CHAPTER V

BUDGET AND PUBLIC OPINION

INTRODUCTION

Administrative machinery cannot function without finance. Hence it is observed that finance is the fuel for of administration.*1 In this chapter much importance is given to health and sanitation sector Sankeshwar Municipality in its overall programmes is analysed. This helps us to understand whether STMC is sincere in providing Health and Sanitation facilities in Municipal area#. addition to this, public opinion regarding the administration of STMC in Health and Sanitation Sector is analysed. Hence for the sake of convenience this Chapter is divided into two parts. Part I deals with budget and Part deals with public opinion.

PART I

According to Section 287 (1) of the Karmataka Municipalities Act, 1964, the Chief Officer has to prepare and submit to the council budget proposals containing detailed estimates of income and expenditure for the ensuing year on or before 15th January of every year. Such proposals have been submitted to Deputy Commissioner for the period under study. For the purpose of this study a period of five years is covered (1990-91 to 1994-95).

Morestein Marx Elements of Public Administration, PRENTICE-HALL of India Pvt. Limited New Delhi, 1968. P.525.

Table (5.1) shows the detailed estimates of revenue and expenditure from 1990-91 to 1994-95.

| S 5 | Revenue Items | 1990-91 | 199192 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 |
|-----|---|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | ه کا گار دارد دارد دارد دارد دارد دارد دارد | | | |
| | A. MUNICIPAL RATES AND TAXES | | | | | |
| _ | Octroi grant provided by the Government | 11,73,000 | 13,20,000 | 15,00,000 | 16,00,000 | 18,00,000 |
| 8 | Taxes of building & lands | 3,22,600 | 3,16,000 | 6,88,000 | 5,00,000 | 7,00,000 |
| က | Taxes on Vehicles & Animals | 1 | | 1 | ! | 1 |
| 4 | Water rates | 1,36,000 | 1,51,635 | 2,00,000 | 2,25,000 | 2,50,000 |
| ည | Taxes on shops and other places of business | 21,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 | 20,000 |
| 9 | Fee in respect of jatras and uras | was suffi | 1 | I | 1,000 | 1,500 |
| _ | Advertisement Tax | 1 | 1 | 2,000 | 2,000 | I |
| œ | Development Tax (charge) | | 16,097 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 65,000 |
| 6 | Audit Recovery | 1 | 13,061 | 10,000 | 30,000 | 50,000 |

| B. RELATION UNDER SPECIAL ACTS B. RELATION UNDER SPECIAL ACTS Entertainment Tax 2.51,000 6,71,453 5,00,000 5,00,000 6, Receipts under Motor Vehicle Act 2,50,000 11,500 2,50,000 1,000 2,50,000 1,000 2,50,000 1,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 | Contd | | REVENUE | | | |
|--|---|------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| B. RELATION UNDER SPECIAL ACTS Entertainment Tax 2,51,000 6,71,453 5,00,000 5,00,000 6,70,000 2,50,000 1,000 2,000 1,000 2,000 | | 1990-91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993–94 | 1994–95 |
| 2,51,000 6,71,453 5,00,000 5,00,000 6. The immovable 9,000 2,50,000 2,50,000 25,000 25,000 35,000 2,167 3,000 25,000 11,500 2,167 3,000 11,00 | _ | | | | | |
| Receipts under Motor Vehicle Act 2,50,000 2,000 2,00 | 1. Entertainment Tax | 2,51,000 | 6,71,453 | 5,00,000 | 5,00,000 | 6,00,000 |
| Duty on transfer of immovable property 9,000 24,618 10,000 25,000 Other Items 34,562 10,000 35,000 a. Roads cutting charges 9,775 3,000 25,000 b. Inspection fee 9,560 2,000 11,500 c. Connection fee 9,560 2,000 11,500 d. Name entry fee. AMONICIPAL PROPERTY AND ADART FROM TAXATION 4,010 2,000 1,000 REVENUE DERIVED FROM TAXATION 4,010 2,000 1,000 25,000 Rent of land and buildings 16,000 15,390 15,000 2,000,000 Supply of water with the help of tankers 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,00,000 2,000 | | t 2,50,000 | 2,50,000 | 2,50,000 | 2,50,000 | 2,50,000 |
| a. Roads cutting charges b. Inspection fee c. Connection fee d. Name entry fee. REVENUE DERIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND APART FROM TAXATION (CONSTRUCTION FEE) Rent of land and buildings Supply of water with the help of tankers Repare 1 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 | Duty on transfer of property | 6,000 | 24,618 | 1€,000 | 25,000 | 000'09 |
| a. Roads cutting charges b. Inspection fee c. Connection fee d. Name entry fee. d. Name entry fee. G. Connection fee d. Name entry fee. G. Connection fee D. Too Supply of water with the help of tankers B. Roads cutting charges 34,562 3,000 25,000 11,500 11,500 11,000 15,000 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,0 | | | | | | |
| REVENUE DERIVED FROM MUNICIPAL PROPERTY AND APART FROM TAXATION (CONSTRUCTION FEE) 4,010 2,000 1,000 (CONSTRUCTION FEE) 16,000 15,390 15,000 25,000 Rent of land and buildings 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,00,000 Supply of water with the help of tankers 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,00,000 | a. Roads cutting charges b. Inspection fee c. Connection fee d. Name entry fee. | | 34,562 9,775 9,560 2,167 | 10,000 3,000 2,000 500 | 35,000 25,000 11,500 | 40,000 25,000 12,000 |
| 11dings 16,000 15,390 15,000 25,000 15,000 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,00,000 2,000 Extract fee. | REVENUE DERIVED FROMUNICIPAL PROPERTY APART FROM TAXATION (CONSTRUCTION FEE) | | 4,010 | 2,000 | 1,000 | |
| 1,44,122 1,50,000 2,00,000 2,000 2,000 2,000 Extract fee. | 1. Rent of land and buildings | 16,000 | 15,390 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 |
| | 2. Supply of water with the help of tankers | | 1,44,122 | 1,50,000 | 2,00,000 2,000 Extract fea | 2,00,000 |

