



Review of Literature

REVIEW OF LITERATURE :

The family commelinaceae as defined by Cronquist (1981), consists of about 50 genera and 700 species, wide spread through out world, but most abundant in tropical and subtropical regions of Africa and Asia. Wide spread genera are *Floscopa*, *Aneilema* and *Commelina* which found distributed through out the tropical belt. *Pillia*, *Cyanotis*, and *Forrestia* are distributed in tropics of Asia and Africa. *Palisota*, *Buforrestia*, *Coleotrype*, *Antheriocopsis* and *Polyspatha* are found in tropical Africa. 16 genera are restricted to tropical America. *Spathilirion* is distributed in Malayan region, and western part of China. *Souvellea* is restricted to Cuba.

The family is represented in India by 10 genera, and about 80 species. They include *Aneilema* (24 species), *Commelina* (20 species), *Cyanotis* (16 species), *Pollia* (5 species), *Forrestia* (5 species), *Floscopa* (15 species), and *Streptolirion* (1 species). *Streptolirion volubile*, Edgew a climbing member of family is restricted to Himalayan region, including N.E., F.A., Khasia and Jaintia Hills of Assam, growing at an attitude of 5000-9000 feet. The other genera are distributed through out the country.

The systematic position of family commelinaceae is different in different systems of classification. Bentham and Hooker (1883) put it in the series "Coronariae" along with the Families, Roxburghiaceae, Liliaceae, Pontaderiaceae, Phyllydraceae, Xyridaceae, Mayaceae and Rapateaceae, Engler (1889) and Rendle (1904) place the family in order

"Farinosae" under sub order "Commelinae" consisting of single family commelinaceae; order Farinosae of Engler and Prantle also includes Flagellariaceae, Restionaceae, Centrolepidaceae, Mayaceae, Xyridaceae, Friocaulaceae, Thurniaceae, Raptaceae, Bromeliaceae, Pontaderiaceae, Cynastraceae and Phyllidraceae; and is characterised by copious mealy endosperm. Bessy (1915) placed them in his "Liliales", which include Liliaceae and Najadaceae, along with many families of Farinosae of Engler and Graminae. Hutchinson (1934) thinks his order commelinales including commelinaceae, Mayaceae, Flagellariaceae and Cartonemataceae, to be a basic terrestrial stock of his Calyciflorae, from which has evolved his order Zingiberales. The seeds of his members of commelinales are characterised by having an "embryotega, a special development of microphyle".

The family commelinaceae consists of 50 genera and 700 species. Pichon (1946) Bruckner (1930), classified the genera of commelinaceae on the basis of Perianth and androecial characters. They divided the family into two sub families; "Tradescantieae" with actinomorphic, and "Commelineae" with zygomorphic flowers. Tradescantieae is further divided into, "Declinatae" and "Inclinatae" determined by flower buds being bent away or towards the axis respectively. He separated the genus *Murdannia* Royle, from *Aneilema* R.Br. According to him *Aneilema* in the restricted sense belongs to sub family commelineae, tribe Declinatae, whereas *Murdannia* to Triandrae of Tradescantieae.

Woods (1942) distinguished two tribes in the family viz. Tradescantieae and commelineae the former has paired sessile scorpioid

7
7

cymes which appear as two sided unit superficially, where as in the latter the ultimate branches of inflorescence are composed of individual scorpioid cymes which appear one sided. Superficially he rejected the idea of separating *Murdannia* from *Aneilema* and kept the latter in his tribe commelinae.

Pichon (1946) redefined the family separating from the Australian *Cartonema* as Cartonemataceae. Cartonema is more primitive than the majority of commelinaceae (Nussen (1983), Hutchinson (1959) accepted the family as defined by Pichon (1946). He formulated a new classification of the family using mainly inflorescence characters, he split the genus *Tradescantia* in to 4 genera.

Among the different classification proposed so far, Hutchinson's classification is most useful since it enables the generic identification of herbarium specimens which often lack well preserved flowers.

Hooker (1897) describes 7 genera and 76 species of commelinaceae from British India. Cooke (1907) describes 12 species of commelina, 13 species of *Aneilema*, 7 species of *Cyanotis* and 1 species of *Floscopa*, namely *Floscopa scandens* to occurring in Bombay State. Gamble (1928) records 6 genera and 49 species from Madras presidency, he has raised *Cyanotis kewensis* cl. and *Cyanotis vivipara* Fischer to a genera rank *Belosynapsis*. These species have terminal or subterminal inflorescence, subtended by biseriate bract.

