, x}^k \psi_{\Delta_p}(x, y) \right| \leq$$

$$\frac{|\Delta_p|}{\pi^2} \int_C \frac{\eta^{2k + \frac{3}{2} - \nu} q^{\frac{3}{2} - \mu}}{(\eta - p)^2 (\eta - p - \Delta_p)} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left| e^{ax+by} (\eta x)^{\gamma} (qy)^{\mu} K_{\gamma}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy) \right| d\eta \\ & \leq \frac{K |\Delta_p| A \gamma, \mu [1 + |\eta|^{\gamma}] [1 + |q|^{\mu}]}{r_1^2 (r_1 - r)} \end{aligned}$$

... By lemma (2.2-1)

where  $A \gamma, \mu$  is a bound on

$\left| e^{ax+by} (\eta x)^{\gamma} (qy)^{\mu} K_{\gamma}(\eta x) K_{\mu}(qy) \right|$  and  $K$  is constant independent of  $p$  and  $x$ .

Moreover  $|\eta - p - \Delta_p| > r_1 - r > 0$  and  $|\eta - p| = r_1$ .

Thus as  $|\Delta_p| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\Psi_{\Delta_p}(x, y)$  converges to zero in  $K \gamma, \mu, a, b$ .

Consequently,

$$\langle f(x, y), \Psi_{\Delta_p}(x, y) \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\Delta_p| \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 2.3-3 :

If  $k'_{\gamma, \mu}(f)(p, q) = F(p, q)$  for  $(p, q) \in \Gamma_f$

then  $(p, q)$  is analytic in  $q$  and for fixed  $P$ ,  $(p, q) \in \Gamma_f$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q} F(p, q) = \langle f(x, y), \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right] \rangle$$

The proof of this theorem is very similar to that of theorem 2.3-2.

Theorem 2.3-4

If  $k'_{\gamma, \mu}(f)(p, q) = F(p, q)$  for  $(p, q) \in \tilde{\gamma}_f$  then  
 $F(p, q)$  is analytic on  $\tilde{\gamma}_f$  and

$$DF(p, q) = \langle f(x, y), D \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right] \rangle$$

where  $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$  or  $\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ .

Proof : By the theorems 2.3-2 and 2.3-3, at every point  $(p', q') \in \tilde{\gamma}_f$ , each of the functions  $F(p, q')$  and  $F(p', q)$  is analytic in the single variable  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Therefore invoking the Hartog's theorem [2, p.140], we see that  $F(p, q)$  is analytic on  $\tilde{\gamma}_f$ .

Theorem 2.3-5 [Boundedness of  $F(p, q)$  ].

Let  $k'_{\gamma, \mu}(f)(p, q) = F(p, q)$  for  $(p, q) \in \tilde{\gamma}_f$  and  $a, b$  be any real numbers such that  $a > \rho_f$ ,  $b > \delta_f$ .

Also let  $\Lambda_{c, d}$  be the subset of  $\tilde{\gamma}_f$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{c, d} = \left\{ (p, q) \in \tilde{\gamma}_f / R_e p \geq c, R_e q \geq d \right. \\ \text{and } |p| \geq c - \rho_f \\ |q| \geq d - \delta_f . \end{aligned}$$

then  $F(p, q)$  is bounded according to

$$|F(p, q)| \leq P_{c, d} (|p| + |q|) \text{ where } P_{c, d} (|p| + |q|)$$

is a polynomial in  $|p|$   $|q|$  depending on  $c$  and  $d$ .

Proof : For we choose two real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\gamma_f < a < c$  and  $\delta_f < b < d$ . Then for each fixed  $(p, q)$  with  $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$  and for  $R_e P \geq c$ ,  $R_e q \geq d$ ,

$$\frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqxy} K_{\gamma_f}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \in K_{\gamma_f, \mu, a, b}.$$

Moreover  $f \in K'_{\gamma_f, \mu, a, b}$  and in view of general result

[14, Theorem 1.8.11] there exists a constant  $c > 0$  and a non-negative integer  $r$  such that for  $(p, q) \neq (0, 0)$ ,  $R_e P > c$ ,  $R_e q \geq d$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(p, q)| &\leq C \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \int_{k_1, k_2} [P \sqrt{px} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\gamma_f}(px) K_{\mu}(qy)] \\ &= C \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty}} \left| e^{ax+by} j_{\gamma_f, \mu}(x, y) s_{\gamma_f, \mu}^{k_1, k_2} \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. P \sqrt{px} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\gamma_f}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right| \\ &= C \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} \sup_{\substack{0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty}} \left| e^{ax+by} j_{\gamma_f, \mu}(x, y) \cdot \right. \\ &\quad \left. p^{2k_1} q^{2k_2} P \sqrt{px} q \sqrt{qy} K_{\gamma_f}(px) K_{\mu}(qy) \right| \end{aligned}$$

