## - CHAPTER=V

SCHEDULED CASTES HOUSEHOLDS IN HATKANANGALE TALUKA STRUCTURE

## AND CHARACTERISTS

INTRODUCTION:
In this chapter an attempt is made to study the structure and characteristics of the sampled scheduled castes households in Hatkanangale taluka as revealed by the survey which have the sociomeconomic implications, the various sectiongi' are devoted to:

1) Caste- Relıgionwise classification of the sampled households.
2). Sex-wise classification of the sampled population.
2) Distribution of sample population, according to age groups.

42 Distribution of households according to marital status.
5) Literacy and iliiteracy among scheduled castes and scheduled tribes.
6) Classification of population in to working and non-working.
7) Distribution of households according to family size.


The table 5.1 is based on the data collected from 108 households by sample survey in the Hatkanangale Taluka. From. this table it is seen that:

Proportion of Mahar maste is more than the others. Even we say that approximately half of the families belonging to Mahar castes. Proportion to the total are Mahar( $42.6 \%$ ) Mang ( $19.4 \%$ ) Dhor (11.1\%) Kaikadi ( $2.8 \%$ ) and other ST(24.0\%).

## TABLE NO. 5.2

DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS ACCORDING TO REIIGION.

| Religion | Number of Families | Percentage <br> to the total. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hindu | 108 | $100 \%$ |
| New-Buddhist | - | - |

It appears from this Table No. 5.2 that, out of the total 108 families, all the families were belonging to Handu religion and not a single family was found belonging to New-Buddhist religion.

## TABLE NO. 5.3

SEX_WISE CLASSIFICATION OF THE SAMPLE POPULAAIION.


From the table No. 5.3 it seems that number of males are are more than the number of females. Proportion of men to women is approximately same that of Boys to Girls. The proportion of males to the total is 350 ( $55.73 \%$ ), while the proportion of females is 278 ( $44.27 \%$ ). Approxmately $35 \%$ are men and $20 \%$ are Boys and $29 \%$ women and $15 \%$ are girls.

| TABLE NO. 5.4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DISIRIBUTION OF SAMPLE POPULATION |  |  |
| ACCORDING TO AGE GROUPS |  |  |
| 1 边 |  |  |
| Age groups <br> in Year | Number of persons | Percentage to the total |
| Below 1 Year | 7 | - 1.12 |
| 1 to 5 | 63 | 10.03 |
| 6 to 15 | 151 | 24.04 |
| 16 to 30 | 200 | 31.84 |
| 31 to 60 | 185 | 29.46 |
| 61 \& above | 22 | $3 \cdot 50$ |
| Total | 628 | 100.00 |

Table No. 5.4 shows that the proportion of population whose age is less than 15 years is $35 \%$ and population between age group 15 to 60 years is approximately $60 \%$ and the proportion of population whose age is above 60 years is $3.5 \%$.

Thus working population is $60 \%$ and non-working dependent population $40 \%$ approximately.

In case of population above the age of 60 it appears that owing to poverty malnurition etc; very few mambers of the scheduled Caste households live beyond the age 60 Years.

## TABLE NO. 5.5

DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS ACCORDING TO MARITAL STATUS

| Status | ( Males + Females $)$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage |
| 1) Unmarried | h 290 | 46.17 |
| 2) Married | 300 | 47.78 |
| 3) ạ Widows | 30 | 4.77 |
| b) Widower | 6 | 0.96 |
| 4) Divorced | 2 | 0.32 |

Distribution of the respondents (Head of the household) according to marital status is given in table No. 5.5.

The total of 108 respondents as many as 300 or $47.78 \%$ respondents were married. The number of widows and widowers were 36 or approximately $6 \%$ and unmarried were as many as 290 or $46.17 \%$. Comparatively proportion of married respondents to the unmarried is almost the same and the proportion of Divorced is only 2 or $0.32 \%$.
98
LITERACY AND ILIITERACY AMONG SCHEDULED CASTES AND SCHEDULED IRIBES


## LITTERACY AMONG SCHEDULED CASTES AND SCHEDULED CASTES :

The status of a community depends to a certain degree on the educational level of its members. Education not only qualifies people for better jobs, but it also creates an awareness of opportunities open to them, which enriches life.

