CHAPTER-I1

SOME SUBSTITUTION THEOREMS FOR DISTHIBUTIONAL STIELTJES TRANSFORMATION

2.1 Introduction :

In this chapter we shall extend a simple generalization of the Stieltjes transformation to certain class of distributions. We shall also prove some substitution theorems similar to Buschman $[l_1]$ for this distributional transformation.

The transformation defined by the equation

$$S[j(\zeta), \zeta \rightarrow y] \triangleq J(y) \triangleq \Gamma(\varrho)_{y}^{m\zeta-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{j(\zeta)}{(y^{m}+\zeta^{m})} e^{d\zeta, (m, \varrho > 0)}$$

(whenever the integral on the righthand side converges
for a complex y with Re.y>c)

is a simple generalization of the Stieltjes transform of a function $j(() \in L(o,\infty)$, which is defined by

$$F(y) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{j(\tau)}{(y+\tau)} d\tau ... (2.1.2)$$

 \sim

Throughout this chapter $D_y(I)$ will denote the space of all smooth functions with compact supports on I: (0, ∞) and with

the parameter y.

We first construct a testing function space $S_{a,b}$ by applying the change of variable $\zeta = e^t$ to the definition of $\pounds_{a,b}$ and setting $\sqrt{\zeta} \psi(\zeta) = \emptyset(\log \zeta)$ in Sect.3.2, Eq.(1) [6]. This yields the following definition :

Given any two real numbers a and b, $S_{a,b}$ is the space of all smooth functions $\Psi(\zeta)$ on (o,∞) such that

$$L_{k}(\Psi) = L_{a,b,k}(\Psi) = \sup_{\substack{0 < \zeta < \infty}} \left| \xi (\log \zeta) (\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta})^{k} \sqrt{\zeta} \Psi(\zeta) \right| \zeta \infty$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, ...$
where $\xi (\log \zeta) = \begin{cases} \zeta^{a} & \text{if } 1 \leq \zeta < \infty \\ \zeta^{b} & \text{if } 0 < \zeta < 1 \end{cases}$

The topology of $S_{a,b}$ is that generated by the multinorm $\begin{cases} L_{a,b,k} \\ k=0 \end{cases} \quad S_{a,b} \text{ is sequentially complete, Housdorff,} \\ \text{locally convex, first countable, metrizable topological linear} \\ \text{space. It is complete and therefore Frechet space [6].} \\ \text{For } a \langle m \varrho - 1/2 \text{ and } b \rangle m \varrho - 1/2, \psi(\zeta) = (y^m + \zeta^m)^{-\varrho} E S_{a,b}. \\ \text{To prove this it suffices to show that} \end{cases}$

$$\phi(t) = e^{t/2} (y^m + e^{mt})^{-2} E \mathscr{E}_{a,b}$$
 for $a < mq - 1/2$ and
b) $mq - 1/2$.

Now we have

As a consequence, $S_{a,b}$ is a complete countably multinormed space, $S_{a,b}'$, the dual of $S_{a,b}$ is also complete [6].

We state the following useful results :
(a) The mapping
$$\psi(\zeta) = \zeta = \int_{a,b}^{-1/2} \varphi(\log \zeta) \rightarrow \varphi(t)$$

is an isomorphism from $S_{a,b}$ onto $\pounds_{a,b}$ since
 $L_{a,b,k}[\psi(\zeta)] = \gamma_{a,b,k}[\varphi(t)]$.

-

.

27

.

(b) If
$$j(\zeta) \in S_{a,b}$$
 for some $a < m\varrho - 1/2$ and $b > m\varrho - 1/2$,
then the Stieltjes transform J of j is defined by
 $S[j(\zeta), \zeta \rightarrow y] \stackrel{a}{=} J(y) \stackrel{a}{=} \langle j(\zeta), \frac{1}{(y^m + \overline{f})} \rho \rangle', o < \zeta < \infty$

where for each fixed y the right-hand side has a sense as the application of $j(7) \in S_{a,b}'$ to $(y^m + 7^m)^{-0} \in S_{a,b}$

