## PART I - GENERAL

1

- CHAPTER I GENERAL INTRODUCTION
- CHAPTER II REVIEW OF LITERATURE
- CHAPTER III MATERIALS AND METHODS

## <u>CHAPTER-I</u>

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

It is now well established that the species of the genus <u>Synchytrium</u> DeBary and Woronin, <u>Chlorochytrium</u> Cohn as well as certain members of the family Endogonaceae are known to grow endophytically in number of the plants, especially within the tissues of Algae, Mosses, Pteridophytes, Gymnosperms and Angiosperms. However, the <u>Synchytrium</u> is endoparasitic fungus which may infect stem, leaves, flowers of various plants; while <u>Chlorochytrium</u> Cohn is most contraversial genus which is the endophytic green alga. Certain members of Endogonaceae formed either ecto or endomycorrhiza in the roots of majority of higher as well as lower plants.

The genus <u>Synchytrium</u> DeBary and Woronin, <u>Chlorochytrium</u> Cohn and family Endogonaceae have certain common features like, formation of thick-walled spores, may be resting spores, Chlamydospores, azygospores and zygospores with or without pyrenoids and chloroplast. These thick-walled spores may be formed in the host tissues, freed in soil or in sporocarps and remain viable for longer time.

However, the resting spores of <u>Synchytrium</u> DeBary and Woronin and <u>Chlorochytrium</u> Cohn apparently resemble with each other at maturity. Because <u>Chlorochytrium</u> spores looses its chloroplast and form homogenous oily mass of reddish-brown to violet-brown colour; with thickness. So difficulty arises in identification of these spores. Due to above fact, those mycologists who had studied the genus <u>Synchytrium</u> had described <u>Chlorochytrium</u> under various species of <u>Synchytrium</u> (Karling, 1964). Karling (1964) had excluded certain species of <u>Synchytrium</u> like <u>S. borreriae</u> Lacy, <u>S. uliginicola</u> Spegazzini, <u>S. montanum</u> Zopf and <u>S. khandalensis</u> Payak and Thirumalachar (1956). Only after confirming that these are nothing but resting spores of endophytic alga; may be <u>Chlorocytrium</u>. This is the only reason to include the <u>Chlorochytrium</u> along with <u>Synchytrium</u> even though it is endophytic green alga in the present study.

2

However, the family Endogonaceae which shows complexity in occurrence, morphology, taxanomy and it includes eight valid genera. Out of these eight genera, four genera namely <u>Acaulospora</u>, <u>Gigaspora</u>, <u>Glomus</u> and <u>Sclerocystis</u> are known to/responsible for be/ the formation of Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizas (VAM) amongst roots of various phanerogamous plants. But genera like <u>Modicella</u>, <u>Glaziella</u> and <u>Enterophospora</u> are not known to form mycorrhizal association (Trappe, 1982). These fungi ultimately produces thick-walled stalked or sessiles chlamydospores, zygospores, azygospores or sporangiospores, with various shades of yellow, brown, orange, black, colours. These spores are either produced in sporocarps or as ectocarpic free spores in soil or in roots as VAM. But free spores which are found in soil were considered by some (Treub, 1885; Jeffrey, 1898) as resting spores of either <u>Synchytrium, Phytium or Peronospora</u> which may or may not form VAM.

However, Beniamino Peyronel (1923) was the first to recognize that the VAM fungi were members of the Endogonales, rather than chytrids, <u>Phytium</u> spp. or other fungi.

In the present investigation, an attempt has been made to study these three groups of diverse organisms taxanomically. More emphasis has been given to the family Endogonaceae.