

CHAPTER – 3

INVERSION FORMULAE

The “real ” and the “complex ” inversion formulae are given in this chapter.

3.1 Real Inversion Formulae :-

The real inversion formulae for the generalized function Stieltjes transformation were given by Pandey [14], Pathak [15] & Erdelyi [4].

We give here the real inversion formula for the $T_{r,1}$ transformation. We shall use the following differential operators from [4]. From section 1.4 , relation (1.4.2) with $P = 1 + n$, $q = 1 + n$ & $r + 1 + k$ instead of ρ .

$$\angle_{n,r,k,s} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(r+1+k)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+1)} D^{n+1} x^{2n+r+k+2} D^{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

where D is the ordinary derivative .

The operators $\angle_{n,r,k,s}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ are formally self – adjoint .

Also , we shall use modified operators .

$$L_{n,r,k,x} = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2)(r+1)_{k+1}} D^{n+k+2} x^{2n+r+k+3} D^{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

As we are going to use these operators in the distributional sense with D – distributional derivative . We use the notation $\angle_{n,k,x}, L_{n,k,x}$ if D

is understood in the distributional sense and $\angle_{n,r,k,x}$, $L_{n,k,x}$ if D is understood in the ordinary sense.

We shall use the following two formulae (1.4.3) & (1.4.4)

$$\angle_{n,r,k,x} (x+t)^{-r-k-1} = \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+3)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+1)} \frac{x^{n+r+k+1}t^{n+1}}{(x+t)^{2n+r+k+3}}, x \geq 0, t > 0 \quad (3.1.1)$$

$$\text{and } \int_0^{\infty} \angle_{n,r,k,x} (x+t)^{-r-k-1} dt = 1, x > 0, n+r+k > -1 \quad (3.1.2)$$

Lemma 3.1.1 :-

The function

$$\frac{\angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) - F_1(x)}{xB(x)},$$

where $B(x) = (1+x)^{r+k-\epsilon}$ if $r+k-\epsilon > 0$ and $B(x) = 1$ if $r+k-\epsilon < 0$, $x \in$

$(0, \infty)$, converges uniformly to zero on $(0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof :-

Using (3.1.1)

$$\angle_{n,r,k,x} (x+t)^{-r-k-1} = \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+3)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+1)} \frac{x^{n+r+k+1}t^{n+1}}{(x+t)^{2n+r+k+3}}, x \geq 0, t > 0$$

and (3.1.2)

$$\int_0^{\infty} \angle_{n,r,k,x} (x+t)^{\sigma t-k-1} dt = 1, x > 0, n+r+k > -1$$

and also let us put $F_1(x) = \int_0^x F(t)dt$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. (3.1.3)

The function F_1 is continuous $F_1(0) = 0$ and $F_1' = F$. It follows from

$$|F(x)| \leq C_1(1+x)^{r+k-\epsilon} \text{ that for some } C_1 > 0$$

$$|F_1(x)| \leq \int_0^x |F(t)|dt \leq C_1(1+x)^{r+k-\epsilon}, x \geq 0 \quad (3.1.4)$$

we get ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) - F_1(x) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(F_1(t) - F_1(x))x^{n+r+k+2}t^{n+1}}{(x+t)^{(2n+r+k+4)}} dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \left| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{F_1(ux) - F_1(x)u^{n+1}}{(1+u)^{2n+r+k+4}} du \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} x \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{n+1}}{(1+u)^{(2n+r+k+4)}} \left| \int_1^u |F_1'(xs)| ds \right| du \quad (\because F_1' = F) \\ &\leq \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} x \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{n+1}}{(1+u)^{(2n+r+k+4)}} \left| \int_1^u |F(xs)| ds \right| du \\ &\leq C \frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)}{(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{n+1} A(x,u) |u-1|}{(1+u)^{(2n+r+k+4)}} du \end{aligned}$$

where for $r + k - \epsilon > 0$

$$A(x, u) = \begin{cases} (1+x)^{r+k-\epsilon}, & u \in (0, 1) \\ (1+xu)^{r+k-\epsilon}, & u \in [1, \infty) \end{cases} \quad (\because 3.1.4)$$

$$A_1(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & u \in (0, 1) \\ (1+u)^{r+k-\epsilon}, & u \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

and for $r + k - \epsilon < 0$, $A(x, u) = 1$, $A_1(u) = 1$, $x, u \in (0, \infty)$.

