

[illegible]

CHAPTER III

3.1 Introduction :

In this chapter we shall prove substitution theorems for distributional Mellin Transformation with two variables. These theorems are similar to those given by Buschman [1]. For this, first we shall define space $L_{a,b}$ and establish isomorphism between spaces $M_{a,b}$ and $L_{a,b}$. Then using the isomorphism between spaces $M_{a,b}$ and $L_{a,b}$; we derive the results.

3.2 Testing function space $L_{a,b}$ and its Dual :

Suppose $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2)$, $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$; $a < b$.

we define a function

$$K_{a,b}(s,t) = K_{a_1,a_2; b_1,b_2}(s,t)$$
$$= \begin{cases} e^{a_1 s} \cdot e^{a_2 t} & 0 \leq s < \infty, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \\ e^{a_1 s} \cdot e^{b_2 t} & 0 \leq s < \infty, \quad -\infty < t < 0 \\ e^{b_1 s} \cdot e^{a_2 t} & -\infty < s < 0, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty \\ e^{b_1 s} \cdot e^{b_2 t} & -\infty < s < 0, \quad -\infty < t < 0 \end{cases}$$

Let $L_{a_1,a_2; b_1,b_2}$ denote the linear space of all complex-valued smooth functions $\phi(s,t)$ on \mathbb{R}^2 ; such that for non-negative integer $k = (k_1, k_2)$ the functionals λ_k satisfy

$$\lambda_k(\phi) \triangleq \lambda_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; k_1, k_2}(\phi)$$

$$\triangleq \sup_{\substack{-\infty < s < \infty \\ -\infty < t < \infty}} \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \phi(s,t) \right| < \infty \quad \dots (3.2.1)$$

The collection $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a collection of seminorms, and further a multinorm on $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$. If we assign to $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$ the topology generated by this multinorm then it becomes a multinormed space. $e^{-su} e^{-tv}$ is a member of $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$ if and only if $a_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} u \leq b_1$; $a_2 \leq \operatorname{Re} v \leq b_2$.

A sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy-sequence in $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$ if and only if each ϕ_n is in $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$ and for each fixed non-negative integer $K = (k_1, k_2)$ the functions

$$K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \phi(s,t)$$

converge uniformly on \mathbb{R}^2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This type of convergence we shall refer as convergence in $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$. Hereafterwards the space $L_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2}$ will be denoted simply by $L_{a,b}$, unless it is specifically mentioned. It is clear that $L_{a,b}$ is sequentially complete and hence a Frechet space.

Dual space of $L_{a,b}$ is denoted by $L'_{a,b}$. Thus $L'_{a,b}$ consists of continuous linear functionals on $L_{a,b}$. Since $L_{a,b}$



is a testing-function space, $L'_{a,b}$ is space of generalized functions. We assign to $L'_{a,b}$ its customary (weak) topology. It follows that $L'_{a,b}$ is also complete.

3.3 Laplace Transformation \mathcal{E} :

Now we shall define Laplace transformation \mathcal{E} of a distribution f . We shall say that a distribution f is \mathcal{E} -transformable if there exists at least one pair of points $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with $a_1 < b_1$; $a_2 < b_2$ such that $f \in L'_{a,b}$. For each such f there exists a unique set Ω_f in c^2 which is defined as follows.

A point $(u, v) \in c^2$ is in Ω_f if and only if there exist two points $a = (a_1, a_2)$, $b = (b_1, b_2)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 with $a_1 < b_1$; $a_2 < b_2$ such that $a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1$; $a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$ and $f \in L'_{a,b}$. Ω_f is a tube, since if $\sigma_1 + iw_1 \in \Omega_f$ for some fixed σ_1 and w_1 , then $\sigma_1 + iw \in \Omega_f$ for all w . Moreover Ω_f is an open set.

Note that if $x = (x_1, x_2)$, $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that the tube $x_1 \leq \operatorname{Re} u \leq y_1$, $x_2 \leq \operatorname{Re} v \leq y_2$ is contained in Ω_f , then $f \in L'_{x,y}$.

Let $f(s, t)$ be a \mathcal{E} -transformable distribution. Its Laplace transform $\mathcal{E}f$ is the function $F(u, v)$ from Ω_f into c^1 defined by

$$(\mathcal{E}f)(u, v) \triangleq F(u, v) \triangleq \langle f(s, t), e^{-us} e^{-vt} \rangle \quad \dots (3.3.1)$$

The RHS of (3.3.1) has a sense as the application of $f \in L'_{a,b}$ to $e^{-us} e^{-vt} \in L_{a,b}$ so long as $(s,t) \in \Omega_f$. Note that this definition is independent of the choices of a and b .