If  $\gamma = 0, \mu = 0$  then

$$|F(p, q)| \leq C \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} |p|^{2k_1 + \frac{3}{2}} |q|^{2k_2 + \frac{3}{2}}.$$

$$\cdot \sup_{\begin{array}{l} 0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty \end{array}} \left| \frac{e^{ax+by} K_0(px) K_0(qy)}{h(x) h(y)} \right|$$

$$\text{Since for all } x, y > 0, \quad \left| \frac{e^{ax+by} K_0(px) K_0(qy)}{h(x) h(y)} \right| < A_0$$

where  $A_0$  is constant with respect to  $p, q, x$  and  $y$

[14, lemma 6.5-2]

Hence

$$|F(p, q)| < A_0 \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} |p|^{2k_1 + \frac{3}{2}} |q|^{2k_2 + \frac{3}{2}}$$

$$|F(p, q)| \leq C \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} |p|^{2k_1 + \frac{3}{2}} \rightarrow q^{2k_2 + \frac{3}{2} - \mu}.$$

$$\cdot \sup_{\begin{array}{l} 0 < x < \infty \\ 0 < y < \infty \end{array}} \left| e^{ax+by} (px)^{\gamma} (qy)^{\mu} K_{\gamma} (px) K_{\mu} (qy) \right|$$

and since

$$\left| e^{ax+by} (px)^{\gamma} (qy)^{\mu} K_{\gamma} (px) K_{\mu} (qy) \right| < A_{\gamma, \mu} [1 + |p|^{\gamma}] \cdot [1 + |q|^{\mu}]$$

Hence

$$|F(p, q)| < A_{\nu, \mu} \max_{0 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq r} [ |p|^{2k_1 + \frac{3-\nu}{2}} \cdot |q|^{2k_2 + \frac{3-\mu}{2}} \cdot (1 + |p|^{\nu}) (1 + |q|^{\mu}) ]$$

where  $A_{\nu, \mu}$  is constant with respect to  $p, q, x$  and  $y$  and the theorem follows.

#### 2.4 Inversion Theorem

The inversion formula for distributional Meijer Bessel transform determines the restriction to  $D(I)$  of any  $K_{\nu, \mu}$  - transformable generalized function from its Meijer Bessel transform. From this we will obtain an incomplete version of uniqueness theorem, which states that two  $K_{\nu, \mu}$  - transformable generalized functions having the same transform must have the same restriction to  $D(I)$ .

##### Theorem 2.4-1

Let  $K_{\nu, \mu}(f)(p, q) = F(p, q)$ ,  $(p, q) \in \sim_f$  where  $(p, q)$  is restricted to the real positive axis and let

$$\nu \geq -\frac{1}{2}, \mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Then for each  $\theta \in D(I)$

$$< \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} \int_{\sigma-iR'}^{\sigma+iR'} F(p, q) \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\nu}(px) I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq,$$



$$, \theta(x, y) > \rightarrow \langle f, \theta \rangle \text{ as } R, R' \rightarrow \infty \quad \dots (2.4-1)$$

Proof : Let  $\theta \in D(I)$ . Choose real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $0 < a < \delta_f$  and  $0 < b < q_f$ . Since the integral in (2.4-1) is a continuous function of  $(x, y)$ , it generates a regular distribution in  $D(I)$ . Hence we have

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} \int_{\sigma-iR'}^{\sigma+iR'} F(p, q) \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\gamma}(px) I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq, \theta(x, y) \right\rangle$$

$$\theta(x, y) >$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \theta(x, y) dx dy \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} \int_{\sigma-iR'}^{\sigma+iR'} F(p, q) .$$

$$. \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\gamma}(px) I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq.$$

Since  $\theta$  is of bounded support and the integrand on right hand side is a continuous function of  $(x, y, p, q)$ , we can change the order of integration and obtain

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_{\sigma-iR}^{\sigma+iR} \int_{\sigma-iR'}^{\sigma+iR'} F(p, q) \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\gamma}(px) . I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq, \theta(x, y) \right\rangle$$

$$. I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq, \theta(x, y) >$$

...