If we put $\wedge(u) = \frac{uA_1(u)|u-1|}{(1+u)^{r+k+4}}$, $u \in (0, \infty)$, then $\wedge$ satisfies the

conditions of Widder's theorem ([30], P. 344 Theorem 8.C) which

implies.

$$\frac{(2n-1)!}{n!(n-2)!} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^n \wedge(u)}{(1+u)^{2n}} du \rightarrow \wedge(1) = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $\frac{\Gamma(2n+r+k+4)n!(n-2)!}{(2n-1)!(n+1)!\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \rightarrow 2^{r+k+4}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

the assertion of Lemma 3.1.1 follows.

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{\angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) - F_1(x)}{xB(x)} = 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\therefore \angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) - F_1(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\therefore \angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) \rightarrow F_1(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence the proof of Lemma 3.1.1.

Lemma 3.1.2 :-

$x^{r+k+1}(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x)$, $x \in (0, \infty)$ is a bounded function in any interval $(0, B)$ $B > 0$.

Proof :-

For $x > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| x^{r+k+1} \int_0^\infty \frac{F_1(t)}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt \right| &\leq x^{r+k+1} \left(\int_0^B \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt + \int_B^\infty \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt \right) \\ &\leq x^{r+k+1} \int_0^B \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt + x^{r+k+1} \int_B^\infty \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have to prove that

$$x^{r+k+1} \int_0^B \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt \text{ is bounded when } x \rightarrow 0.$$

From (3.1.4) it follows $|F_1(x)| \leq C_1$, $x \in (0, B)$, where, C_1 is a

suitable constant. So we have,

$$x^{r+k+1} \int_0^B \frac{|F_1(t)|}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt \leq C_1 x^{r+k+1} \int_0^B \frac{1}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt$$

By the direct computation of the last integral the assertion follows.

The proof of Lemma is complete.

Let us denote by $[r+k+1]$ the greatest integer not exceeding $r+k+1$

Since $[r+k+1] - (r+k+1) > -1$ and

$$\left| x^{[r+k+1]}(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \right| = \left| x^{[r+k+1] - (r+k+1)} x^{r+k+1}(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \right|$$

$$\leq Cx^{\{r+k+1\}-(r+k+1)}$$

where $x \in (0, B)$, $B > 0$ & C is a suitable constant, it follows that

$x^{\{r+k+1\}}(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i(x)$ is locally integrable on $\mathbb{R}$.

We put $l = [r+k]$.

Obviously, the cases,

$$(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i \in L'_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) \text{ or } x^s(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i \in L'_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$$

are possible for the some s , $0 < s < l+1$ which depend on f .

Let us suppose that $x^l(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i \notin L'_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. In this case

$\overline{(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+}$ denotes the following regularization of the function

$$(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i$$

$$\langle (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_i(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \int_0^1 (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \left\{ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - \dots - \frac{x^l}{l!} \phi^{(l)}(0) \right\} dx$$

$$+ \int_1^\infty (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \phi(x) dx, \phi \in S.$$

(3.1.5)