Lemma 3.3.1 :

Let $\mathcal{E}[f(s,t)] = F(u,v)$, for $a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1$ and $a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$ and $\Psi(u,v;s,t) \in L_{a,b}$ such that

$$\Psi(u,v;s,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f(s,t), e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} \rangle \Psi(s,t; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ = \langle f(s,t); \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(s,t; \xi, \eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta \rangle \dots (3.3.2) \end{aligned}$$

Proof : Since $\Psi(u,v;s,t) \in L_{a,b}$ is of rapid descent by similar arguments as that for lemma 3.5-1 [2, p.64] we have for fixed reals r and r'

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-r}^r \int_{-r'}^{r'} \langle f(s,t), e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} \rangle \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ = \langle f(s,t), \int_{-r}^r \int_{-r'}^{r'} \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta \rangle \dots (3.3.3) \end{aligned}$$

where $r = (r_1, r_2)$ and $r' = (r'_1, r'_2)$ satisfy $a_1 < r_1 < b_1$, $a_2 < r_2 < b_2$; $a_1 < r'_1 < b_1$; $a_2 < r'_2 < b_2$. Moreover since $\Psi(s,t; \xi, \eta)$ is of rapid descent while $\langle f(s,t), e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} \rangle$

is bounded by a polynomial in $|\xi||\eta|$, the integral on LHS of (3.3.3) converges to the integral on LHS of (3.3.2) as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r' \rightarrow \infty$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int_{-r}^r \int_{-r'}^{r'} \right) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta \right] \right| \\
 &= \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \left[\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{r'}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-r'} + \int_r^{\infty} \int_{-r'}^{r'} \right. \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. \left. + \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \int_{-r'}^{r'} \right) \Psi(u,v, \xi, \eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{r'}^{\infty} \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &+ \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-r'} \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &+ \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \int_r^{\infty} \int_{-r'}^r \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &+ \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \int_{-\infty}^{-r} \int_{-r'}^r \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &\quad \dots (3.3.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider first term on RHS of this inequality (3.3.4).

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{r'}^{\infty} \Psi(u,v,\xi,\eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{r'}^{\infty} K_{a,b}(s,t) \Psi(u,v;\xi,\eta) (-1)^{k_1+k_2} \xi^{k_1} \eta^{k_2} e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta \right| \\
 &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{r'}^{\infty} B_{k_1,k_2} \left| \Psi(u,v;\xi,\eta) \xi^{k_1} \eta^{k_2} \right| d\xi d\eta
 \end{aligned}$$

where B_{k_1,k_2} are constants.

Since $\Psi(u,v,\xi,\eta)$ is of rapid descent, the above integral, which is independent of s and t , vanishes as $r' \rightarrow \infty$.

Arguing similarly for other terms on RHS of (3.3.4) we finally obtain as $r \rightarrow \infty$, $r' \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{-r}^r \int_{-r'}^{r'} \Psi(u,v;\xi,\eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta \\
 & \longrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(u,v;\xi,\eta) e^{-\xi s} e^{-\eta t} d\xi d\eta
 \end{aligned}$$

in the space $L_{a,b}$. Proof of the lemma is now complete.

Isomorphism between spaces $M_{a,b}$ and $L_{a,b}$ is established in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3-1

Let $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ and $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be related by $x = e^{-s}$, $y = e^{-t}$. Then the mapping

$$\Theta(x,y) \longleftrightarrow e^{-s}e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s},e^{-t}) \triangleq \varnothing(s,t) \quad \dots(3.4.5)$$

is an isomorphism of $M_{a,b}$ onto $L_{a,b}$.