2.2 Substitution Theorems :

Theorem 2.2.1

If $S[j(\bar{t}), \bar{t} \rightarrow y] = J(y)$, $o < y < \infty$ and $\mu(y, u) \in D_y(I)$, then

$$\langle K(\tau)_{J}[G(\tau)], (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-Q} \rangle = \int_{C} J(u) \mu(y, u) du, \dots (2, 2, 1)$$

where K, G and $h = G^{-1}$ are single-valued analytic functions, real on (o, ∞) and such that G(c) = O and G(∞) = ∞ (OR G(∞) = O and G(O) = ∞) and

$$S[\mu(y,u), u \to \rho] = \overline{\mu} (y,p) = [(y^{m} + h^{m}(p))^{-\rho}] K[h(p)] h'(p)]$$

<u>Proof</u>: Let $\psi(\zeta)$ be an arbitrary member of $S_{c,d}$, $c \langle m \varrho - 1/2$ and $d \rangle m \varrho - 1/2$. By Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $\psi(\zeta) \rightarrow \phi(t)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{c,d}$ onto $\pounds_{c,d}$.

... (2.1.4)

The mapping $\emptyset(t) \rightarrow K(t)\emptyset(t)$, where K is analytic and real on $(0,\infty)$, is an isomorphism from $\pounds_{c,d}$ onto $\pounds_{u,v}$ where $u \not \subset and v \not d$ [4, Theorem 3.4.1]. Again by Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $K(\zeta)\psi(\zeta) \rightarrow K(t)\emptyset(t)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{u,v}$ onto $\pounds_{u,v}$. Hence the mapping $\psi(\zeta) \rightarrow K(\zeta)\psi(\zeta)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{c,d}$ onto $S_{u,v}$ where $u \not \subset and v \not d$.

Furthermore, in accordance with Sect.2.5 [6], it now follows that $j(\vec{l}) \rightarrow K(\vec{l})j(\vec{l})$ is an isomorphism from $S'_{u,v}$ onto $S'_{c,d}$ and we write

$$\langle \kappa(\tau) J(\tau), \psi(\tau) \rangle = \langle J(\tau), \kappa(\tau) \psi(\tau) \rangle$$

therefore if $S[j(\zeta), \zeta \rightarrow y] = J(y), o \langle y \rangle cog_ the equation$

$$\langle \kappa(\tau) \mathfrak{z}(\tau), (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{z}(\tau), \kappa(\tau) (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \rangle$$

has sense. Indeed, we have

 $j(\tau) \in S'_{u,v}, K(\tau)(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \in S_{u,v}, K(\tau) \ j(\tau) \in S'_{c,d} \text{ and}$ $(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \in S_{c,d} .$ If $\chi(\tau) = j[G(\tau)] \in S'_{u,v}, \text{ then } \chi(\tau) \rightarrow K(\tau)\chi(\tau) \text{ is}$ an isomorphism from $S'_{u,v}$ onto $S'_{c,d}$ and we can write $\langle K(\tau)_{j}[G(\tau)], (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \rangle = \langle j[G(\tau)], K(\tau)(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varrho} \rangle ...(2.2.2)$

GARR. HALLS' & KUCKU AN LIENADU GMIVAJI UGIVEEISITY, KOLHAPUR. Here

$$j[G(\bar{\zeta})] \equiv S'_{u,v}$$
, $K(\bar{\zeta})(y^m - \bar{\zeta}^m)^{-Q} \equiv S_{u,v}$,
 $K(\bar{\zeta})_j[G(\bar{\zeta})] \equiv S'_{c,d}$ and $(y^m + \bar{\zeta}^m)^{-Q} \equiv S_{c,d}$.

Let $K(\zeta)\psi(\zeta) = \eta(\zeta)$ be an arbitrary member of $S_{u,v}$. Choose real numbers a and b, $a \zeta u$ and $v \zeta b$ such that

 $\eta [h(\tau)] | h(\tau) | B S_{a,b}$.