If we put

$$(\Gamma(r+k+2+i)T_{r+k+2+i}F_1)_i(x) = \begin{cases} (\Gamma(r+k+2+i)T_{r+k+2+i}F_1)(x), & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0, i \in N_0, \end{cases}$$

from the proof of Lemma 3.1.1 we have to put

$$\langle (\Gamma(r+k+2+i)T_{r+k+2+i}F_1)(x), \phi(x) \rangle = \int_0^1 \Gamma(r+k+2+i)T_{r+k+2+i}F_1(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\{ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - \dots - \frac{x^{l+i}}{(l+i)!} \phi^{(l+i)}(0) \right\} dx \\
& + \int_1^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+k+2+i) T_{r+k+2+i} F_1)(x) \phi(x) dx. \quad (3.1.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us find the relation between $(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f})_+^{(m)}$ and

$(\Gamma(r+2+m+k)T_{r+k+2+m}F_1)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $m = 1$, & $\phi \in S$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f})_+(x), \phi(x) \rangle = -\langle (\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f})_+(x), \phi'(x) \rangle \\
& = -\int_0^1 (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \left\{ \phi'(x) - \phi'(0) - \frac{x^1 \phi''(0)}{1!} - \dots - \frac{x^l \phi^{(l+1)}(0)}{l!} \right\} dx \\
& \quad - \int_1^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) \phi'(x) dx. \quad (\text{by } \therefore 3.1.6) \\
& = -(r+1)_{k+1} \int_0^1 (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) \left\{ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - \frac{x^{l+1}}{(l+1)!} \phi^{(l+1)}(0) \right\} dx \\
& \quad - (r+1)_k \int_1^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) \phi'(x) dx \\
& = -(r+1)_{k+1} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(1) \left\{ \phi(1) - \phi(0) - \dots - \frac{\phi^{(l+1)}(0)}{(l+1)!} \right\} \\
& \quad - (r+1)_{k+2} \int_0^1 (\Gamma(r+k+3)T_{r+k+3}F_1)(x) \times \\
& \quad \left\{ \phi(x) - \phi(0) - \dots - \frac{x^{l+1} \phi^{(l+1)}(0)}{(l+1)!} \right\} dx + (r+1)_{k+1} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(1) \phi(1) \\
& \quad - (r+1)_{k+2} \int_1^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+k+3)T_{r+k+3}F_1)(x) \phi(x) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+^{(j)} &= -(r+1)_{k+2} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+3)T_{r+k+3}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)}(x) \\ &+ (r+1)_{k+1} \left((\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F)(1) \sum_{i=0}^{l+1} (-1)^i \delta^{(i)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and by repeating the preceding arguments we obtain

$$\left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+^{(m)} = (-1)^m (r+1)_{k+l+m} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+m+2)T_{r+k+m+2}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)} + A_{m,k}(\delta) \quad (3.1.7)$$

where

$$A_{m,k}(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{l+m} C_{m,k,i} \delta^{(i)} \quad (3.1.8)$$

$C_{m,k,i}$, $i=0,1,2,\dots,l+m$ are suitable constants.

If $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (3.1.7) (3.1.8) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+^{(m+j)} &= \left(\left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+^{(m)} \right)_+^{(j)} \\ &= (-1)^m (r+1)_{k+l+m+j} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2+m)T_{r+k+2+m}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)} (A_{m,k}(\delta))^{(j)} \\ &= (-1)^{m+j} (r+1)_{k+l+m+j} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2+m+j)T_{r+k+2+m+j}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)} + A_{m+j,k}(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2+m)T_{r+k+2+m}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)} &= (-1)^{(j)} (r+k+2+m)_j \\ &\times \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2+m+j)T_{r+k+m+j+2}F_1} \right)_+^{(j)} + A_{m,k,j}(\delta), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.9)$$

$$A_{m,k,j}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{l+m+j} C_{m,k,j,i}(\delta)^{(i)}$$

where

$C_{m,k,j,i}, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, l + m + j$ are suitable constants .

If $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+ \in L'_{loc}$, then $(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f})_+$ is a regular

distribution.