Among the monocotyledons, family commelinaceae is found to be very ideal for cytological studies, because of their large nuclei,

chromosomes and ease with which the material takes the usual cytological stains. It forms classical material for cytological studies and class room work. The family is well studied cytologically by, Raghavan (1961, 1965, 1968); Rolla Rao (1961, 1961b, 1964, 1965, 1968, 1970); Kammathy (1961, 1961b, 1964, 1965, 1968); Shetty and subramanyam (1952), Sharma (1955); Sharm and Sharma (1958); Panigrahi (1963, 1964); Malik (1961); Ganguly (1964); Murthy (1934); Lewis and Taddesse (1951, 1954), Morton (1955, 1956), Anderson and Sax (1936); Darlington (1929), Islam nad Bataen (1952), Simmonds (1954); Harvey (1900), A critical review on the importance of the commelinaceae, from phyogenetic point of view has been discussed by Rolla Rao, Raghavan and Kammathy (1970).

For under standing evolution, cytology and embryology of family Commelinaceae has been stuided extensively by Raghavan (1958, 1961, 1965), Rolla Rao and Kammathy (1961, 1961b, 1964, 1965, 1968, 1970); Shetty and Subramanyam (1962); Solmslaubach (1878); Strasburger (1979); Guignard (1882); Park (1935); Sougeges (1958a, 1958b), Maheswari and Belder (1958), Chikkannaiah (1950, 1961, 1963, 1964, 1970), Kulkarni and Patil (1969).

A good amount of embryological work has been done on the members of commelinaceae. The family has received attention of early embryologists like stras-burger and Guignard. earliest contribution is of solmslaubach (1978), who studied the emhryo development in *Tinantia* and *Heterochia*, and noted that embryos are nonsuspensoric, and the shoot apex originates from the terminal Hers of proembryo and is latter pushed

9

to the lateral side by strong growth of single cotyledon. Following Strasburger (1979), described the polygonum type of embryo sac development in *Tradescantia virginica*. Guignard (1882) however reported Scilla type of embryo sac, in certain species of *Tradescantia* and *Commelina stricta*. The details of development of tapetal periplasmodium in microsporogenesis have been noted by early workers like, Tischler (1915), Magcre (1925) and Stenar (1925). Schnarf (1931) has reviewed the literature on the embryology of this family upto (1931). After publication of Schnarf's book "Verocichende Embryology der Angiospermen," number of articles have appeared dealing with the embryology of several members of family Commelinaceae. Main contributors being, Maheswari and Singh (1934), Murthy (1934, 1938), Park (1935), Maccollum (1939) Tschermak Woess (1947), Sougages (1958a, 1958b); Maheshwari and Beder (1958) and Chikkannaiah (1960, 1961, 1963, 1964, 1970).

There have been little work on palynology of the family. Pollen wall structure has been reported for 11 taxa, *Callisia*, *Rhoeo setcreasea*, *Spironema Zebrina* (Rowley, 1959), *Coleotrype*, *Commelina*, *Tinantia* (Poole and Hunt 1980), *Tradescantia* (Horvet 1966), Mepham and Lane (1969, 1970), Rowley (1959, 1975), *Commelinata* Rowl (1959), Rowley and Dahl (1962) and *Tripogandra* Mattson (1970). The pollen wall structure of *Dichorisandra thyrciflora* Mikan, is described by Zavada (1983), Pollen is predominantly monofulcate; however triaperturate pollen is known in the genera *Commelinata* and *Tinantia*. Exine sculpturing varies considerably. The pollen wall structure of *Callisa*, *Coleotryp*,

Commelina, *Rhoeo*, *Setcreasea*, *Spironema*, *Zebrina* is similar, tectate, columellate with irregular to branching columellate. The tectum is periodically transversed by small perforations, the foot layer is thick to very thin and may be interrupted in some taxa by endoperforations, no endoxine is evident in any of these taxa. Zavada 1983 studied comparatively morphology of pollen and evolutionary trends and wall structures of commelinaceae.

Family commelinaceae is characterised by glandular microhairs, and often also with unicellular or uniseriate Microhairs, mucilage containing bundle of Raphids, and with Idioblasts containing silica bodies; in the epidermis of the stem and leaf of some species of *Tradescantia* and other genera.

