



## Introduction

## INTRODUCTION :

Family commelinaceae as defined by Cronquist (1981) consists of about 50 genera and 700 species, wide spread in tropical and sub tropical regions. Wide spread genera like *Floscopa*, *Aneilema*, and *Commelina* are found distributed throughout the tropical belt. Genera like *Pollia*, *Cyanotis* and *Forrestia* are found in tropical zone of Asia and Africa, while *Palisota*, *Buforessia*, *Coleiotrype*, *Anthericopsis* and *Polyspatha* are found in tropical Africa. About 16 genera are found restricted to tropical America. *Spatholirion* is distributed in Malayan region and Western part of China, remarkable for its inflorescence perforating the base of sheath, Occur in West Africa Guianas, Similarly *Coleotrype*, with inflorescence perforating leaf sheath, - Occures, - In East Africa and Island of Madagascar.

The family commelinaceae is represented in India by about 14 genera and 85 species. In India (Karthikeyan and Jain (1989)) The morphology of family is very interesting, and shows great diversity in inflorescence and flower characters, however it forms very natural assemblage with well defined genera. The position of these genera and their inter-relationship is still controversial aspect. Earlier monographs like (Clark, (1881); Bruckner, (1930); Woodson (1942), and Rohwder (1956)) have divided the genera into two major groups, based on either the stamen characters of the inflorescence, the Tradescantieae and Commelinieae. Clarke (l.c.) included a third tribe Pollieae, as well as Pichon (1946), and Brehm (1966), however, grouped the genera into ten and fifteen groups respectively though on the basis of completely different characteristics.

Fairly good cytological work has been done on Commelinaceae by Brennan (1966). Jones and Jopling (1972), Rolla Rao and Kammathy (1961 to 1968), with reference to classification of commelinaceae. Similarly cytological work have helped in understanding the species complexes in some genera like, **Commelina**, **Cyanotis**. Wide spread polyploidy among the Indian members has also been recorded (Rao Rolla and Kammathy (1964); Rao et.al. (1970)).

Among the monocotyledon<sup>s</sup> family commelinaceae is found to be very ideal plant material for cytological work. Extensive cytological work has been done on some genera like **Tradescantia**, **Rhoeo**, **Setcreatia**, **Commelina**, **Cyanotis** etc.

Although most of members of family commelinaceae grow as weeds, some of them are of ornamental value. The ornamental<sup>s</sup> includes **Zebraia pendula** Schhizi. The wandering Jew is a common house plant. **Rhoeo spathacea** swartz is often cultivated in green houses, and the species of **Tradescantia** spider wort are used as garden<sup>s</sup> ornamentals.

Genus **Cyanotis** D.Don. consists of about 50 species, distributed in tropics and subtropics of the world. Hooker (1897) described 16 species of the genus from British India. Fischer (1925) recorded 9 species from Madras Presidency; and raised **Cyanotis vivipara** and **Cyanotis kewensis** to a generic rank **Belosyanopsis**, since these species have terminal or sub terminal Inflorescence, not subtended by biseriate bract. Cook (1907) recorded 7 species to be occurring in the Bombay Presidency. Blatter (1928), Separated **Cyanotis Sahyadrica** Blatt. from

*Cyanotis tuberso* Roxh. and named *Cyanotis concanensis* Hassk by Rolla Rao (1966).

Shetty and Sharma (1955), Shetty and Subramanyam (1962) and Rolla Rao and Kammathy (1962), suggested that *Cyanotis axillaris*, L.D. Don. and *Cyanotis cuculkata*, (Roth) which have axillary non scorpioid inflorescence, should be raised to a generic status. Chikkannaiah (1960) observed an occurrence of Cleistogamy in areal flowers of *Cyanotis axillaris* L.D. Don. At present, genus cyanotis is represented by 16 species (by Karthikeyan and Jain (1989)). Some species of *Cyanotis* form a species complex and many times one can find difficulties in delimiting the taxa. Polyploidy has been reported in some species such as *Cyanotis tuberosa* Roxb. ( $n = 12, 24, 36$ ) by Raghavan and Rolla Rao (1961).

The cytological studies have helped in understanding the different species complexes in *commelina*, *Cyanotis* and the other common genera. However, different populations, collected from different habitats indicated differences in Karyotype with in members of same species (Behattachrya (1975)). With this view in mind, in preliminary survey of the present investigation various forms of cyanotis species varying greatly in external morphology, at various parts of South Western Maharashtra have been observed. Therefore present investigation was further undertaken to understand species complexes in genus *Cyanotis*, by studying Karyomorphology of *Amischophaselus cucullata* (Roth) Rolla Rao (*C. Cucullata* (Roth), *Cyanotis concanensis*, Hassk (*C. Sahyadrica* Blatt.) *Cyanotis cristata* (L.) D. Don. *Cyanotis fasciculata*, Heyne and *Cyanotis tubersoa*, Roxb. and their different forms collected from different localities.

In present investigation attempts have also been made to study external morphological attributes, phenology, distribution and their relationship with chromosome number and Karyotype. Meiotic studies were also made to understand species complex.

The thesis is divided in to five chapters. The introductory chapter I deals with introduction to the subject, and significance of the work.

The reviw of literature on family commelinaceae in general and genus **Cyanotis** in particular are summerised in Chapter II.

The material and methos are described in Chapter III.

Chapter IV forms a main bulk of thesis, which includes detailed data on field observations, distribution of species, external morphology, karyomorphological studies, and meiotic analysis of **Cyanotis** and **Amischophaselus** species.

The results are discussed with reference to relevant and pertaining literature, in Chapter V.

Summery and conclusions are given at the end of discussion.

The detailed references are cited in, "Bibliography" at the end of thesis.