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} f(t_1, t_2) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pq t_1 t_2} K_\mu(t_1 p) \\
 &\quad \cdot K_\mu(t_2 q) dp dq \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \theta(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{y}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_\nu(px) dx dy. \\
 &\quad \cdot I_\nu(qy) dx dy. \quad \dots (2.4-2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\Phi(p, q) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \theta(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{y}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_\nu(px) I_\nu(qy) dx dy.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{R_1 R_2}(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} \Phi(p, q) (pq \sqrt{pq t_1 t_2}) K_\mu(pt_1) \\
 &\quad \cdot K_\mu(q t_2) dp dq.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| e^{at_1 + bt_2} t_1^{-1/2} s_{\nu, \mu, t_1, t_2}^{k_1, k_2} M_{R_1 R_2}(t_1, t_2) \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} p^{2k_1 + \frac{1}{2}} q^{2k_2 + \frac{1}{2} - \mu} (pt_1)^{\nu} (qt_2)^{\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\cdot e^{at_1 + bt_2} K_\mu(pt_1) K_\mu(qt_2) \Phi(p, q) dp dq \\
 &\leq B \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} \Phi(p, q) p^{2k_1 + \frac{1}{2} - \nu} q^{2k_2 + \frac{1}{2} - \mu} dp dq
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $B$  is a suitable constant bound on  $e^{at_1 + bt_2} (pt_1)^{\nu}$ .

$$\cdot (qt_2)^\mu \cdot (pt_1) K_\mu(qt_2).$$

Hence  $M_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) \in K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  for each  $R, R' > 0$

Using the Riemann sum technique we can write equation  
(2.4-2) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \frac{1}{2\pi i^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} F(p, q) \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\gamma}(\rho x) I_p \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. (qy) d\rho dq, \theta(x, y) \right\rangle \\ & = \left\langle f(t_1, t_2), M_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) \right\rangle, R, R' > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This has a sense because  $M_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) \in K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$ .

Hence the theorem will be proven when we show that

$M_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \theta(t_1, t_2)$  in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$  as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since

$\frac{1}{\pi} (p, q) \frac{2}{\pi} pq \sqrt{pqt_1 t_2} K_{\gamma}(pt_1) K_p(qt_2)$  is smooth and  
 $\theta \in D(I)$ , we may repeatedly differentiate under integral sign and use equations (1.2-8), (1.2-9) to write

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\gamma, \mu, t_1, t_2}^{k_1, k_2} M_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} s_{\gamma, \mu, t_1, t_2}^{k_1, k_2} \frac{1}{\pi} (p, q) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot pq \sqrt{pqt_1 t_2} K_{\gamma}(pt_1) K_p \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (qt_2) d\rho dq. \end{aligned}$$

...

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} p^{2k_1} q^{2k_2} pq \sqrt{pq t_1 t_2} K_{\nu}(pt_1) .$$

$$\cdot K_{\mu}(qt_2) dp dq .$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \theta(x, y) \left(\frac{x}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{y}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{\nu}(px) . \right.$$

$$\left. \cdot I_{\mu}(qy) dx dy \right\} .$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} \sqrt{t_1 t_2} p^{-1/2} q^{-1/2} K_{\nu}(pt_1) .$$

$$\cdot K_{\mu}(qt_2) dp dq .$$

$$\cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \theta(x, y) p^{2k_1} q^{2k_2} [pq \sqrt{pqxy} I_{\nu}(px) .$$

$$\cdot I_{\mu}(qy) ] dx dy .$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} \sqrt{t_1 t_2} p^{-1/2} q^{-1/2} K_{\nu}(pt_1) .$$

$$\cdot K_{\mu}(qt_2) dp dq .$$

$$\cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \theta(x, y) S_{\nu, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} [pq \sqrt{pqxy} I_{\nu}($$

$$\cdot (px) I_{\mu}(qy) ] dx dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} pq \sqrt{t_1 t_2} K_{\nu}(pt_1) K_{\mu}(qt_2) dp dq .$$

$$\cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \sqrt{xy} I_{\nu}(px) I_{\mu}(qy) S_{\nu, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \theta(x, y) dx dy .$$

The last equality is obtained by integrating by parts the inner integral  $2k_1$  times with respect to  $x$  first and then  $2k_2$  times with respect to  $y$  and noting that  $\theta$  is of compact support. Let us reverse the order of integration and use equation (1.2-10) to obtain

$$L_{R,R'}^{(x,y,t_1,t_2)} = \frac{\sqrt{xyt_1t_2}}{\pi^2} \int_{-R}^R \int_{-R'}^{R'} pq K_\nu(pt_1) \cdot$$

$$\cdot K_\mu(qt_2) I_\nu(px) I_\mu(qy) dq dq$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{xyt_1t_2} R R'}{\pi^2(x^2-t_1^2)(y^2-t_2^2)} \left\{ \begin{aligned} & [x I_{\nu+1}(Rx) K_\nu(Rt_1) + t_1 K_{\nu+1} \\ & \cdot (Rt_1) I_\nu(Rx) ]. \\ & [y I_{\mu+1}(R'y) K_\mu(R't_2) + \\ & + t_2 K_{\mu+1}(R't_2) I_\mu(R'y)] \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (2.4-3)$$