Anatomical studies on various members of commelinaceae have been done by many workers Cambell (1881), Bary (1884) Caro (1903), Clark (1904), Holm (1906), Trachain (1932), Rohweder (1963) Tomilson (1964). The value of Anatomical data in the classification of Commelinaceae has been reviewed by Tomilson (1966) and according to Tomilson anatomical information may be very helpful in general diagnosis of family commelinaceae but subject needs much more detailed investigation.

A Genus *Cyanotis* D.Don. of about 55 species widely represented in Asia and Africa of which hardly 20 species have been cytologically worked out. Amongst 20 species worked out, 16 species have a basic number $x = 12$. The chromosome number reported in different species are represented in Table 1. In India out of the 16 species 14 species have been worked out Cytologically. However most of the reports in India are based on meiotic studies, Rolla Rao, Raghavan and Kammathy (1970).

Hooker (1897) described 16 species of Cyanotis from British India. Cooke (1907) describes 7 species of Cyanotis occurring in Bombay State.

Morphological evidence on three sections of the genus Cyanotis Don. shows unnatural assemblage, (Kammathy Rolla Rao (1964)). The chromosome number of 2 newly recorded taxa together with the new populations of other species of Cyanotis; Eucyanotis Section of Clarke, normally shows $n = 11$. The chromosome number of the two newly recorded taxa together. With the new populations of the other species of Cyanotis, further supports the uniformity in all species of Cyanotis. This is further supported by Lewis (1964), Africa with $n = 12$. He however records Cyanotis vaga (Lour.) Schult F. (Cyanotis barbata) of Africa showing $n = 11$ (Lewis 1964 a + b) but several populations of these species from Shillong and Darjelling showed distinctly (Kammathy and Rolla Rao (1964)). Cyanotis fasciculata variety glabrescens has also been observed in the field as a good variety though not a distinct species and is common mostly along 1000-1500 metres altitude of western ghats. The gigantic hexaploid reported under Cyanotis tubrosa Roxb. from Mahabaleshwar by Kammathy and Rolla (1961), has been found to be distinctly associated with only higher altitudes of 1000-1500 m. having high rain fall. It is easily distinguishable in the field by its habit and other characters from Cyanotis tuberosa (Roxb) Schult F. and its variety adsensens. a. (diploid and tetraploid), and has so far not been collected outside the Sahyadri ranges. Blatter (1928) named this as a distinct species, Cyanotis sahyadrica Blatt. The chromosome number in

in other populations of *Cyanotis sahyadrica* Bött. have reported by Kammathy and Rolla (1961) and now it is known as a *Cyanotis concanensis*, Hassk (Karthickeyan, & Jain 1989) Shetty and subramanyam (1962) reported $n = 8$ for *C. Papilionacea* each number in *Cyanotis* is rather usual on cytological basis kammathy and Rolla Rao (1964) are of the opinion than *Cyanotis burmanniana* and *Cyanotis papilionacea* are two distinct species. Sharma (1955), Shetty and Subramanyam (1962); and Rolla Roa and Kammathy (1962), Suggested that, *Cyanotis axillaris* Schult F. and *Cyanotis cucullata*, Kunth which have axillary non scorpioid inflorescence should be raised to a generic status. Following this opinion Kammathy and Rolla (1964) have included these two species under new genus *Amischophacelus*. The genus is represented by only two species which are confined to tropical regions as far as Malasia and Africa, and recently reported occuring in, West India as well. However the cytological data available showed that the three genera *Amischophacelus*, *Belosynopsis* and *Cyanotis* are quite distinct with basic sets of $x = 10$, $x = 12$, $x = 13$, respectively (Bhattacharya (1975)) occuring in the highest frequency. Infact the suggestion made by Sharma (1955) of the separation of the species *Cyanotis axillaris* into a different genus on the basis of its chromosome number and advanced nature of Karyotype was fully.. Justified by Conversion of this species in to *Amischophacelus axillaris* as done by Rao Rolla and Kammathy (1.c.) on morphological grounds.

In India species of *Cyanotis* are mostly distributed; in tropical India, Mostly in peninsular India and hills of South West

India. The species like *Cyanotis tubersoa* Roxb. *Cyanotis concanensis* Hassk (C.Sahyadrica) having tuberous roots and *Cyanotis fasciculata* Heyne, and many others are mostly distributed in hills of arid regions. In scrub Jungles, in crevices of rocks and forest floor while some species like *Amischophacelus cucullata* (Roth.) *Amischophacelus axillaris* (Linn) Rollo Raw, *Cyanotis cristata* (L.) D. Don., *Cyanotis fasciculata*, Heyne, are grown as weeds, on black cotton soils of cultivated field, various species show of significant morphological variations in different populations of same species growing at different localities.