Lemma 3.1.3 :-

If $\phi \in S$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(r+1)_k \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x} x_+^{r-k-1}, \phi(x) \right\rangle \rightarrow \langle \delta^{(k)}(x), \phi(x) \rangle$$

Proof :-

Since $-r-k > 1$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that in the expression $D^{n+1}x_+^{-r-k-1}$ (n is sufficiently large) the regularizations of $x_+^{r-k-j-1}, j = s, \dots, n+1$ are to appear . From the fact that $x^p \delta^{(j)}(x) = 0$ if $p > j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(r+1)_k (-1)^{n+1} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2) (r+1)_{k+1}} \langle D^{n+1} x^{2n+r+k+3} D^{n+1} x^{-r-k-1}, \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \rangle \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2) (r+k+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D^{n+1} \left((-1)^{n+1} (r+k+1)_{n+1} x_+^{n+1} \right) \phi^{(k+1)}(x) dx \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n \Gamma(r+k+2) (r+k+2)n}{\Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \langle g(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \rangle = \langle g^{(k+1)}(x), \phi(x) \rangle, \phi \in S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof of the lemma 3.1.3 .

Lemma 3.1.4 :-

$$\frac{\angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) - F_1(x)}{x} \text{ converges uniformly to zero}$$

on $(0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Real Inversion Theorem 3.1.1 :-

Let $f \in L'(r)$. Then for any $\phi \in S$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \overline{L_{n,r,k,x} (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)}_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle = \langle f(x), \phi(x) \rangle,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, x > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Proof :-

By $\overline{(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)}_+$ we denote the distribution which corresponds to the function $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+$ where

$$(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+(x) = \begin{cases} (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x), & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+$ is a function from $L'_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\overline{(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)}_+$ denotes the regular distribution which corresponds to $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+$ and if $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+$ does not belong to $L'_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$ then $\overline{(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)}_+$ is an appropriate regularization of $(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)_+$.

In proving the theorem, we observe separately the cases $r+k > -1$ and $r+k < -1$.

The CASE $r+k>-1$:-

Let us put .

$$F_1(x) = \int_0^x F(t)dt, x \in \mathbb{R}. \text{ The function } F_1 \text{ is continuous } F_1(0) = 0$$

and $F'_1 = F$.

It follows from equation (1.2.3) that for some $C_1 > 0$

$$|F_1(x)| \leq \int_0^x |F(t)|dt \leq C_1 (1+x)^{r+k+1-\epsilon}, x \geq 0 \quad (3.1.4)$$

(we choose ϵ such that $r+k+1-\epsilon > 0$).

Since $f = F_1^{(k+1)}$ from equation (3.1.4) by partial integration we obtain

$$(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(s) = (r+1)_{k+1}(\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}f)(s), s \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0].$$

In the same way as in ([4], P.239) (proof of $L_{n,x}\phi \rightarrow \phi(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$),

we can prove that for $x > 0$

$$\angle_{n,r,k+1}(\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1)(x) \rightarrow F_1(x) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.1.10)$$

By Lemma 3.1.1 we get complete proof of (3.1.10).

We shall give the proof for the extreme case

i.e we suppose

$$x'(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+k+2}f)_+ \notin L'_{loc}(\mathbb{R}).$$

The other cases discussed above can be proved in a similar way in

lemma(3.1.2) . By equation (3.1.7) , we obtain $(\phi \in S)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_i(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2)(r+1)_{k+1}} \left\langle D^{n+1} x^{2n+r+k+3} D^{n+1} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_i(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{n+k} \Gamma(r+k+2)}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2)(r+1)_{k+1}} \left\langle D^{n+1} x^{2n+r+k+3} \left((-1)^{n+1} (r+1)_{k+n+2} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \overline{\left(\Gamma(r+k+n+3)T_{r+k+n+3}F_l \right)_i}(x) + A_{n+1,k}(\delta)(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Using Leibniz formula , (3.1.9) , the fact that