Hence we obtain

$$S_{\nu,\mu t_1,t_2}^{k_1,k_2} M_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty L_{R,R'}^{(x,y,t_1,t_2)}$$

$$S_{\nu,\mu,x,y}^{k_1,k_2} \theta(x,y) dx dy$$

$$\dots (2.4-4)$$

Denote  $s_{\gamma, \mu, x, y}^{k_1, k_2} \theta(x, y)$  by  $\theta_k(x, y)$

Now suppose that the support of  $\theta(x, y)$  is contained in  $[A, B] \times [C, D]$  where  $0 < A < B < \infty$ ,  $0 < C < D < \infty$ .

Let us break the integral in (2.4-4) into

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{\gamma, \mu, t_1, t_2}^{k_1, k_2} M_{R, R'}^{(t_1, t_2)} &= \int_0^{t_2-\delta} \int_0^{\infty} + \int_{t_2-\delta}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_1-\delta} + \\
 &+ \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_1+\delta} + \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1+\delta}^{\infty} + \\
 &+ \int_{t_2+\delta}^{\infty} \int_{t_1-\delta}^{\infty} \\
 &= v_1(t_1, t_2) + v_2(t_1, t_2) + v_3(t_1, t_2) + v_4(t_1, t_2) + \\
 &+ v_5(t_1, t_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We shall first show that

$$N_{R, R'}^{(t_1, t_2)} \triangleq e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (t_1, t_2) [v_3(t_1, t_2) - \theta_k(t_1, t_2)].$$

converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,  $0 < t_2 < \infty$   
as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

If either  $0 < t_1 + \delta \leq A$ ,  $0 < t_2 < \infty$  or

$t_1 - \delta \geq B$ ,  $0 < t_2 < \infty$  and either  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,

$0 < t_2 + \delta \leq C$  or  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,  $t_2 - \delta \geq D$  then

$v_3(t_1, t_2) \leq 0$  and  $\theta_k(t_1, t_2) \leq 0$

Therefore we have to consider the rectangle  $A - \delta < t_1 < B + \delta$ ,

$C - \delta < t_2 < D + \delta$ .

Moreover since the support of  $\theta_k[A, B] \times [C, D]$ , we take the integral in (2.4-4) on  $[A, B] \times [C, D]$ .

For  $R, R' > 0$ , using the asymptotic expansions

(1.2-4), (1.2-5), (1.2-6) to estimate  $N_{R, R'}^{(t_1, t_2)}$  we have

for large  $R, R'$

$$N_{R, R'}^{(t_1, t_2)} = \frac{e^{at_1 + bt_2}}{\pi^2} \int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \int_{t_1 - \delta}^{t_1 + \delta} j_{\nu, \mu}(t_1, t_2)$$

$$\frac{\sin(Rx - Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)}.$$

$$\cdot e^{\delta x - \delta t_1} e^{\delta y - \delta t_2} \theta_k(x, y) dy dx + \frac{e^{at_1 + bt_2}}{\pi^2} j_{\nu, \mu}(t_1, t_2)$$

$$\int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \int_{t_1 - \delta}^{t_1 + \delta} \frac{\sin(Rx - Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} e^{\delta x - \delta t_1} e^{\delta y - \delta t_2}.$$

...

$$\cdot \theta(x, y) \left[ \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) \right\} . \right.$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) 0 \right. .$$

$$\cdot \left. \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) \right] dy dx - \frac{+e^{at_1+bt_2} J_{\gamma, \mu}(t_1, t_2)}{\pi^2} [1 +$$

$$+ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right)] [1 + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right)].$$

$$\int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_1+\delta} \frac{\cos(Rx+Rt_1-\gamma\pi) \cos(R'y + R't_2-\mu\pi)}{(x+t_1)(y+t_2)} .$$

$$e^{-6x-6t_1} \cdot e^{-6y-6t_2} \theta_k(x, y) [1 + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right)] [1 +$$

$$+ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right)] dy dx - e^{at_1+bt_2} J_{\gamma, \mu}(t_1, t_2) \theta_k(t_1, t_2).$$

... (2.4-5)

First, consider the fourth term of (2.4-5).

