## CHAPTER-11

## FERMI - TRANSPORT

## 1. INTRODUCTION :

An elegant exposition of the Fermi derivative $D_{F} / D_{s}$ of a vector field $\bar{x}$ with respect to a time-like vector field $\bar{u}$ has been given in the - famous book "The large scale structure of space-time" by Hawking and Ellis (1973) in Index-free notation as

$$
\frac{D_{F} \bar{x}}{d s}=\frac{D \bar{x}}{d s}-g\left(\bar{x}, \frac{d \bar{x}}{d s}\right) \bar{u}+g(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \frac{D \bar{u}}{d s}
$$

Later Radhakrishna and Bhosie (1975) considered due corrections for signature of the metric tensor (,,,---+ ) on the form of the Fermi derivative and gave the following notation for the Fermi derivative of $x_{b}^{a}$ along $\bar{u}$.

$$
F_{u} x_{b}^{a}=x_{b ; k}^{a} u^{k}+x_{b}^{k}\left(\dot{u}_{k} u^{a}-u_{k} \dot{u}^{a}\right)+x_{k}^{a}\left(\dot{u}^{k} u_{b}-u^{k} \dot{u}_{b}\right) .
$$

For geodesic motion $\dot{u}^{\mathrm{a}}=0$ and then

$$
F_{u} x_{b}^{a}=\dot{x}_{b}^{a}
$$

l.e., the material derivative and Fermi derivative are identical. Thus, Fermi derivative is a generalization of the material or intrinsic derivative.

Material tensors : If $x^{a} u_{a}=0$, then, we get,

$$
F_{u^{x}}{ }^{a}=1 \dot{x}^{a}
$$

where $L$ is the 3-dimensional projection operator defined by


$$
1 A^{a}=\gamma_{b}^{a} A^{b}=\left(\delta_{b}^{a}-u^{a} u_{b}\right) A^{b}
$$

( Note : $\quad \gamma_{a}^{a}=3, \gamma_{a}^{b} \gamma_{b}^{c}=\gamma_{a}^{c}$ )

We comment that the Fermi derivative of a material tensor is again a material tensor. In other words Fermi derivative of a material tensor measures the spatial growth of the tensor (Bressan, 1978).

We observe that
i) $\quad F_{u} g_{a b}=0$
ii) $F_{u} u^{a}=0$
iii) $F_{u_{u}}=0$
iv) $F_{u} \gamma_{a b}=F_{u}\left(g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}\right)=0$

Thus the gravitational potentials, the flow vector and the threedimensional projection operator are Fermi trans:ported, identically.

Physical Interpretation of the Fermi Transported Tetrad

Under Fermi transport the magnitude of a vector is unchanged and the scalar product of two vectors is unchanged. Hence, if we define any orthonormal tetrad $\lambda(\mathrm{a})$ at any point on a curve $\gamma$ of a congruence, it remains orthonormal tetrad at any other point on the curve $\gamma$ of the congruence if the tetrad is Fermi transported. This property is shared by parallel transport.

## Fermi Transport and Parallel Transport :

The parallel transport is defined by a simple equation and it is the natural transport for the comparison of two vectors at two different points in the space. But the Fermi transport is more important in some physical
situations for the formation of physical laws (Stephani, 1982). Both transports conserve an orthonormal tetrad under advance along the curve of a timelike congruence but still a great difference is between these two transports. If we define an orthonormal tetrad $\lambda_{(a)}^{k}$ on a curve $\gamma$ of a congruence at some points so that $\lambda_{(4)}^{k}=u^{k}$, it is observed that $\lambda_{(4)}^{k}$ at any another point on the curve does not coincide with $u^{k}$ under parallel transport unless the congruence is geodesic. But under Fermi transport $\lambda \underset{(4)}{k}$ remains tangent to the curve $\gamma$ of a congruence. This provides us the physical interpretation of the Fermi transported tetrad (Synge, 1960).

## Remark :

The Fermi transport not only conserves the orthonormal tetrad along the time-like congruence, but it also provides an orthonormal triad of congruences orthogonal to the time-like congruence. "This Fermi transported tetrad system is the best approximation to the co-ordinated system of an observer who employs locally a non-rotating inertial system in the sense of Newtonian mechanics" (Stephani, 1982).