$$x^p \delta^{(k)}(x) = 0, \text{ if } p > k \text{ \& \text{ (3.1.5) (3.1.7)}}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle D^{n+1} x^{2n+r+k+3} D^{n+1} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_i(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} (x^{2n+r+k+3})^{(n+1-i)} \left((-1)^{n+1} (r+1)_{k+n+2} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+n+3)T_{r+k+n+3}F_l} \right)_i \right)^{(i)}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (A_{n+1,k}(\delta))^{(i)}(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{i} (x^{2n+r+k+3})^{(n+1-i)} (-1)^{n+1+i} (r+1)_{k+n+i+2} \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\Gamma(r+k+n+i+3)T_{r+k+n+i+3}F_l \right)_i(x), \phi^{(k+1)}(x) \right\rangle \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1+i} \binom{n+1}{i} (2n+r+k+i+3) - (n+r+k+3+i)(r+1)_{k+n+i+2} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_0^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+k+n+i+3)T_{r+k+n+i+3}F_l)(x) x^{n+r+k+i+2} \phi^{(k+1)}(x) dx$$

thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \frac{(-1)^{i+k+1} \binom{n+1}{i} \Gamma(r+k+2)(n+r+k+i+3)_{n+1-i} (r+1)_{k+i+2}}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2)(r+1)_{k+1}} \\ & \times \int_0^{\infty} (\Gamma(r+k+n+i+3)T_{r+k+n+i+3}F_l)(x) x^{n+r+k+i+2} \phi^{(k+1)}(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $x > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \frac{\binom{n+1}{i} (-1)^i \Gamma(r+k+2)(r+k+2)_{n+1-i} (n+r+k+i+3)_{n+1-i} x^{n+r+k+i+2}}{(n+1)! \Gamma(n+r+k+2)} \\ & \times (\Gamma(r+k+n+i+3)T_{r+k+n+i+3}F_l)(x) = \angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_l)(x). \\ & \therefore \left\langle \tilde{L}_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}F} \right)_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle = \angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_l)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 3.1.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \tilde{L}_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+(x) - f(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^{\infty} \left(\angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_l)(x) - F_l(x) \right) \phi^{k+1}(x) dx \\ &= (-1)^{k+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\angle_{n,r,k+1,x} (\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_l)(x) - F_l(x)}{xB(x)} \times (xB(x)\phi^{(k+1)}(x)) dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\therefore \tilde{L}_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+(x) - f(x) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\therefore L_{n,r,k,x} \left(\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f \right)_+(x) = f(x) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof of case $r+k > -1$.

Case $r+k < -1$:-

Let us remark that $r+k \notin -\mathbb{N}$.

$$\text{We put } F_1(x) = \begin{cases} -\int_x^\infty F(t)dt, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Since we suppose that $f \in L'(r)$, (1.2.3) implies that there exists

$B_1 > 0$ such that

$$|F_1(x)| \leq \int_0^\infty |F(t)|dt \leq B_1(1+x)^{r+k-\epsilon}, x \geq 0.$$

In the sense of the distributional derivative, we have $F'_1 = F - \alpha_0 \delta$,

$$\text{where } \alpha_0 = \int_0^\infty F(t)dt \quad (3.1.11)$$

By partial integration, we obtain ($x > 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f)(x) &= (r+1)_k \int_0^\infty \frac{F(t)}{(x+t)^{r+k+1}} dt \\ &= (r+1)_k \left((r+k+1) \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x+t)^{r+k+2}} dt + \alpha_0 \frac{1}{x^{r+k+1}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.12)$$

Let

$$x_+^{-r-k-1} = \begin{cases} x^{-r-k-1} & , x > 0 \\ 0 & , x < 0 \end{cases}.$$