Since supp  $\theta(x, y) \subset [A, B] \times [C, D]$ , the function is bounded on

$$\left\{ (x, y, t_1, t_2) / A < x < B, C < y < D \right. \\ \left. A-\delta < t_1 < B + \delta, C-\delta < t_2 < D + \delta \right\}$$

Hence for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the magnitude of this term can

be made less than  $\epsilon/2$  for all  $R, R' > 1$  by choosing  $\delta$  small enough say  $\delta = \delta_1$ .

Now consider the sum of the first and last term in (2.4-5).

We can write this sum as

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_1+\delta} H(T_1, T_2, t_1, t_2) \sin(RT_1) \sin(R'T_2) dt_2 dt_1 +$$

$$e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (t_1, t_2) \theta_k(t_1, t_2) .$$

$$\cdot \left[ -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{(t_2-\delta)R'}^{(t_2+\delta)R'} \frac{\sin v}{v} dv \cdot \int_{(t_1-\delta)R}^{(t_1+\delta)R} \frac{\sin u}{u} du - 1 \right].$$

... (2.4-6)

where

$$H(T_1, T_2, t_1, t_2) = e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu} (t_1, t_2) .$$

$$\cdot \left[ \frac{\theta_k \left\{ (T_1+t_1)(T_2+t_2) e^{6T_1} e^{6T_2} \right\} - \theta_k(t_1, t_2)}{T_1 T_2} \right].$$

Since  $H(T_1, T_2, t_1, t_2)$  is a continuous function of  $(T_1, T_2, t_1, t_2)$  and supp.  $\theta(t_1, t_2) \subset [A, B] \times [C, D]$ ,  $H(T_1, T_2, t_1, t_2)$  is bounded function of  $(T_1, T_2)$  on  $t_1-\delta < T_1 < t_1 + \delta, t_2-\delta < T_2 < t_2 + \delta$  for all  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

Hence choosing  $\delta$  small enough say  $\delta = \delta_2$ , the first term in (2.4-6) can be made less than  $\epsilon/2$  for all  $R, R' > 1$ .

Now fix  $\delta = \min. (\delta_1, \delta_2)$ . The second term in (2.4-6) converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

Let us consider the second term in (2.4-5) which is

$$\frac{1}{\pi^2} e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}^{(t_1, t_2)} \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1-\delta}^{t_1+\delta}$$

$$\frac{\sin(Rx-Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} .$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot e^{6x-6t_1} e^{6y-6t_2} \theta_k(x, y) \left[ \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) \cdot 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) \right\} \cdot \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) \cdot 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) \right\} \right] dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \frac{\sin(Rx-Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} \cdot 0(|px|^{-1}) 0(|qy|^{-1}) \right|_A$$

11840

...

$$\leq \left| \frac{\sin(Rx-Rt_1) \sin(R'y-R't_2)}{(Rx-Rt_1)(R'y-R't_2)} \right| \cdot \frac{C_{R,R'}}{|6+iR| |6+iR'|}$$

$$\leq C$$

and similarly

$$\left| \frac{\sin(Rx-Rt_1) \sin(R'y-R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} \cdot 0(|pt_1|^{-1}) 0(|qt_2|^{-1}) \right| \leq C$$

Hence for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , the magnitude of this term can be made less than  $\epsilon/2$  for all  $R, R' > 1$  by choosing  $\delta$  small enough say  $\delta = \delta_3$ . Similarly we can show the convergence of the third term in (2.4-5).

Thus  $|N_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)}| < \epsilon$  on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $N_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)}$  converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$  as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now consider

$$p_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)} = e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}^{(t_1,t_2)} v_1(t_1,t_2)$$

$$= e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}^{(t_1,t_2)} \int_0^{t_2-\delta} \int_0^{\infty} L_{R,R'}^{(x,y,t_1,t_2)}$$

$$\cdot \theta_k(x,y) dy dx$$

For  $0 < t_1 < \infty, t_2 - \delta < C$ ,  $p_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)} = 0$

