

CHAPTER_II

METHOD OF STUDY
2.1 INTRODUCTION

In the last chapter we took note of the subject. In this chapter we will explain research methodology and explanation method at a greater length.

### 2.2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGX

The present study is based on empirical research. An attempt has been made to look into the relationship between size of holding and productivity from the available data. The survey work wasdone in Madha Taluka.

While selecting the sample we selected $10 \%$ villages out of 112 villages. We selected 12 villages with the help of the arid method from the map of Madha Taluka. With the help of this method we assumed that the taluka place is the centre and then we selected villages which were located in various directions of taluka. The following villages were selected.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Chosen Villages | Selected farmers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Madha | 10 |
| 2. | Kurduwad 1 | 10 |
| 3. | Ghatane | 10 |
| 4. | Vittlewadi | 10 |
| 5. | Tulse | 10 |
| 6. | Laul | 10 |
| 7. | Nimgaon | 10 |
|  | Bhand | 10 |
| 9. | Upalai (B) | 10 |
| 10. | Pempalnar | 10 |
| 11. | Anjangaon | 10 |
| 12. | Tadavale (Mahat) | 10 |
| Total ........ 120 |  |  |
| Then after having selected villages, farmers from |  |  |
| the same villages were to be selected. Our objective was |  |  |
| to select such farmers which would represent various types |  |  |
| of farmers. Therefore, we selected 10 farmers which |  |  |
| comprised of small, medium and large holders. The |  |  |
| clas | 1cation is as fo | e no. 2.2 |

## TABLE NO, 2.2

| Sr. <br> No. | Name of villages | Number of <br> small <br> farmers <br> 10 to 20 <br> (acre) | Medium farmers <br> 21 to <br> 40 acre | Larger <br> farmer <br> 41 to <br> 70 acre | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Madha | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| 2. | Kurduwadi | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| 3. | Ghatane | 7 | 1 | 2 | 10 |
|  | Vittlewadi | 6 | 3 | 1 | 10 |
| 3. | Tulse | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 6. | Laul | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| 7. | Nimgaon | 6 | 2 | 2 | 10 |
| 8. | Bhand | 8 | 2 | - | 10 |
| 9. | Upalai (B) | 8 | 2 | - | 10 |
| 10. | Pempalnar | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| 11. | Anjangaon (K) | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| 12. | Tadavale (Mahat) |  | 4 | 2 | 10 |

As indicated in the above table, number of total farmers selected for the sample survey were 120 . These 120 farmers were interviewed and the schedules were administered to them. The data so obtained were then suitably tabulated.

We introduced the following method for determining correlation comefficient between size of holding and total income accrued by farmers from agriculture. 120 farmers were classified in seven different groups, such as 0-10 acres, 11 - 20 acres etc. Correlation co-efficient were calculated for each group then we investigated as to how much income of farmer rises as the holding size increases. For calculating the correlation co-efficient we used the following formula. $r=\frac{\varepsilon x y}{\sqrt{\varepsilon x^{2} x \varepsilon y^{2}}}$

Thus, we selected a sample of farmers then we compiled the statistical infarmation which we got from the questionnaire. And then calculating correlation co-efficients and the present study was completed.

### 2.3 THE CONCEPTS USED IN THE STUDY

In the present study we used mainly two concepts those are size of holding and total revenue productivity. We have assumed definitions of land holding and revenue productivity as follows.

## 1) Land Holding :

It is the total land cultivated by a household. owned and possessed for the agricultural year.

```
2) Revenue Productivity :
    It is the amount of total revenue from the selling
Of total agricultural produce at the market price per
acre of land.
2.4 SUMMING UP
In this way, we have followed the present research methodology and explanation method.
```