Let $\phi \in S$. If we prove,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x}(r+1)_{k+1} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1} \right)_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle F_1^{(k+1)}(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.13)$$

then (3.1.12), lemma 3.1.4 & (3.1.11) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x} \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f} \right)_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x}(r+1)_k \left(\overline{\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}f_1} \right)_+(x), \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &+ \alpha_0 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle L_{n,r,k,x}(r+1)_k x_+^{-r-k-1}, \phi(x) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \left(F_1^{(1)} + \alpha_0 \delta \right)^{(k)}, \phi \right\rangle = \left\langle F^{(k)}, \phi \right\rangle = \left\langle f, \phi \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of equation (3.1.13), we have to repeat the arguments of the proof of the Inversion theorem for $r+k > -1$. The regularizations of $\left(\Gamma(r+k+2)T_{r+k+2}F_1 \right)_+^{(j)}$ will occur for $0 < s \leq j \leq n+1$, where s does not depend on n . At the end, instead of lemma 3.1.1 we have to use lemma 3.1.4.

Thus the Inversion theorem is completely proved.

3.2 Complex Inversion Formula :-

3.2.1 Introduction :-

In this section we shall prove the complex inversion theorem for the classical Stieltjes transformation given by Sumner in [26] is also valid, with the convergence, in S' instead of the pointwise convergence, for the $\Gamma(r+1)T_{r+1}f, r > -1$ where f belongs to a subspace of the space of T_{r+1} -transformable tempered distributions.

First, we give some preliminary results. Let $\eta > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $C_{\eta t}$, the contour in $\mathbb{C}$ which starts at the point, $-t-i\eta$, proceeds along the straight line $\text{Im}z = -\eta$ to the point $-i\eta$, then along the semicircle $|z| = \eta$, $\text{Re}z \geq 0$ to the point $i\eta$ and finally along the line $\text{Im}z = \eta$ to the point $-t + i\eta$. We notice that these contours were observed in [26] only for $t > 0$.

Let,

$$K(u, t) = K_1(t - u), t, u \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq u.$$

where,

$$K_1(x) = x^{-1} \left((-\eta - ix)^{-r-1} - (\eta + ix)^{-r-1} \right), x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \eta > 0.$$

For convenience we take that determination of $(s+t)^{r-k-1}$ which occurs in this section for which

$$\arg(s+t)^{r-k-1} \left(\arg z^{r-k-1}, s \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right) \text{ has its principal value.}$$

Using the identities

$$\int_{C_m} \frac{(z+t)^r}{(z+t)^{r+2}} dz = \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{r+1} k(u, t), \quad (3.2.1)$$

$$u > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}, t \neq u, \eta > 0,$$

$$\partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i = (-1)^i \partial^i K(u, t) / \partial u^i, t, u \in \mathbb{R}, u \neq t, \eta > 0.$$

By Leibniz formula we have

$$|\partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i| \leq C_1 \sum_{p=0}^i |t - u|^{-i-1+p} (\eta^2 + (t - u)^2)^{(r+1+p)/2},$$

$$\text{where } C_1 = 2 \max \left\{ \binom{i}{p} (i-p)! (r+1)_p; 0 \leq p \leq i \right\}.$$

Thus with $C_0 = (i+1)C_1$, it holds

$$|\partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i| \leq C_0 |t - u|^{-i-1} (\eta^2 + (t - u)^2)^{(r+1)/2}. \quad (3.2.2)$$

We shall suppose that $r > -1$. We denote by $L'(r)$ ($r > -1$) a subset of

$L'(r+1)$ such that

$f \in L'(r)$, if $f = t^{-r} D^k F$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, F is continuous and if instead of

$$(3.2.3) \text{ it holds } |F(x)| \leq C(1+x)^{r+1-\epsilon}, x \geq 0 \quad (3.2.3)$$

for some $C > 0$ and some $\epsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.2.1 :-

Let F be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp } F \subset [0, \infty)$ & let

(3.2.3) hold. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(u) \left(\partial^k K(u, t) / \partial t^k \right) \Big|_{t=t_0} du = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(u) K(u, t) du \right) \Big|_{t=t_0}. \quad (3.2.4)$$

Lemma 3.2.2 :-

Let F satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.2.1 and let

$$\phi_i(t) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left| F(u) \partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i \right| du, t \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.2.5)$$