Let $K(t)\phi(t) = \eta(t) \in \pounds_{u,v}$. By Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $\eta(\tau) \rightarrow \eta(t)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{u,v}$ onto $\pounds_{u,v}$. The mapping $\eta(t) \rightarrow \eta[h(t)] | h'(t) |$ is an isomorphism from $\pounds_{u,v}$ onto $\pounds_{a,b}$ [4, Theorem 3.4.1]. Again by Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping

$$\begin{split} \eta[h(\zeta)] & h'(\zeta) & \to \eta[h(t)] & n'(t) & \text{ is an isomorphism} \\ \text{from } S_{a,b} & \text{onto } \mathcal{E}_{a,b} & \text{Hence the mapping} \end{split}$$

 $\eta(\tau) \rightarrow \eta[h(\tau)] | h'(\tau) |$ is an isomorphism from S_{u,v} onto S_{a,b}.

We denote the adjoint of the mapping

 $\eta(\zeta) \rightarrow \eta[h(\zeta)] \mid h'(\zeta) \mid by j(\zeta) \rightarrow j[G(\zeta)],$ since this is what we would have if j were a conventional function, and we write

 $\langle j[G(\tau)], \eta(\tau) \rangle = \langle j(\tau), \eta[h(\tau)] | h'(\tau) | \rangle$

By Theorem 1.10.2 [6], $j(\bar{\zeta}) \rightarrow j[G(\bar{\zeta})]$ is an isomorphism from $S'_{a,b}$ onto $S'_{u,v}$. Therefore, if $S[j(\bar{\zeta}), \bar{\zeta} \rightarrow \gamma] = J(\gamma), \quad o < \gamma < \infty$, the equation $\langle j[G(\bar{\zeta})], K(\bar{\zeta})(\gamma^{m} + \bar{\zeta}^{m})^{-Q} \rangle = \langle j(\bar{\zeta}), K[h(\bar{\zeta})](\gamma^{m} + h^{m}(\bar{\zeta}))^{-Q}[h'(\bar{\zeta})] \rangle$... (2.2.3)

has sense. Indeed, we have

$$j(\tau) \in S'_{a,b}, K[h(\tau)](y^m + h^m(\tau)) \cap [h'(\tau)] \in S_{a,b}$$

 $j[G(\tau)] \in S'_{u,v}$ and $K(\tau)(y^m + \tau^m) \cap E S_{u,v}$.

From equation (2.2.2) and (2.2.3) we conclude that $j(\bar{\tau}) \rightarrow \kappa(\bar{\tau}) j [G(\bar{\tau})]$ is an isomorphism from $S'_{a,b}$ onto $S'_{c,d}$ where a < c and d < b and we write $\langle \kappa(\bar{\tau}) j [G(\bar{\tau})], (y^m + \bar{\tau}^m)^{-\varrho} \rangle = \langle j(\bar{\tau}), \kappa[h(\bar{\tau})] (y^m + h^m(\bar{\tau})^{-\varrho} | h^{\frac{1}{2}}(\bar{\tau}) | \rangle$...(2.2.4)

Indeed, we have

$$J(\tau) \in S'_{a,b}, K[h(\tau)](y^{m} + h^{m}(\tau)^{-\rho} + h'(\tau)] \in S_{a,b},$$

$$K(\tau) J[G(\tau) \in S'_{c,d} \text{ and } (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\rho} \in S_{c,d}.$$

The equation (2.2.4) further can be written as

$$\left\langle \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{T}) \mathsf{j}[\mathsf{G}(\mathsf{T})], (\mathsf{y}^{\mathsf{m}} + \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{m}})^{-\mathsf{Q}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{T}), \mathsf{K}[\mathsf{h}(\mathsf{T})](\mathsf{y}^{\mathsf{m}} + \mathsf{h}^{\mathsf{m}}(\mathsf{T}))^{-\mathsf{Q}}[\mathsf{h}^{\mathsf{r}}(\mathsf{T})] \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{T}), \tilde{\mathsf{\mu}}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{T}) \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{T}), \int_{\mathsf{Q}}^{\mathsf{m}} \mathsf{\mu}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{u})(\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{m}} + \mathsf{u}^{\mathsf{m}})^{-\mathsf{Q}} \mathsf{d}\mathsf{u} \right\rangle$$

$$= \int_{\mathsf{Q}}^{\mathsf{m}} \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{T}), (\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{m}} + \mathsf{u}^{\mathsf{m}})^{-\mathsf{Q}} \right\rangle \mathsf{\mu}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{u}) \mathsf{d}\mathsf{u} \dots$$