Therefore, we have to consider the range

$$0 < t_1 < \infty, \quad C < t_2 - \delta < \infty$$

$$\text{Let } D' = \min(D, t_2 - \delta)$$

For large  $R, R'$ , using the asymptotic expansions as before,  
we get

$$P_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{e^{(at_1+bt_2)-\delta(t_1+t_2)}}{\pi^2} J_{\nu, \mu}(t_1, t_2).$$

$$\cdot \left[ \int_0^{t_2-\delta} \int_0^\infty e^{\delta x} e^{\delta y} \phi_k(x, y) \right.$$

$$\frac{\sin(Rx-Rt_1) \sin(R'y-R't_2)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} dy dx -$$

$$- \int_0^{t_2-\delta} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\delta x} e^{-\delta y} \phi_k(x, y) \cos(Rx+Rt_1)}{(x+t_1)(y+t_2)} -$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \cos(R'y + R't_2 - \mu\pi) dy dx +$$

$$+ \int_0^{t_2-\delta} \int_0^\infty \left\{ \frac{e^{\delta x} e^{\delta y} \phi_k(x, y) \sin(Rx-}\right.$$

$$\left. - R't_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2) \right\} +$$

...

$$+ \frac{e^{-\delta x} e^{-\delta y} \theta_k(x, y) \cos(Rx + Rt_1 - \gamma \pi) \cos(R'y + R't_2 - \mu \pi)}{(x + t_1)(y + t_2)} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|px|} \right) 0 \left( \frac{1}{|pt_1|} \right) \right\} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \left\{ 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) + 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qy|} \right) 0 \left( \frac{1}{|qt_2|} \right) \right\} \cdot ] dy dx$$

... (2.4-7)

We note that  $e^{(at_1+bt_2)-\delta(t_1+t_2)}$   $\int_{\gamma, \mu} (t_1, t_2)$

is bounded function for  $t_1 - \delta > A$ ,  $t_2 - \delta > B$ .

Similarly the quantity

$$\frac{e^{\delta x} e^{\delta y} \theta_k(x, y) \sin(Rx - Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x - t_1)(y - t_2)} +$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-\delta x} e^{-\delta y} \theta_k(x, y) \cos(Rx + Rt_1 - \gamma \pi) \cos(R'y + R't_2 - \mu \pi)}{(x + t_1)(y + t_2)} \text{ is}$$

bounded on the domain

$$(H) = \left\{ (x, y, t_1, t_2) / \begin{array}{l} A < x < B, C < y < D, \\ 0 < t_1 < \infty, C < t_2 - \delta < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

which implies that the last term in (2.4-7) converges uniformly to zero for  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,  $C < t_2 - \delta < \infty$  as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now integrating by parts the inner integral in the first term within the braces in (2.4-7) and noting that for any  $y > 0$ , the limits at A and at B are zero, the integral in the first term equals

$$\int_C^{D'} \frac{e^{6y}}{(y-t_2)} \frac{1}{R} \left\{ \int_A^B [D_x \left( \frac{e^{6x} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right) \cos(Rx-Rt_1) dx \right\} . \\ \sin(R'y - R't_2) dy.$$

Again integrating by parts with respect to y, we get

$$\frac{1}{RR'} \left\{ \frac{e^{6y}}{(y-t_2)} \int_A^B [D_x \left( \frac{e^{6x} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right) \cos(Rx-Rt_1) dx \right. \\ \left. \left\{ -\cos(R'y - R't_2) \right\}_C^{D'} + \frac{1}{RR'} \int_C^{D'} dy \int_A^B \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \cdot \left( \frac{e^{6x} e^{6y} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} \right) \cdot [\cos(Rx-Rt_1)][\cos(R'y - R't_2)] dx \right] \right\} . \\ \dots (2.4-8)$$

The lower limit term is zero and if  $D \leq t_2 - \delta$ , the upper limit term is also zero.

Since  $D_x \left( \frac{e^{6x} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right)$  is bounded by a constant

say M on  $\left\{ (x, y, t_1) / A < x < B, C < y < D, 0 < t_1 < \infty \right\}$

for  $t_2 - \delta < D$ , the upper term is bounded by  $\frac{1}{RR'} M(B-A)$ ,

consequently the upper limit term converges to zero for

$$0 < t_1 < \infty, C < t_2 - \delta < \infty \text{ as } R, R' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left( \frac{e^{6x} e^{6y} \phi_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)(y-t_2)} \right)$  is bounded on the

domain  $H$  which implies that the second term in (2.4-8) also converges uniformly to zero for  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,

$$C < t_2 - \delta < \infty \text{ as } R, R' \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now consider the second term in (2.4-7).