TABLE - (1)

SHOWING SPECIES OF AMISCHOPHACELUS (L.) AND CYANOTIS D.DON. FOUND IN INDIA THEIR DISTRIBUTION AND CHROMOSOME NUMBER RECORDED.

Sr.No.	Name of Species	Distribution	Chromosome Number Recorded	Author
I)				
	Amischophacelus, Rolla Rao,	Through Out India	n=10	Murthy (1934), Raghavan and Rao Rolla (1961 b).
(1)	Amischophacelus axillaris (L.) Rolla Rao (C. axillaris)	Peninsular India	2n=20	Islam and Baten 1952 ; Sharma (1955), Shetty and Subramanyam (1962).
(2)	Amischophacelus cucullata (Roth), (C. cucullata Roth)	Peninsular India	n=10	Raghavan and Rao Rolla (1961), Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1961 b).
II)				
	Cyanotis, D.Don. Prodr.			
(1)	Cyanotis arachinoidea C.B.El.	Peninsular India	n=12, 2n=24, n=11	Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1961 b), Shetty and Subramanyam 1962.
(2)	Cyanotis arcuensis, Rolla Rao (C. papilionacea C.B.Cl.)	South India	n=8 n=11,13	Raghavan and Rao Rolla (1965).
(3)	Cyanotis burmanniana, wight (C.papilionacea, Var.burmanniana wight C.B.Cl.)	South India	n=12	Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1964).
(4)	Cyanotis concanensis, Hassk, (Cyanotis sahyadrica, Blath.)	Peninsular India	n=36	Raghavan, Rao Rolla 1961 under C.tuberata and 1964 under C.sahyadrica
(5)	Cyanotis cerifolia Rolla Rao,kammathy	South India	n=12	Kammathy and Rolla Rao (1964).
(6)	Cyanotis cristata, (L.) D.Don.	Through Out Troughical India	n=12, 2n=24,+OIB	Islam and Bataen (1952), Sharm (1955) Shetty and Subramanyam (1962).
(7)	Cyanotis fasciculata, Heyne ex.Roth.	Peninsular and N.E.India, Bhutan, Cylon	n=12	Raghavan and Rao Rolla S. (1961) ; Shetty and Subramanyam (1962).
(8)	Cyanotis karliana, Hassk.	Peninsular India	---	---
(9)	Cyanotis nilagirica, Hassk.	Tamil Nadu (hillisimis)	---	---
(10)	Cyanotis obtusa Trim, Hand b. (C.arachinoidea Sensu Hook.)	Peninsular India	n=12	Kammathy and Rolla Rao (1961 b) under C. arachinoidea

Sr.No.	Name of Species	Distribution	Chromosome Number Recorded	Author
(11)	<i>Cyanotis papilionacea</i> (L.)	Peninsular India	n=8	Shetty and Subramanyam 1962.
(12)	<i>Cyanotis pilosa</i> , Schult f. (<i>Cyanotis wightii</i> C.B.Cl.)	Peninsular India (w.Peninsula)	n=12	Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1961 b)
(13)	<i>Cyanotis thwaitesii</i> , Hassk. (<i>Cyanotis arachnoidea</i> C.B.Cl.)	Peninsular India	n=11	Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1961 b).
(14)	<i>Cyanotis tuberosa</i> (Roxb.) J.A. and J.H. Schult.	Peninsular India	n=12	Raghavan and Rao Rolla (1961), Kammathy and (1961 b), Shetty and Subramanyam (1962).
	<i>Tradescantia tuberosa</i> , Roxb., var. <i>tuberosa</i> , var. <i>adsendens</i> (Daz.) C.B.Cl.			
	<i>Cyanotis adsendens</i> Dalz. <i>Cyanotis sarmentosus</i> , Whight)	Peninsular India and North and East India	n=24	Raghavan and Rao Rolla (1961).
(15)	<i>Cyanotis vaga</i> (Lour) J.A. and J.H.Schult (<i>Cyanotis barbata</i> D.Don., prodr.	Subtropical Himalaya	n=12	Sharma A.K. and Sharma A.(1958) ; Kammathy and Rao Rolla (1964).
(16)	<i>Cyanotis villosa</i> (Spr.) J.A. and J.H. Schult. (<i>Tradescantia villosa</i> Spr.)	Peninsular India	n=11	Lewis (1964).