## 2. FERMI TRANSPORT OF $\perp_{a b}:$

We now propose to study the Fermi transport of the 2-dimensional projection operator $\perp_{a b}=g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+P_{a} P_{b}$. Obviously $\perp_{a b}$ is not Fermi transported identically, since

$$
F_{u} P_{a} \neq 0
$$

The exact expression for $F_{u} \perp_{a b}$ is derived in the following theorem. In fact we determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for the vanishing of $F_{u} \perp a b$.

There are three types of 2-dimensional projection operators, namely
i) $L_{a b}=g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+P_{a} P_{b}$
ii) $\perp^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{b}=g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+a_{a} Q_{b}$
iii) $\perp^{\prime \prime}{ }_{a b}=g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+R_{a} R_{b}$

We study their Fermi transports in the following discussions.

Theorem-1 : The following are equivalent (TFAE).

1) $\perp_{a b}$ is Fermi transported.
2) $k_{2}=0$ (Torsion of the stream line vanishes).

Proof : (1) implies (2).
We consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{u \perp a b}= F_{u}\left(g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+P_{a} p_{b}\right), \text { by definition of } \perp a b \\
&= F_{u} g_{a b}-F_{u} u_{a} u_{b}+F_{u} P_{a} P_{b}, \text { due to linearity of } F . \\
&= P_{a} F_{u} P_{b}+P_{b} F_{u} P_{a}, \text { since } F_{u} u_{b}=0, F_{u} g_{a b}=0 . \\
&=\left.P_{a} \dot{P}_{b}+P_{k}\left(\dot{u}^{k} u_{b}-\dot{u}_{b} u^{k}\right)\right]+P_{b}\left[P_{a}+P_{k}\left(\dot{u}^{k} u_{a}-\dot{u}_{a} u^{k}\right)\right] \\
& \quad b y \text { definition of } F_{u} P_{a} \\
&= P_{a}\left(\dot{P}_{b}+P_{k} u^{k} u_{b}\right)+P_{b}\left(\dot{p}_{a}+P_{k} u^{k} u_{a}\right) \text { since } p_{k} u^{k}=0 \\
&= P_{a}\left(k_{1} u_{b}+k_{2} Q_{b}\right)+P_{b}\left(k_{1} u_{a}+k_{2} Q_{a}\right)+P_{k} \dot{u}^{k}\left(P_{a} u_{b}+P_{b} u_{a}\right) \\
& b y(R S F-2) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u} L_{a b}=k_{2}\left(P_{a} Q_{b}+P_{b} Q_{a}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On contracting $F_{u} L_{a b}$ with $p^{a}$, we dtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{a} F_{u} L_{a b} & =k_{2}\left(P^{a} P_{a} Q_{b}+P_{b} P^{a} Q_{a}\right) \\
& =-k_{2} Q_{b}, \text { since } P^{a} P_{a}=-1, P^{a} Q_{a}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Transvecting with $Q^{b}$, we get -
$Q^{b} p^{a} F_{u \perp a b}=-k_{2} Q^{b} Q_{b}$
$Q^{b} P^{a_{F}} \mathcal{L}_{a b}=k_{2}$, since $Q^{b} Q_{b}=-1$
It follows that
when, $F_{u} \perp_{a b}=0$,
we get $k_{2}=0$, from (2.2)
(2) implies (1) :

Put $k_{2}=0$ in (2.1), to get

$$
F_{u} \perp_{a b}=0
$$

Hence,

$$
F_{u} \perp_{a b}=0 \text { iff } k_{2}=0
$$

This completes the proof.

We now investigate the Fermi transport of the 2-dimensional operator

$$
L_{a b}^{\prime}=g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+a_{a} a_{b}
$$

Theorem 2: The following are equivalent (TFAE).

1) $L_{a b}$ is Fermi transported
2) $k_{2}=k_{3}=0$

Proof : (1) implies (2)