(i) There exists constants $k(i, \eta)$ and polynomials $P_i(t)$ such that

$$\phi_i(t) \leq k(i, \eta) P_i(t), t \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) There exist a constant k_0 (which does not depend on η) and a polynomial $P_0(t)$ such that

$$\eta^{r+1} \phi_0(t) \leq k_0 p_0(t), t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof :-

(i) Let $t > 1$, we have

$$\phi_i(t) \leq \left(\int_0^{t-1} + \int_{t-1}^{t+1} + \int_{t+1}^{\infty} \right) \left| F(u) \partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i \right| du = J_1 + J_2 + J_3$$

By (3.2.2) & (3.2.3) we have

$$J_1 \leq CC_0 \int_0^{t-1} \frac{(1+u)^{r+1-\epsilon}}{|t-u|^{i+1} (\eta^2 + (t-u)^2)^{(r+1)/2}} du \leq CC_0 t(1+t)^{r+1-\epsilon}$$

because for $t > 0$ and $u \in (0, t-1)$, $(t-u)^{i+1} (\eta^2 + (t-u)^2)^{(r+1)/2} > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq CC_0 \int_0^\infty \frac{(1+u)^{r+1-\epsilon} du}{|t-u|^{i+1} \left(\eta^2 + (t-u)^2\right)^{(r+1)/2}} \\ &= C_0 C \int_0^\infty \frac{(2+t+v)^{r+1-\epsilon}}{(v+1)^{i+1} \left(\eta^2 + (v+1)^2\right)^{(r+1)/2}} dv . \end{aligned}$$

Since $r-\epsilon > -1$, from $(2+t+v)^{r+1-\epsilon} \leq 2^{r+1-\epsilon} \left((2+t)^{r+1-\epsilon} + v^{r+1-\epsilon} \right)$,

($\because$ putting $u = t+v+1 \therefore |t-u|=|v+1|$)

$v>0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq 2^{r+1-\epsilon} C_0 C \left((2+t)^{r+1-\epsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{dv}{(v+1)^{i+1} \left(\eta^2 + (v+1)^2\right)^{(r+1)/2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \frac{v^{r+1-\epsilon} dv}{(v+1)^{i+1} \left(\eta^2 + (v+1)^2\right)^{(r+1)/2}} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

For J_2 we have

$$J_2 \leq \sup_{t-1 \leq u \leq t+1} \left\{ F(u) \right\} \int_{t-1}^{t+1} \left| \partial^i K(u,t) / \partial t^i \right| du \leq C(t+2)^{r+1-\epsilon} \int_1^1 \left| \partial^i K(0,s) / \partial s^i \right| ds.$$

Since the function $H(0,s)$, $s \in [-1,1]$

where

$$H(u,t) = \begin{cases} K(u,t), & u \neq t \\ \frac{2(r+1)h}{\eta^{r+2}}, & u = t, (u,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \end{cases}$$

is a smooth one . We obtain that for some constant M_i which depends on

7.

$$J_2 \leq M_i (t+2)^{r+1-\epsilon} .$$

Estimations for J_1 , J_2 and J_3 imply that the assertion holds if $t > 1$.

Let $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then we have

$$\phi_i(t) \leq \left(\int_0^2 + \int_2^\infty \right) \left(|F(u) \partial^i K(u, t) / \partial t^i| \right) du.$$

and by the similar arguments as above we can prove that the assertion holds.

If $t < 0$ there is no need to divide the integral in (3.2.5) and assertion (i) follows by arguments given by above.

(ii) Let $t > 1$. From the first part of this lemma we conclude that only in the calculation of the integral J_2 , the constant $k(i, \eta)$ depends on η . But on setting

$$s = \eta t g \phi \text{ in } \int_1^t |\partial^i K(0, s) / \partial s^i| ds,$$

in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 4.b from [26], we prove the assertion.

For $t \leq 1$, we have to use arguments given above.

Hence the proof of Lemma.