The inverse mapping is given by

$$\varnothing(s,t) \longleftrightarrow x^{-1}y^{-1} \varnothing(-\log x, -\log y) = \Theta(x,y) \quad \dots(3.4.6)$$

Proof : Let $\eta_1 : \Theta(x,y) \longleftrightarrow e^{-s}e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s},e^{-t})$ and

$$\eta_2 : \varnothing(s,t) \longleftrightarrow x^{-1}y^{-1} \varnothing(-\log x, -\log y).$$

$$\text{Then } (\eta_2 \circ \eta_1)(\Theta) = \eta_2[\eta_1(\Theta)]$$

$$= \eta_2[e^{-s}e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s},e^{-t})]$$

$$= x^{-1}y^{-1} \Psi(-\log x, -\log y) \text{ where}$$

$$\Psi(s,t) = e^{-s}e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s},e^{-t})$$

$$\text{Therefore } (\eta_2 \circ \eta_1)(\Theta) = x^{-1}y^{-1} e^{\log x} e^{\log y} \Theta(e^{\log x}, e^{\log y})$$

$$= x^{-1}y^{-1} xy \Theta(x,y)$$

$$= \Theta(x,y).$$

Similarly it can be shown that $\eta_1 \circ \eta_2(\varnothing) = \varnothing$.

Thus η_1 and η_2 are inverses of each other. Hence they are one-to-one and onto. Further it is clear that these mappings are linear. What remains to prove is continuity of η_1 and η_2 .

Let $\Theta(x,y) \in M_{a,b}$. If we compute

$$\frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} [e^{-s} e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s}, e^{-t})] \text{ then we get}$$

$$\sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} a_p x^{p_1+1} y^{p_2+1} \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2}}{\partial x^{p_1} \partial y^{p_2}} \Theta(x,y)$$

where a_p are constants and $p = (p_1, p_2)$.

$$\text{Therefore } K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial s^{k_1} \partial t^{k_2}} [e^{-s} e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s}, e^{-t})]$$

$$= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} a_p \zeta_{a,b}(x,y) x^{p_1+1} y^{p_2+1} \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2}}{\partial x^{p_1} \partial y^{p_2}} \Theta(x,y)$$

Hence $\lambda_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; k_1, k_2}(\emptyset)$

$$= \lambda_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; k_1, k_2} [e^{-s} e^{-t} \Theta(e^{-s}, e^{-t})]$$

$$\leq \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} |a_p| \gamma_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; p_1, p_2}(\Theta)$$

Consequently η_1 is a continuous mapping of $M_{a,b}$ onto $L_{a,b}$

Now assume $\emptyset(s,t) \in L_{a,b}$. We have

$$x^{k_1+1} y^{k_2+1} \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial x^{k_1} \partial y^{k_2}} [x^{-1} y^{-1} \emptyset(-\log x, -\log y)]$$

$$= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} b_p \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2}}{\partial s^{p_1} \partial t^{p_2}} \emptyset(s,t)$$

$$\text{Therefore } \zeta_{a,b}(x,y) x^{k_1+1} y^{k_2+1} \frac{\partial^{k_1+k_2}}{\partial x^{k_1} \partial y^{k_2}} [x^{-1} y^{-1} \phi(-\log x, -\log y)]$$

$$= \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} b_p K_{a,b}(s,t) \frac{\partial^{p_1+p_2}}{\partial s^{p_1} \partial t^{p_2}} \phi(s,t).$$

Consequently $\eta'_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; k_1 k_2}(\theta)$

$$\leq \sum_{p_1} \sum_{p_2} |b_p| \lambda_{a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2; p_1, p_2}(\phi),$$

where b_p are constants. This proves continuity of η_2 from $L_{a,b}$ onto $M_{a,b}$. It follows that space $M_{a,b}$ is isomorphic to space $L_{a,b}$, and proof of theorem is complete.

The next theorem relates dual spaces $M'_{a,b}$ and $L'_{a,b}$.

Theorem 3.3-2 :

The mapping $f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$ defined by

$$\langle f(e^{-s}, e^{-t}), \phi(s,t) \rangle \triangleq \langle f(x,y), \theta(x,y) \rangle$$

is an isomorphism from $M'_{a,b}$ onto $L'_{a,b}$. The inverse mapping is given by

$$\langle g(-\log x, -\log y), \theta(x,y) \rangle \triangleq \langle g(s,t), \phi(s,t) \rangle$$

Proof : For each $f(x,y) \in M'_{a,b}$ we can associate a functional $f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$ on $L_{a,b}$ such that

$$\langle f(e^{-s}, e^{-t}); \phi(s,t) \rangle = \langle f(x,y), \theta(x,y) \rangle$$

This means the mapping $f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$ is the adjoint mapping of $\phi(s,t) \longleftrightarrow \theta(x,y)$. It follows that $f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$ is an isomorphism from $M'_{a,b}$ onto $L'_{a,b}$.