$$= \int_{\mathsf{Q}}^{\mathsf{m}} \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{T}), (\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{m}} + \mathsf{u}^{\mathsf{m}})^{-\mathsf{Q}} \right\rangle \mathsf{\mu}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{u}) \mathsf{d}\mathsf{u} \dots$$

$$= \int_{\mathsf{Q}}^{\mathsf{m}} \left\langle \mathsf{J}(\mathsf{U}) \mathsf{\mu}(\mathsf{y},\mathsf{u}) \mathsf{d}\mathsf{u} \dots \right\rangle$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2.2

Let $S[A(\tau)_J(\tau), \tau \to y] = J^*(y)$, $o \lt y \lt \infty$ and $\mu^*(y,u) \in D_y(I)$, then $\langle K(\tau)_J[G(\tau)], (y^m + \tau^m)^{-Q} \rangle = \int_0^\infty J^*(u) \mu^*(y,u) du$, ... (2.2.5) where A, K, G and $h = G^{-1}$ are single valued analytic functions, real on (o, ∞) and such that G(o) = o and $G(\infty) = \infty$ $(OR G(O) = \infty$ and $G(\infty) = O$ and

$$S[\mu^{m}(y,u), u \rightarrow p] = \overline{\mu}^{m}(y,p)$$

= [(y^m + h^m(p))^{-p}]K[h(p)][h'(p)][A(p)]^{-1}

<u>Proof</u>: Let $\psi(\zeta)$ be an arbitrary member of $S_{c,d}$, $c \langle m\varrho - 1/2 \text{ and } d \rangle m\varrho - 1/2$. By Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $\psi(\zeta) \rightarrow \phi(\zeta)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{c,d}$ onto $\pounds_{c,d}$. The mapping $\phi(\zeta) \rightarrow \kappa(\zeta)\phi(\zeta)$, where K is analytic and real on (o, ∞), is an isomorphism from $\pounds_{c,d}$ onto $\pounds_{u,v}$, where u < c and d < v [4, Theorem 3.4.1]. Again by Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{T}) \ \psi(\mathsf{T}) \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{t}) \ \emptyset \ (\mathsf{t}) \quad \text{is an isomorphism from } S_{u,v} \ \text{onto} \\ \pounds_{u,v}. \ \text{Hence the mapping } \ \psi(\mathsf{T}) \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{T})\psi(\mathsf{T}) \ \text{is an isomorphism} \\ \text{from } S_{c,d} \ \text{onto} \ S_{u,v}, \ \text{where } u < c \ \text{and} \ d < v \ . \ \text{Furthermore} \\ \text{more in accordance with Sect.2.5 [6], it now follows that} \\ \mathsf{j}(\mathsf{T}) \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{T}) \ \mathsf{j} \ (\mathsf{T}) \ \text{is an isomorphism from } S_{u,v}' \ \text{onto } S_{c,d}' \\ \text{and we write} \end{array}$

 $\langle \kappa(\tau)_{J}(\tau), \psi(\tau) \rangle = \langle J(\tau), \kappa(\tau)\psi(\tau) \rangle$

Therefore if '

 $S[A(\zeta)j(\zeta), \zeta \rightarrow y] = J^{*}(y), o \langle y \rangle co, \text{ the equation}$ $\left\langle K(\zeta)j(\zeta), (y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \right\rangle = \left\langle j(\zeta), K(\zeta)(y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \right\rangle$ has sense. Indeed, we have

$$J(\tau) \in S'_{u,v}, \quad \kappa(\tau)(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varphi} \in S_{u,v}, \quad \kappa(\tau)J(\tau) \in S'_{c,d}$$

and $(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\varphi} \in S_{c,d}$.
If $\mathcal{K}_{J}(\tau) = J[G(\tau)] \in S'_{u,v}$ then $\mathcal{K}_{J}(\tau) = K(\tau)\mathcal{K}(\tau)$ is an

33

isomorphism from $S'_{u,v}$ onto $S'_{c,d}$ and we can write $\langle K(\zeta)_{j}[G(\zeta)], (y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \rangle = \langle J[G(\zeta)], K(\zeta)(y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \rangle$ Here $J[G(\zeta)] \in S'_{u,v}, K(\zeta)(y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \in S_{u,v},$ $K(\zeta)_{j}[G(\zeta)] \in S'_{c,d}$ and $(y^{m} + \zeta^{m})^{-Q} \in S_{c,d}$.