Upon integrating by parts the inner integral, we have

$$\left\{ \frac{\phi_k(x, y) e^{-6x} \sin(Rx+Rt_1 - \sqrt{\mu}\pi)}{R(x+t_1)} \right\}_A^B$$

$$= \int_A^B D_x \left( \frac{\phi_k(x, y) e^{-6x}}{(x+t_1)} \right) \frac{\sin(Rx+Rt_1 - \sqrt{\mu}\pi)}{R} dx$$

For any  $y > 0$ , both the upper and lower limits are zero and hence the second term in (2.4-7) equals to

$$\frac{e^{(at_1+bt_2) - \delta(t_1+t_2)}}{\pi^2} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma+\mu}(t_1, t_2) \int_C^{D'} dy \int_A^B$$

$$\cdot [D_x \left( \frac{\phi_k(x, y) e^{-6x}}{(x+t_1)} \right)] \cdot \frac{\sin(Rx+Rt_1 - \sqrt{\mu}\pi)}{R} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \frac{\cos(R'y + R't_2 - \mu\pi)}{(y+t_2)} \cdot e^{-6y} dx.$$

Since

$$\frac{e^{(at_1+bt_2)} - e^{(t_1+t_2)} e^{-\delta y}}{(y + t_2)} \frac{Dx}{(x+t_1)} \left( \frac{\phi_k(x, y) e^{-\delta x}}{(x+t_1)} \right)$$

is bounded on the domain  $\textcircled{H}$ , the second term in (2.4-7) converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, C < t_2 - \delta < \infty$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $R' > 1$ . Similarly we can show the convergence of the third term. Let us consider the emergence of the fourth term in (2.4-7).

For all  $R, R' > 1$ , the integrand is bounded on

$$\left\{ (x, y, t_1, t_2) / A < x < B, C < y < D, 0 < t_1 < \infty, C < t_2 - \delta < \infty \right\}$$

by a constant independent of  $R$  and  $R'$ . Therefore for given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $\delta$  so small, say  $\delta = \delta_4$  such that the magnitude of this term can be made less than  $\epsilon/2$  for all  $R, R' > 1$ .

Thus  $p_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2)$  converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

$$\text{Let } p_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2) = e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}(t_1, t_2) v_4(t_1, t_2)$$

$$= \frac{e^{at_1+bt_2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}(t_1, t_2)}{\pi^2} \int_{t_2-\delta}^{t_2+\delta} \int_{t_1+\delta}^{\infty} L_{R, R'}(x, y, t_1, t_2) dy dt_1 dx$$

...

$$\theta_k(x, y) dy dx.$$

For the ranges  $B \leq t_1 + \delta < \infty$ ,  $0 < t_2 < \infty$ ,  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,  
 $0 < t_2 + \delta < C$  and  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,  $D \leq t_2 - \delta < \infty$

$$p_{R,R'}(t_1, t_2) = 0.$$

So we consider the range  $0 < t_1 + \delta < B$ ,  $C - \delta < t_2 < D + \delta$

Let  $A' = \max(A, t_1 + \delta)$

Using the asymptotic expansions for  $R > 0$  and large  $R'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{R,R'}(t_1 + t_2) &= \frac{e^{(at_1 + bt_2) - \sigma(t_1 + t_2)}}{\pi^2} \int_{A'}^{\infty} \int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \theta_k(x, y) dy dx \\
&\times \int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \int_{A'}^B \frac{e^{6x} e^{6y} \theta_k(x, y) \sin(Rx - Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x - t_1)(y - t_2)} dy dx \\
&- \int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \int_{A'}^B \frac{e^{-6x} e^{-6y} \theta_k(x, y) \cos(Rx + Rt_1 - \gamma\pi) \cos((x + t_1)(y + t_2)}{(x + t_1)(y + t_2)} \\
&\cdot \frac{. (R'y + R't_2 - \mu\pi)}{. dy dx} + \\
&+ \int_{t_2 - \delta}^{t_2 + \delta} \int_{A'}^B \left[ \frac{e^{6x} e^{6y} \theta_k(x, y) \sin(Rx - Rt_1) \sin(R'y - R't_2)}{(x - t_1)(y - t_2)} \right] + \\
&\dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{e^{-6x} e^{-6y} \phi_k(x, y) \cos(Rx + Rt_1 - \pi) \cos(R'y + Rt_2 - \mu\pi)}{(x + t_1)(y + t_2)} ] .$$

$$\cdot [ 0(\frac{1}{|px|}) + 0(\frac{1}{|pt_1|}) + (\frac{1}{|px|}) 0(\frac{1}{|pt_1|}) ] .$$

$$\cdot [ 0(\frac{1}{|qy|}) + 0(\frac{1}{|qt_2|}) + 0(\frac{1}{|qy|}) 0(\frac{1}{|qt_2|}) ] .$$

. dy dx ... (2.4-9)