The table presented here gives a picture of the literacy levels of $S C / S T$ sample population for this purpose data was collected from the 108-Scheduled castes and scheduled Tribes nouseholds. The literacy level of each household was computed according to age groups of sample population Table No. 5.6 shows that out of 628 sample population 311 ( 49.53\%) Males and Females Lıterate-

Table No. 5.6 further indicates that between age group 1 to 15 years. The total number of Literate Males were 70 or $11.14 \%$ and 46 or $7.33 \%$ Females respectively, and the percentage of total literates in this age group was $18.48 \%$ to the total literates.

Table No. 5.6 also indicates the population of Literates between the age group 16 to 60 years. The total number of Literate males were 150 and 43 females respectively, between age group 16 to 60 , there were $23.88 \%$ literate males and $6.85 \%$ Females.

The remaining age group 61 and above years indicates only literate males and Nill literacy among females. Finally this
table also indicates that out of the 311 total literate population 222 or $35.34 \%$ were literate males and 89 . or $14.18 \%$ were literate females.

If we campared this percentage of literacy among SC/ST to the total percentage of literates in the Hatkanangaie Tahsil we will find that Literacy rate among SC/ST population is increasing positevely Table No- 5.6 indicates $49.53 \%$ LIteracy among SC/ST and the percentage of literates among total population of Tahsil according to 1971 census was $40.76 \%$.

## TABLE NO. 5.7

CLASSIFICATION OF POPULATION IN TO WORKING
ARD NON_WORKING

| Age Groups | Toral No. of <br> Population | Percentage to the <br> total_Population. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non_Working Population <br> (1-15 Years ) <br> Working_Population <br> $(16$ to 60 Years) <br> Non_Working Population <br> $(61$ Years \& above.) <br> Total$\quad 321$ | 35.19 |  |

The table No. 5.7 shows that of the total of 628 male anc female members of sampled scheduled castes and Scheduled Tribes households 385 ( $61.31 \%$ ) were workers and 243 ( $38.69 \%$ ) were non-workers.

Of the 628 Male-Female young working members, 61.31 members were between the age group of 16 to 60 Years and of the 221 (35.19\%) male-female members were between the age group of 1-15 Years and remaining non-working male females were belonging to the age group of 61 years and above.

This data therefore show that a fairly high proportion of young members between the age group of 16 to 60 years in tho podmplea households were working for their livelihood and family.

TABLE NO. 5.8
DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSE_HOIDS ACCORDING TO FAMILY SIZE

| Size of | No. of | $\%$ |  | Population |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| families | famılies | to the | of each | size of |
|  |  | total | group | population. |
|  |  | famılies |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Generally speaking the size of household is determined by a number of facters, such as education, occupation, rural-urban background of the respondents. In a number of studies it is repeatedy observed that household size is inversely related to education and occupation.

In this sample as seen in the table No. 5.8 the average household size in the total sample was 5.81 persons in each household.

From the table, it is seen that families with 1 to 3 members were 18 ( $16.67 \%$ ) famılies with 4 to 6 members were 52 ( $48.14 \%$ ) families with 7 to 9 members were 32 ( $29.63 \%$ ) and families with 10 and above members 6 (5.56\%).

The data shown in the table reveal that families with 4 to 6 members were $48.14 \%$ and the proportion of these families were approximately fifty percent as well as the proportion of families with 7 to 9 members was $29.63 \%$.

The bigger families tend to burdensome as their subsistence becomes quite difficult; moreover, such families fail to look after their other needs like education: medıcines, clothing, etc.

This data also suggest a very little influence of the family planning programme on scheduled castes in rural areas.