Now suppose $g(s,t) \in L'_{a,b}$. We associate functional $g(-\log x, -\log y)$ on $M_{a,b}$ with $g(s,t)$ by

$$\langle g(-\log x, -\log y), \theta(x,y) \rangle = \langle g(s,t), \phi(s,t) \rangle$$

Therefore the mapping $g(s,t) \longleftrightarrow g(-\log x, -\log y)$ is the inverse mapping of $f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$. It is isomorphism from $L'_{a,b}$ onto $M'_{a,b}$.

Under the mapping defined in theorem 3.3-1 the functions e^{-su}, e^{-tv} correspond to the functions x^{u-1}, y^{v-1} respectively. Therefore by using theorem 3.3-2, we get following theorem.

Theorem 3.3-3 :

The distribution $f(x,y)$ is m -transformable if and only if $f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})$ is \mathcal{L} -transformable. In this case the two tubes of definition of $m[f(x,y)]$ and $\mathcal{L}[f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})]$ coincide and $m[f(x,y)] = F(u,v) = \mathcal{L}[f(e^{-s}, e^{-t})]$ for $(u,v) \in \Omega_f$.

3.4 Substitution Theorems :

Let A, K be single valued analytic functions real on R^2_+ , and $G, H, G^{-1} = g, H^{-1} = h$ be single valued analytic

functions real on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$G(0) = 0, \quad H(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad G(\infty) = \infty, \quad H(\infty) = \infty$$

(or $G(0) = \infty, H(0) = \infty$, and $G(\infty) = 0, H(\infty) = 0$)

$$\text{Let } m[f(x,y)] = F(u,v), \quad m[A(x,y)f(x,y)] = F^*(u,v)$$

$$\text{for } a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1; \quad a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$$

Also suppose that

$$\mathcal{E}[\Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta)] = \Psi(u,v; p, q) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\Psi^*(u,v; \xi, \eta)] = \Psi^*(u,v; p, q) \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Psi(u,v; -\log x, -\log y) = [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} K(g(x), h(y)).$$

$$|g'(x)| |h'(y)| xy$$

$$\text{and } \Psi^*(u,v; -\log x, -\log y)$$

$$= [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} K(g(x), h(y)) |g'(x)| |h'(y)| xy [A(x,y)]^{-1}.$$

The following theorem is obtained from lemma 3.3-1 by using isomorphism between $M_{a,b}$ and $L_{a,b}$.

Theorem 3.4-1 :

Let $m[f(x,y)] = f(u,v)$ for $a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1$ and $a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$. Let $\Psi(u,v; p, q)$ be in $L_{a,b}$ such that

$$\Psi(u,v; p, q) =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(u, v; \xi, \eta) e^{-s\xi} e^{-t\eta} d\xi d\eta,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \langle f(x, y), x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} \rangle \Psi(u, v; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \\ &= \langle f(x, y), \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \Psi(u, v; \xi, \eta) x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} d\xi d\eta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall prove our main result.

Theorem 3.4-2 :

Let $m[f(x, y)] = F(u, v)$ for $a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1$ and $a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$.

Let $K(x, y)$ be a suitably chosen single-valued analytic function on R_+^2 , and $G, H, G^{-1} = g, H^{-1} = h$ be single valued analytic functions real on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$G(0) = 0, \quad H(0) = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad G(\infty) = \infty, \quad H(\infty) = \infty$$

$$(\text{or } G(0) = \infty, \quad H(0) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad G(\infty) = 0, \quad H(\infty) = 0)$$

then $m[K(x, y) f(G(x), H(y))]$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \langle f(x, y); x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} \rangle \Psi(u, v; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta$$

where $\mathcal{E}[\Psi(u, v; \xi, \eta)] = \Psi(u, v; p, q)$

and $\Psi(u, v; -\log x, -\log y)$

$$= [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} K(g(x), h(y)) \cdot |g'(x)| |h'(y)| xy$$

Proof : Let $c = (c_1, c_2)$, $d = (d_1, d_2)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ be in R^2 . Let $\theta(x, y)$ be a member of $M_{c, d}$ where $a < c$, $d < b$. Then the mapping $\theta(x, y) \mapsto \phi(s, t)$ is an isomorphism of $M_{c, d}$ onto $L_{c, d}$ by theorem 3.3-1. The mapping $\phi(s, t) \mapsto K(s, t)\phi(s, t)$ is an isomorphism from $L_{c, d}$ onto $L_{\alpha, \beta}$ where $\alpha < c$, $d < \beta$. Again applying theorem 3.3-1, we see that $K(x, y) \theta(x, y) \mapsto K(s, t) \phi(s, t)$ is an isomorphism from $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ onto $L_{\alpha, \beta}$. Hence for suitably chosen $K(x, y)$ the mapping $\theta(x, y) \mapsto K(x, y) \theta(x, y)$ is an isomorphism from $M_{c, d}$ onto $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha < c$, $d < \beta$. Further in accordance with section 2.5 [2] it follows that