Consider the inner integral in the first term in the braces in (2.4-9) which is

$$\int_A^B \frac{e^{6x} \phi_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \sin(Rx - Rt_1) dx$$

Upon integrating by parts the above integral we get

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} -\frac{e^{6x} \phi_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \quad \frac{\cos(Rx - Rt_1)}{R} \\ \end{array} \right\} \Big|_A^B +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{R} \int_A^B \left[ D_x \left( \frac{e^{6x} \phi_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right) \cos(Rx - Rt_1) dx \right] . \dots (2.4-10)$$

The upper limit term is zero so is the lower limit term if  $A > t_1 + \delta$  and on the other hand, if  $t_1 + \delta > A$ , the lower limit term is bounded by

$$(R\delta)^{-1} \sup_{\substack{A < x < B \\ C < y < D}} \left| \frac{e^{\delta x} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right|$$

Consequently, the lower limit term converges to zero for  $0 < t_1 + \delta < A, C < y < D$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover

$D_x \left( \frac{e^{\delta x} \theta_k(x, y)}{(x-t_1)} \right)$  is bounded on the domain,

$$\left\{ (x, y, t_1) / A < x < B, C < y < D, 0 < t_1 + \delta < B \right\}$$

which implies that the second term in (2.4-10) also converges uniformly to zero for  $0 < t_1 + \delta < B, C < y < D$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence for large  $R'$ , first, second and third terms in (2.4-9) converge to zero uniformly for  $0 < t_1 + \delta < B, C - \delta < t_2 < D + \delta$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now for all  $R, R' > 1$ , the integrand in the fourth term in braces is bounded on  $\left\{ (x, y, t_1, t_2) / A < x < B, C < y < D, 0 < t_1 + \delta < B, C - \delta < t_2 < D + \delta \right\}$

by a constant independent of  $R$  and  $R'$ . Thus  $P'_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2)$  converges uniformly to zero on  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

Again using the similar arguments as in the previous cases, we can show that  $N'_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2)$  and  $Q'_{R, R'}(t_1, t_2)$  converge uniformly to zero for  $0 < t_1 < \infty, 0 < t_2 < \infty$ .

as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

where  $N_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)}$  and  $Q_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)}$  are given by

$$N_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)} = \frac{e^{at_1+bt_2}}{\pi^2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}^{(t_1, t_2)} \int_{t_2-\delta}^{\infty} \int_0^{t_1-\delta}$$

$$L_{R,R'}^{(x,y,t_1,t_2)} \theta_k(x,y) dy dx$$

and

$$Q_{R,R'}^{(t_1,t_2)} = \frac{e^{at_1+bt_2}}{\pi^2} \int_{\gamma, \mu}^{(t_1, t_2)} \int_{t_2+\delta}^{\infty} \int_{t_1+\delta}^{\infty}$$

$$L_{R,R'}^{(x,y,t_1,t_2)} \theta_k(x,y) dy dx.$$

Thus

$$e^{at_1+bt_2} t_1^{-1/2} t_2^{\mu-1/2} S_{\gamma, \mu, t_1, t_2}^{k_1, k_2} [ M_{R,R'}^{(t_1, t_2)} ]$$

$\theta(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ ,

$0 < t_2 < \infty$  as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ .

which implies that  $M_{R,R'}^{(t_1, t_2)} \rightarrow \theta(t_1, t_2)$  in  $K_{\gamma, \mu, a, b}$

as  $R, R' \rightarrow \infty$ , and the theorem is proved.

As a result of the inversion theorem we have the

following uniqueness theorem.

Theorem 2.4-2

Let  $F(p, q) = k_{\gamma, \mu}(f)$  for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{U}_f$  and  
 $G(p, q) = k_{\gamma, \mu}(g)$  for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{U}_g$ .  
If  $\mathcal{U}_f \cap \mathcal{U}_g \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(p, q) = G(p, q)$  for  $(p, q) \in \mathcal{U}_f \cap \mathcal{U}_g$  then  $f = g$  in the sense of equality in  $D'(I)$ .

Proof : By the inversion theorem, in the sense of convergence in  $D'(I)$ , we have

$$F(x, y) - g(x, y) = \lim_{R, R' \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-iR}^{+iR} \int_{-iR'}^{+iR'} [F(p, q) - G(p, q)] d\mu(q) dp.$$
$$\cdot \left( \frac{x}{p} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{y}{q} \right)^{1/2} I_{\gamma}(px) I_{\mu}(qy) dp dq = 0.$$

Thus  $f = g$  in the sense of equality in  $D'(I)$ .