Let $K(\zeta)\psi(\zeta) = \eta(\zeta)$ be an arbitrary member of $S_{u,v}$. Choose real numbers a and b, a ζ u and v ζ b such that

 $\eta [h(\tau)] | n'(\tau) | B S_{a,b}$.

Let $K(t) \ \emptyset(t) = \eta(t) \quad \mathbb{B} \ \mathcal{E}_{u,v}$. By Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $\eta(\tau) \rightarrow \eta(t)$ is an isomorphism from $S_{u,v}$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{u,v}$. The mapping $\eta(t) \rightarrow \eta[h(t)] \ h(t)]$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{E}_{u,v}$ onto $\mathcal{E}_{a,b}$ [4,Theorem 3.4.1].

Again by Sect.2.1, result (a), the mapping $\eta[h(\tau)] | h'(\tau)| \rightarrow \eta[h(\tau)] | h(\tau)|$ is an isomorphism from $S_{a,b}$ onto $\pounds_{a,b}$. Hence the mapping $\eta(\tau) \rightarrow \eta[h(\tau)] | h(\tau)|$ is an isomorphism from $S_{u,v}$ onto $S_{a,b}$. We denote the adjoint of the mapping $\eta(\tau) \rightarrow \eta[h(\tau)] | h(\tau)|$ by $j(\tau) \rightarrow j[G(\tau)]$, since this is what we would have if $j(\tau)$ were a conventional function, and we write

 $\langle j[G(T)], \eta(T) \rangle = \langle j(T), \eta[h(T)] | h'(T) \rangle$



By Theorem 1.10.2 [6], $j(\zeta) \rightarrow j[G(\zeta)]$ is an isomorphism from $S'_{a,b}$ onto $S'_{u,v}$. Therefore if

 $S[A(\vec{l})j(\vec{l}), \vec{l} \rightarrow y] = J^{*}(y), o \langle y \rangle \langle \infty \rangle$, the • equation

$$\left\langle j[G(\tau)], \kappa(\tau)(y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\rho} A[\Im(\tau)] [A[G(\tau)]]^{-1} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle j(\tau), \kappa[h(\tau)](y^{m} + h^{m}(\tau))^{-\rho} A(\tau)[A(\tau)]^{-1} h'(\tau) \right\rangle \dots (2.2.7)$$

has sense. Indeed, we have

$$J(\tau) = S'_{a,b}, K[h(\tau)](y^{m}+h^{m}(\tau))^{-\rho}A(\tau)[A(\tau)]^{-1}h'(\tau)] = S_{a,b}$$

$$J[G(\tau)] = S'_{u,v} \text{ and } K(\tau)(y^{m}+\tau^{m})^{-\rho}A[G(\tau)][A[G(\tau)]]^{-1} = S_{u,v}.$$

From equation (2.2.6) and (2.2.7) we conclude that $j(\bar{l}) \rightarrow K(\bar{l}) [G(\bar{l})]$ is an isomorphism from $S'_{a,b}$ onto $S'_{c,d}$, where a < c and d < b and we write

$$\langle \kappa(\tau)_{J}[G(\tau)], (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-\rho} A[G(\tau)][A[G(\tau)]]^{-1} \rangle$$

$$= \langle j(\tau), \kappa[h(\tau)](y^{m} + h^{m}(\tau))^{-\rho} A(\tau)[A(\tau)]^{-1}[h'(\tau)] \rangle$$

$$\dots (2.2.8)$$

Indeed, we have

$$J(7) \in S'_{a,b}, K[h(7)](y^{m}+h^{m}(7)) \stackrel{-Q}{}_{A}(7)[A(7)] \stackrel{-1}{}_{h}h'(7)] \in S_{a,b},$$

$$K(7)J[G(7)] \in S'_{c,d} \text{ and } (y^{m}+7^{m}) \stackrel{-Q}{}_{A}[G(7)][A[G(7)]] \stackrel{-1}{}_{E}S_{c,d}.$$