$f(x, y) \mapsto K(x, y) f(x, y)$ is an isomorphism from $M'_{\alpha, \beta}$ onto $M'_{c, d}$ and we have

$$\langle K(x, y) f(x, y), \theta(x, y) \rangle = \langle f(x, y), K(x, y) \theta(x, y) \rangle$$

Therefore if $mf = F(u, v)$; $\alpha_1 < \text{Re} u < \beta_1$, $\alpha_2 < \text{Re} v < \beta_2$ the equation

$$\langle K(x, y) f(x, y), x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle = \langle f(x, y), K(x, y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle$$

has sense. Indeed we have

$$f(x, y) \in M'_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad K(x, y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{\alpha, \beta},$$

$$K(x, y) f(x, y) \in M'_{c, d} \quad \text{and} \quad x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{c, d}$$

If $\chi(x, y) = f(G(x), H(y)) \in M'_{\alpha, \beta}$ then

$\chi(x, y) \mapsto K(x, y) \chi(x, y)$ is an isomorphism from $M'_{\alpha, \beta}$ onto $M'_{c, d}$ and we can write,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y)), x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle f(G(x), H(y)), k(x,y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3.4.1)$$

Here $f(G(x), H(y)) \in M'_{\alpha,\beta}$, $K(x,y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{\alpha,\beta}$
 $k(x,y) f(G(x), H(y)) \in M'_{c,d}$ and $x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{c,d}$.

Let $K(x,y) \Theta(x,y) = \eta_1(x,y)$ be an arbitrary member of $M_{\alpha,\beta}$. Choose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $a < \alpha$, $\beta < b$ such that

$$\eta_1 [g(x), h(y)] |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \in M_{a,b}.$$

Let $K(s,t) \Theta(s,t) = \eta_2(s,t) \in L_{\alpha,\beta}$. By theorem 3.3-1 the mapping $\eta_1(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \eta_2(s,t)$ is an isomorphism from $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ onto $L_{\alpha,\beta}$. Then the mapping $\eta_2(s,t) \longleftrightarrow [g(s)][h(t)]$.

$|g'(s)| |h'(t)|$ is an isomorphism from $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ onto $L_{a,b}$.

Again applying theorem 3.3-1 we see that the mapping

$$\eta_1 [g(x), h(y)] |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \longleftrightarrow$$

$\eta_2 [g(s), h(t)] |g'(s)| |h'(t)|$ is an isomorphism from $M_{a,b}$ onto $L_{a,b}$. Hence the mapping

$$\eta_1(x,y) \longleftrightarrow \eta_1(g(x), h(y)) |g'(x)| |h'(y)|$$

is an isomorphism from $M_{\alpha,\beta}$ onto $M_{a,b}$. We denote the adjoint mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1(x,y) & \longleftrightarrow \eta_1 [g(x), h(y)] |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \text{ by} \\ f(x,y) & \longleftrightarrow f(G(x), H(y)) \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write $\langle f(G(x), H(y)), \eta_1(x,y) \rangle$

$$= \langle f(x,y), \eta_1 [g(x), h(y)] |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \rangle$$

By similar arguments to theorem 1.10-2 [2] the mapping
 $f(x,y) \mapsto f(G(x), H(y))$ is an isomorphism from $M'_{a,b}$
 onto $M'_{\alpha,\beta}$.

