
APPENDIX

- i) Bibliography
- ii) Questionnaire
- iii) List of College Libraries

Appendix – i

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Abdul, Majeed K.C. and Barakutty, M. (2007). Quality Assessment of College and University Library Services. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 45(11), 6-12.
- 2) Ahmad, Shakeel. (2007). Accreditation of Universities and Colleges in India, *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 45(01), 10-15.
- 3) Ahuja, Ram. (2001). Research Methods, New Delhi, Rawat Publications.
- 4) Basa, D.K. (2003). Quality Assessment and Accreditation in Higher Education, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41 (16), 7-8.
- 5) Bhat, I.K. (2001). Accreditation and its role in Indian context, <u>Journal of</u> Engineering Education, 12(4), 8-15.
- 6) Busha, C.H. and Harter, S.P. (1980). Research Methods in Librarianship: Techniques and Interpretation, New York: Academic press.
- 7) Chougle, Praveen. (2002). Accreditation by NAAC and Destiny of the Colleges in Rural Areas. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 40(25), 7-11.
- 8) Deshpande, H.V. (2002). NAAC: National Assessment and Accreditation Council, Bangalore, Patan: Sukhada- Saurabh Prakashan.
- 9) Deshpande, H.V. (2002). Quality and Excellence in Higher Education: A Vision: *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 40(14), 1-4.
- 10) Eaton, Judith S. (2006). An Overview of U.S. Accreditation .Council for Higher Education Accreditation.
- 11) Frazer, Stuart. (1994). Specialized Accreditation and Academic Libraries. *College and Research Libraries*. 55(2), 141-147.
- 12) Gnanam, A. (1998). Status of National Assessment and Accreditation Council. *Journal of Higher Education*, 21 (2), 271-272.
- 13) Gnanam, A. and Steela, Antony. (2006). Revisiting the Quality Assurance Model of the NAAC: Understanding it in the Right Perspective. *University*News, New Delhi: AIU, 44 (06), 1-11.
- 14) Gupta, O.P. (1998). Library Services in University and College Libraries in India. New Delhi: Reliance Publishers.



- 15) Jamode, V.S. (2003). A Proposed Action Programme for Post NAAC Activities. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41 (33), 12-14.
- 16) Kaliammal A. and Sarasvady S. (2007). Significance of Information and Communication Technologies in the New NAAC Standards for University Libraries, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 45(12),11-15.
- 17) Kent, Allen and Lancer Harold (1968). Encyclopedia of Library and Information Science. New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1:61.
- 18) Khanna, Inderjit (1996). Documentation: Institutions of Higher Education in U.K. and Canada. A Study Report. *Journal of Higher Education*, 19 (1), 85-98.
- 19) Khanzode, V.V.(1995). Research Methodology: Techniques and Trends, New Delhi: APH Publishing Corporation.
- 20) Kothari, C.R. (1990). Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques. New Delhi: Wiley Eastern.
- 21) Krishnamoorthy, Velagalety.(1993). Accreditation and its Impact on Higher Education. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, XXXI (17), 13-16.
- 22) Kumar, P.S.G. (2001). The Indian Encyclopedia of Library and Information Science, New Delhi: S Chand and Company Ltd.
- 23) Madegowda J. (2007). NAAC's New Methodology for Assessment and Accreditation of Higher Educational Institutions. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 45(35), 1-11.
- 24) Mahajan, S.G. (2004). University and College Librarianship in India in the 21st Century Pune: S.G Mahajan Felicitation Committee; Dept. of Library and Information Science, University of Pune, Pune.
- 25) NAAC (2007). NAAC NEWS. Bangalore: NAAC, vii (2).
- 26) NAAC (2007). Process for Accreditation. From http://naacindia.org. process.asp accessed on 1.05.2007.
- 27) NAAC (2008). *NAAC NEWS*. Bangalore: NAAC, viii (1).
- 28) NAAC. (2006. *NAAC NEWS*. Bangalore: NAAC, VI (3 & 4).
- 29) National Assessment and Accreditation Council (2005). Guidelines on Quality Indicators in Library and Information Services: Affiliated/Constituent Colleges, Bangalore: NAAC, 1-8.