We consider,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{u} L^{\prime} a b= F_{u}\left(g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+Q_{a} Q_{b}\right) \\
&= Q_{a} F_{u} Q_{b}+Q_{b} F_{u} Q_{a^{\prime}}, \text { since } F_{u} g_{a b}=0, F_{u} u_{a}=0, \\
&= Q_{a}\left[\dot{Q}_{b}+Q_{k}\left(\dot{u}_{k} u_{b}-\dot{u}_{b} u k\right)\right]+Q_{b}\left[\dot{Q}_{a}+Q_{k}\left(\dot{u}^{k} u_{a}-\dot{u}_{a} u^{k}\right)\right] \\
&= Q_{a} \dot{Q}_{b}+\dot{Q}_{b} Q_{a}+Q_{a} u_{b} Q_{k} \dot{u}^{k}+Q_{b} Q_{k} \dot{u}^{k} u_{a} \text { since } Q_{k} u^{k}=0 . \\
& F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime}=Q_{a} \dot{Q}_{b}+Q_{b} \dot{Q}_{a}+Q_{k} \dot{u}^{k}\left(Q_{a} u_{b}+Q_{b} u_{a}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
& F_{u} L^{\prime} a b= Q_{a}\left(-k_{2} P_{b}+k_{3} P_{b}\right)+Q_{b}\left(-k_{2} P_{a}+K_{3} P_{a}\right)+Q_{k} k_{1} P^{k}\left(Q_{a} u_{b}+Q_{b} u_{a}\right) \\
& \quad(b y R S F=2) \\
&=-k_{2}\left(Q_{a} P_{b}+Q_{b} P_{a}\right)+K_{3}\left(Q_{a} R_{b}+Q_{b} R_{a}\right)+k_{1} Q_{k} P^{k}\left(Q_{a} u_{b}+Q_{b} u_{a}\right) . \\
& F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime}=-k_{2}\left(Q_{a} P_{b}+Q_{b} P_{a}\right)+K_{3}\left(Q_{a} R_{b}+Q_{b} R_{a}\right),  \tag{2.4}\\
& \text { since } Q_{k} P^{k}=0
\end{align*}
$$

contracting $F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime}$ with $Q^{a}$ and $R^{b}$ successively, we get.

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{a} F_{u L^{\prime} a b}=Q^{a}\left[-k_{2}\left(Q_{a} P_{b}+Q_{b} P_{a}\right)+k_{3}\left(Q_{a} R_{b}+Q_{b} R_{a}\right)\right] \\
& =k_{2} P_{b}-k_{3} R_{b} \text {, since } Q^{a} Q_{a}=-1, Q^{a} P_{a}=0, Q^{a} R_{a}=0 . \\
& R^{b} Q^{a} F_{u} \perp_{a b}^{\prime}=R^{b}\left(k_{2} P_{b}-k_{3} R_{b}\right) \\
& R^{b} Q^{a}\left(F_{u L^{\prime} a b}\right)=k_{3} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, transvecting (2.4) with $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{b}}$, we have.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P^{a} F_{u} l_{a b}^{\prime} & =P^{a}\left[-k_{2}\left(Q_{a} P_{b}+Q_{b} P_{a}\right)+k_{3}\left[Q_{a} R_{b}+Q_{b} R_{a}\right)\right] \\
& =k_{2} Q_{b}+0, \text { since } P^{a} Q_{a}=0, P^{a} P_{a}=-1, P^{a} R_{a}=0 . \\
P^{a} F_{u l_{a b}^{\prime}} & =k_{2} Q_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$Q^{b} P^{a_{F}} F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime}=Q^{b} \cdot k_{2} Q_{b}$, since $Q^{b} Q_{b}=-1$
$Q^{b^{b}}{ }^{a}\left(F_{u} l_{a b}^{\prime}\right)=-k_{2}$
Hence, from (2.5) and (2.6), we have,

$$
F_{u} \perp^{\prime} a b=0 \text { implies } k_{2}=k_{3}=0
$$

Conversely, if, $k_{2}=0, k_{3}=0$. implies $F_{u} \perp^{\prime} a b=0$ by (2.4)
This completes the proof.

Note:
(a) The two non-vanishing double inner products are
i) $R^{b} Q^{a}\left(F_{u} \perp^{\prime} a b\right)=k_{3}$.
ii) $Q^{b} P^{a}\left(F_{u L^{\prime}}^{a b}\right)=-k_{2}$.
(b) Other 14 double inner products with $F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime}$ vanish, identically.
(c) $k_{2}=0$ implies $k_{3}=0$, by definition of $k_{3}$.

Theorem-3: The following are equivalent (TFAE).

1) $\perp_{a b}^{\prime \prime}$ is Fermi transported.
2) $k_{3}=0$, (Bitorsion or third curvature vanishes).