Therefore if $m[f(x,y)] = F(u,v)$, $a_1 < \text{Re } u < b_1$ $a_2 < \text{Re } v < b_2$,
 then the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle f(G(x), H(y)), K(x,y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle f(x,y); K(g(x), h(y)) [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \rangle \\ & \dots (3.4.2) \end{aligned}$$

has sense. Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) \in M'_{a,b}, \quad K(g(x), h(y)) [g(x)]^{u-1} |g'(x)| \\ [h(y)]^{v-1} |h'(y)| \in M_{a,b}, \\ f(G(x), H(y)) \in M'_{\alpha,\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad K(x,y) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{\alpha,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.4.1) and (3.4.2) we conclude that

$f(x,y) \mapsto K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y))$ is an isomorphism
 from $M'_{a,b}$ onto $M'_{c,d}$ where $a < c$ and $d < b$ and
 we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y)), x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \rangle \\ &= \langle f(x,y), K(g(x), h(y)) [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \rangle \\ & \dots (3.4.3) \end{aligned}$$

Indeed we have $f(x,y) \in M'_{a,b}$,

$$K(g(x), h(y)) [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} |g'(x)| |h'(y)| \in M_{a,b}$$

$$K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y)) \in M'_{c,d}, \quad x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \in M_{c,d}$$

The equation (3.4.3) further can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & m [K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y))] \\ &= \left\langle f(x,y), K(g(x), h(y)) [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} |g'(x)| |h'(y)| xy \frac{1}{xy} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(x,y); \Psi(u,v; -\log x, -\log y) \frac{1}{xy} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(x,y), \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) \cdot e^{-\xi \log(1/x)} e^{-\eta \log(1/y)} \frac{1}{xy} dx dy \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(x,y), \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} d\xi d\eta \right\rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left\langle f(x,y), x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} \right\rangle \Psi(u,v; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

by using theorem 3.4-1.

Theorem 3.4-3 :

Let $m [A(x,y) f(x,y)] = F^*(u,v)$ where

$a_1 < \operatorname{Re} u < b_1, \quad a_2 < \operatorname{Re} v < b_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & m [K(x,y) f(G(x), H(y))] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left\langle f(x,y); x^{\xi-1} y^{\eta-1} \right\rangle \Psi^*(u,v; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \end{aligned}$$

where A, K are single-valued analytic functions real on R^2_+ and $G, H, G^{-1} = g, H^{-1} = h$ are single-valued analytic

functions real on $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$G(0) = 0, \quad H(0) = 0; \quad \text{and} \quad G(\infty) = \infty, \quad H(\infty) = \infty$$

$$(\text{or } G(0) = \infty, \quad H(0) = \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad G(\infty) = 0, \quad H(\infty) = 0)$$

$$\text{and} \quad \mathfrak{L} \left[\Psi^* (u, v; \xi, \eta) \right] = \Psi^* (u, v; p, q)$$

$$\text{where } \Psi^* (u, v, -\log x, -\log y)$$

$$= [g(x)]^{u-1} [h(y)]^{v-1} K(g(x), h(y)) \left| g'(x) \right| \left| h'(y) \right| xy [A(x, y)]^{-1}.$$

Proof : The proof of this theorem follows on the same lines as that of theorem 3.4-2.

Theorem 3.4-4 :

Let $m \left[f(x, y) \right] = F(u, v)$, $a_1 < \text{Re } u < b_1$ and $a_2 < \text{Re } v < b_2$; then

$$\begin{aligned} & m^{-1} \left[K(u, v) F(G(u), H(v)) \right] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\xi, \eta) \Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

where K, G, H are analytic functions and

$$\begin{aligned} m \left[\Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) \right] &= K(u, v) \xi^{G(u)-1} \eta^{H(v)-1} \\ &= K(u, v) \Phi \left[G(u), H(v); \xi, \eta \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} F(P, Q) &= \left\langle f(\xi, \eta), \xi^{P-1} \eta^{Q-1} \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(\xi, \eta); \Phi(P, Q; \xi, \eta) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F(G(u), H(v)) =$

$$\left\langle f(\xi, \eta), \Phi(G(u), H(v); \xi, \eta) \right\rangle$$

Therefore $K(u, v) F(G(u), H(v))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\langle f(\xi, \eta), K(u, v) \Phi(G(u), H(v); \xi, \eta) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle f(\xi, \eta), \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) x^{u-1} y^{v-1} dx dy \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\xi, \eta) \Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta, x^{u-1} y^{v-1} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

by using theorem 3.4-1.

$$= m \left[\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\xi, \eta) \Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta \right]$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &m^{-1} [K(u, v) F(G(u), H(v))] \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\xi, \eta) \Theta(x, y; \xi, \eta) d\xi d\eta . \end{aligned}$$

...

REFERENCES

- [1] BUSCHMAN R.G. : Substitution Theorems for
Integral Transforms.
Doctoral Thesis,
University of Colorado (1956).
- [2] ZEMANIAN A.H. : Generalized Integral
Transformations.
Interscience Publishers (1968).

...