Lemma 3.2.3 :-

Let F be a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}$ with $\text{supp } F \subset [0, \infty)$ and let

$$|F(x)| \leq C(1+x)^{r+1-\epsilon}, x \geq 0 \text{ hold. Then } \lim_{\eta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta^{r+1-\epsilon}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) K(u, t) du = F(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$$

Proof :-

For $t \geq 0$ the proof follows from ([26],Lemma 4.C)since for enough large R

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(u)K(u,t)du = 0.$$

Since $r > -1$ and $\int_0^\infty |F(u)K(u,t)|dt \leq \int_0^\infty |F(u)|(|t|+u)^{r-2}.du < \infty, t < 0$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(u)K(u,t)du = 0, t < 0$$

The proof is complete .

If $f \in L^r(r)$, then for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (r+1) \int_{C_\eta} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+2) \Gamma_{r+2} F)(z) dz &= (r+1)_{k+1} \\ &\int_{C_\eta} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+k+2) \Gamma_{r+k+2} F)(z) dz \\ &= (r+1)_{k+1} \int_{C_\eta} (z+t)^r \left(\int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{F(u)}{(z+u)^{r+k+2}} du \right) dz \\ &= (r+1)_{k+1} \int_{-\infty}^\infty F(u) \left(\int_{C_\eta} \frac{(z+t)^r}{(z+u)^{r+k+2}} dz \right) du. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds on the basis of the uniform convergence of

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(u)}{(z+u)^{r+k+2}} du, \text{ for } z \in C_{\eta}.$$

Thus we have by (3.2.1)

$$(r+1) \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+2) T_{r+2} f)(z) dz = (-1)^k \eta^{r+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) (\partial^k K(u, t) / \partial t^k) du,$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Complex Inversion Theorem 3.2.1 :-

Let $f \in L'(r)$. Then for every $\phi \in S$.

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{r+1}{2\pi i} \left\langle \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+2) T_{r+2} f)(z) dz, \phi(t) \right\rangle \right) = \langle f(t), \phi(t) \rangle.$$

Proof :-

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{r+1}{2\pi i} \left\langle \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+2) T_{r+2} f)(z) dz, \phi(t) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} (r+1)_{k+1} \left\langle \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+k+2) T_{r+k+2} F)(z) dz, \phi(t) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

($\because$ by 3.2.5)

$$= \frac{(r+1)_{k+1}}{2\pi i} \left\langle \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(u)}{(z+u)^{r+k+2}} dz \right) du, \phi(t) \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(r+1)_{k+1}}{2\pi i} \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) \left(\int_{C_{\eta}} \frac{(z+t)^r}{(z+u)^{r+k+2}} dz \right) du, \phi(t) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi i} (r+1) \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^k} \int_{C_{\eta}} \frac{(z+t)^r}{(z+u)^{r+2}} dz \right) du, \phi(t) \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{(-1)^k}{2\pi i} \eta^{r+1} \left\langle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) (\partial^k K(u, t) / \partial u^k) du, \phi(t) \right\rangle \quad (\text{by } \because (3.2.1)) \\
&= \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) (\partial^k K(u, t) / \partial u^k) du \right) \phi(t) dt. \\
&= \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) (K(u, t)) du \right) \phi(t) dt. \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.2.1}) \\
&= \frac{\eta^{r+1}}{2\pi i} (-1)^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(u) K(u, t) du \right) \phi^{(k)}(t) dt. \\
&\hspace{25em} (\text{by partial integration})
\end{aligned}$$

Thus , by lemma 3.2.3 , lemma 3.2.2 (ii) and the Lebesgue theorem ,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{r+1}{2\pi i} \left\langle \int_{C_{\eta}} (z+t)^r (\Gamma(r+2) T_{r+2} f)(z) dz, \phi(t) \right\rangle \\
&= (-1)^k \langle F(t), \phi^{(k)}(t) \rangle = \langle f, \phi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$ The proof is complete .