Proof : (1) implies (2)
We consider,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.F_{u}\right|_{a b} ^{\prime \prime} & =F_{u}\left(g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+R_{a} R_{b}\right) \\
& =F_{u} g_{a b}-u_{a} F_{u} u_{b}-u_{b} F_{u} u_{a}+R_{a} F_{u} R_{b}+R_{b} F_{u} R_{a}, \text { since linearity of } F_{.} \\
& =R_{a} F_{u} R_{b}+R_{b} F_{u} R_{a}, \text { since } F_{u} g_{a b}=0, F_{u} u_{b}=0 . \\
& =R_{a}\left[\dot{R}_{b}+R_{k}\left(u^{k} u_{b}-u^{k} \dot{u}_{b}\right)\right]+R_{b}\left[\dot{R}_{a}+R_{k}\left(\dot{u}^{k} u_{a}-u^{k} \dot{u}_{a}\right)\right] \text {, by definition. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{u L^{\prime \prime} a b}=R_{a} \dot{R}_{b}+R_{b} \dot{R}_{a}+R_{k} \dot{u}^{k}\left(R_{a} u^{b}+R_{b} u_{a}\right) \text {, since } R_{k} u^{k}=0 . \\
=R_{a}\left(-k_{3} a_{b}\right)+R_{b}\left(-k_{3} a_{a}\right)+R_{k} K_{1} P^{k}\left(R_{a} u_{b}+R_{b} u_{a}\right), \\
b y(R S F-1 \text { and II). } \\
F_{u} \perp_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=-k_{3}\left(R_{a} a_{b}+R_{b} Q_{a}\right) \text {, since } R_{k} P^{k}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Contracting (2.7) with $Q^{b}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q^{b} F_{u} \perp^{\prime \prime} a b=Q^{b}\left[-k_{3}\left(R_{a} Q_{b}+R_{b} Q_{a}\right)\right] \\
& Q^{b} F_{u} \perp^{\prime \prime} a b=-k_{3}, \text { since } R^{a_{2}} R_{a}=Q^{b} Q_{b}=-1, Q^{b} R_{b}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Other double inner products of $F_{u l}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ ab vanish identically
Hence, $F_{u} \perp^{\prime \prime}{ }_{a b}=F_{u}\left(g_{a b}-u_{a} u_{b}+R_{a} R_{b}\right)=-k_{3} \quad\left(R_{a} Q_{b}+R_{b} Q_{a}\right)$
It follows that, when, $F_{u} L_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=0$,
we get, $k_{3}=0$, from (2.8)
(2) implies (1),

Put $k_{3}=0$ in (2.7), to get, $F_{u} \perp_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=0$
Hence,

$$
F_{u} \|_{a b}^{\prime \prime}=0 \text { iff } k_{3}=0
$$

This completes the proof.

## 31

3. THE FERMI TRANSPORT OF THE RELATIVISTIC SERRET-FRENET

TETRAD :
i) $\quad F_{u} u^{a}=0$, by property.
ii) $\quad F_{u} P^{a}=\dot{p}^{a}+p^{k}\left(\dot{u}_{k} u^{a}-u_{k} \dot{u}^{a}\right)$

$$
=k_{1} u^{a}+k_{2} Q^{a}+p^{k_{k_{1}} P_{k} u^{a}, \quad b y(R S F-1,2) \text { and } \quad P^{k} u_{k}=0 . . . . . ~}
$$

$$
F_{u} P^{a}=k_{2} Q^{a}
$$

iii) $\quad F_{u} Q^{a}=\dot{Q}^{a}+Q^{k}\left(\dot{u}_{k} u^{a}-u_{k} \dot{u}^{a}\right)$

$$
=-k_{2} P^{a}+k_{3} R^{a}, \quad \text { since } \quad Q^{k} u_{k}=0 \quad d^{k} P_{k}=0
$$

iv) $\quad F_{u} R^{a}=\dot{R}^{a}+R^{k}\left(\dot{u}_{k} u^{a}-u_{k} \dot{u}^{a}\right)$

$$
=-k_{3} Q^{a}+R^{k} k_{1} P_{k} u^{\hat{a}}, \text { since } \quad R^{k} u_{k}=0 .
$$

$$
=-k_{3} a^{a} .
$$

Serret Frenet tetrad satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{u} u^{a}=0 \\
& F_{u} P^{a}=k_{2} Q^{a} \\
& F_{u} Q^{a}=-k_{2} P^{a}+k_{3} R^{a} \\
& F_{u} R^{a}=-k_{3} Q^{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $k_{2}=0$ implies $k_{3}=0$, we infer that the necessary and sufficient condition for the Fermi transport of relativistic Serret-Frenet tetrad is that $k_{2}=0$.
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