The equation (2.2.8) further can be written as

$$\left< K(\tau) j[G(\tau)], (y^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-Q} \right> = \left< j(\tau), K[h(\tau)](y^{m} + h^{m}(\tau))^{-Q}[h'(\tau)] \right. + \left< A^{-1}(\tau), A(\tau) \right> \\ = \left< j(\tau), \ \mu^{*}(y, \tau) A(\tau) \right> \\ = \left< A(\tau) j(\tau), \ \mu^{*}(y, \tau) \right> \\ = \left< A(\tau) j(\tau), \ \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu^{*}(y, u) (u^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-Q} du \right> \\ = \left< \int_{0}^{\infty} \left< A(\tau) j(\tau), \ (u^{m} + \tau^{m})^{-Q} \right> \mu^{*}(y, u) du \\ = \left< \int_{0}^{\infty} J^{*}(u) \ \mu^{*}(y, u) du \right.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2.2.3 :

Let $S[J(\zeta), \zeta \rightarrow y] = J(\gamma)$, $o \lt y \lt oo$ and $\Im(\zeta, u) \in Dy(I)$, then

$$S^{-1}[K(y) J[G(y)]] = \langle J(u), \Theta(\zeta, u) \rangle \dots (2.2.9)$$

where

.

.

$$S \left[\Theta(\zeta, u), \zeta \rightarrow y\right] = K(y) \left[\left(G(y)\right)^{m} + u^{m}\right]^{-Q}$$
$$= K(y) \oint \left[G(y), u\right]$$

and K, G are analytic functions.

Proof : Now
$$J(p) = \langle J(u), (p^m + u^m)^{-Q} \rangle$$

 $= \langle J(u), \phi(p, u) \rangle$
 $\therefore J[G(y)] = \langle J(u), \phi[G(y), u] \rangle$
 $\therefore K(y)J[G(y)] = \langle J(u), K(y) \phi[G(y), u] \rangle$
 $= \langle J(u), \int_{0}^{\infty} \Theta(\tau, u) (y^m + \tau^m)^{-Q} d\tau \rangle$
 $= \langle \int_{0}^{\infty} J(u)\Theta(\tau, u) du, (y^m + \tau^m)^{-Q} \rangle \dots (2.2.10)$
 $= S[\int_{0}^{\infty} J(u) \Theta(\tau, u) du]$
 $\therefore S^{-1}[K(y)J[G(y)]] = \int_{0}^{\infty} J(u) \Theta(\tau, u) \rangle$

The right side of (2:2.10) can be justified. This completes the proof.

Remarks :

- 1) By substituting m = 2 = 1 in the above theorems, we get the same results obtained by Sonavane [4].
- 2) Our distributional transformation (2.1.1) is not a particular case of any other Stieltjes transformation considered by Gnose [2], Pathak [3] and Tiwari [5].

. . .

1

.

REFERENCES

uschman,R.G.	:	Substitution Theorems for Integral
~		Transforms, Doctoral Thesis,
		University of Colorado (1956).
hosh,J.D.	•	Study of Generalized Stieltjes
		Transforms and Generalized Hankel
		Transforms of Distributions, Ph.D.
		Thesis, Ranch University.Bihar,
•		India (1974).
athak,R.S.	:	A Distributional Generalized Stieltjes
		Iransformations, Proc.of Edinbourgh
		Math.Soc.vol.20(1976), 15-22.
onavane,K.R.	:	Some Properties of Generalized
		Functions and Generalized Integral
		Transforms, Doctoral Thesis, Maratha-
		wada University,Aurangabad (1975).
ıwarı,A.K.	:	Some Theorems on a Distributional
		Generalized Stieltjes Transformation,
		J.Indian Math.Soc.43(1979),241-251.
emanian, A.H.	:	Generalized Integral Transformations,
		Interscience Publishers (1968).
	hosh,J.D. athak,R.S. onavane,K.R.	hosh,J.D. athak,R.S. : onavane,K.R. :

•

. . .

*

38

| |

ן |י |

.