- 30) Parikh, Varsha and Kshtriya, Dimple. (2003). Contemporary issues of higher Education. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41(31), 1-5.
- Pasha, P.M. Mubarak. (2007). Accreditation in Higher Education: A Restatement, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 45 (35), 6-8.
- 32) Pathan, S.N. (2005). Quality Improvement Programme in Higher Education Through NAAC: A Success Story of Maharashtra, Bhopal: Intellectual Book Bureau.
- 33) Patil, P.B. (2003). College Education, Teachers, students and NAAC. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41 (20), 3-6.
- 34) Powar, K. B. (2001). Grading of Institutions by NAAC: A metaevaluation. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 39 (21), 4-6.
- Prabhukhanolkar, Rakhi. (2003). Rashtriya Mulyamapan Anni Adhiswikruti Parishad: NAAC (In Marathi), Dnyangangotri, Nashik: YCMOU, 3, 21-40.
- 36) Pradhan, Ashok. (2006). Quality Assurance: Post Accreditation Scenario.
 <u>University News</u>, New Delhi: AIU, 44 (29), 90-97.
- 37) Rajendran, M. (2006). Academic Assessment Ignoring Administrative Audit is farce Accreditation, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 44 (02), 5-13.
- 38) Sahai, Shri Nath. (1990). Academic Library System. New Delhi: Allied Publishers.
- 39) Shafi, Zeenat S. (2002) Quality Assurance in Open and Distance Learning Institutions. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 40(4), 96-99.
- 40) Sharma, Sheetal. (2008). Faculty Recruitments at Institutions of Higher Education: A Perspective. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 46 (07), 19-23.
- 41) Sharma. R.N. (1996) Indian Academic Libraries and Dr. S.R. Ranganathan: A critical study, New Delhi: Sterling Publishers.
- 42) Simpson, J.A. and Weiner E.S.C. (1989). Oxford English Dictionary. Oxford: Clarendon Press. V. 5, 89-90.
- 43) Soundararaj, Francis. (2006). Quality Sustenance and Enhancement: Models and Strategies. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 44(52), 1-10.
- 44) Srinivas, G. (2004). SWOT analysis of Assessment and Accrecitation Process, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 42(42), 1-3.

- 45) Srivastava, A.P. (1987). Teaching and Learning in XXI century. New Dehi : The Learning Laboratory.
- 46) Steela, Antony and Gnanam A. (2004). Looking Ahead: A Decade of Accreditation in Retrospect (Developments in Quality Assurace of Higher Education in India, Bangalore: Aicra Publishers.
- 47) Steela, Antony. (2001). Impact of Assessment and Accreditation on Institutions of Higher Education. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, XXXI (17), 13-15.
- 48) Steela, Antony. (2002). Appropriate Uses of Assessment Outcome. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 40(10), 1-7.
- 49) Steela, Antony. (2002). Quality assessment in Indian Higher Education: Issues of Impact and Future Perspectives, New Delhi: Allied Publishers Ltd.
- 50) Steela, Antony. (2003). Alternative Models of External Quality Assurance: Departure from Tradition, *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41 (36), 1-9.
- 51) Steela, Antony. (2003). The Futuristic Model of Accreditation of NAAC. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 41 (38), 1-15.
- 52) Steela, Antony. (2004). Community Engagement of Indian Universities: What does the Best Practices Approach of the NAAC Indicate? *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 42 (46), 211-236.
- 53) Steela, Antony. (2004). The Re-Accreditation Framework of NAAC: Building on the Lessons from the First Assessment. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 42(37), 1-3.
- 54) Stella, Antony (2001). Impact of Assessment and Accreditation on Institutions of Higher Education. *University News*, New Delhi: AIU, 39 (15), 1-4.
- 55) Stella, Antony and Gnanam A. (2001). Assessment and Accreditation in Higher Education: Issues of Policy and Prospectus. New Delhi: Book Plus.
- Verma, Yogindar. (2004). Accreditation and Thereafter: A Model for Quality Enhancement in Higher Education Institutions. *University News*. New Delhi: AIU, 42(31), 6-12.
- 57) www.naacindia.org