| Contd | | REVENUE | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sl. No. Revenue Items | 1990–91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 |
| | | 000 | 9 | 600 | 9 |
| _ | 000 | 1,333 675 | 000 | 1.000 | 2,000 |
| c. Other Items | | 1,650 | 1,000 | 5,000 | 3,000 |
| 4. Fee and Revenue from markets | | 1,750 | | 15,000 | |
| and slaughter a. Income from markets b. Income from slaughter houses | | Sale of manure 5,350 | 30,000 | 35,000 5,000 | 40,000 5,000 |
| 5. Warrant fee | | 2,744 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 1,500 |
| 6. Notice fee | | 585 | 1,000 | 1,000 | |
| 7. Licence fee interest | 4,000 | 4,800 | 10,000 | 50,000 | 5,000 |
| 8. Fire wood depots | | 1,280 | 12,000 | | 40,000 |
| 9. Fees and fines | • | 61 | 100 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 10.Interest on loans | | 15,137 | 1,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 |
| ll.Other Items a. Pendal fee b. Births & Deaths (Registration) 1,000 | , 000 | 340 890 | 500 500 | 1,000 | 1,000 |

| Con | Contd | | REVENUE | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| S1. | Revenue Items | 1990-91 | 1991–92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 |
| | CRANTS AND CONTRIBERITIONS | | | | | |
| | FROM GOVERNMENT | | | | | |
| | a. For Town Improvement | 000 000 6 | 3 00 000 | 2,00,000 | 4,00,000 | 6,00,000 |
| | c. Sale of old equipments d. Sale of library paper | | 50,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 |
| | e. L.C.H.S. Loan interest | | 6, 212 6 | | | |
| - | 1. Lapsed Deposits | | | | 10,000 | 25,000 |
| 2. | 2. Education cess | 6,000 | 3,440 | 25,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| რ | 3. Health cess | | 4,349 | | 10,000 | 5,000 |
| 4 | 4. Library cess | | 1,261 | 25,000 | 10,000 | |
| 5. | 5. Old paper disposal | | 3,203 | | | |
| · | 6. Other Items | 6,000 | | | 30,000 | 6,000 |
| | TOTAL REVENUE INCLUDING OPENING BALANCE | 28,42,894 | 42,60,549 | 39,30,440 | 45,60,000 | 53,73,000 |
| ĺ | والمطلب التنافظ فمد مقاوي داروان البارخة المديمة وكرمك الباوال الأوقية فلتدفئ فيداما أيناء المدامة ومدامة ولاقتاد | ## | | | | |

EXPENDITURE

| Sl. No. Expenditure | 1990-91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993–94 | 1994-95 |
|--|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| I.GENERAL ADMINISTRATION | | | | | |
| 1. Monthly Allowance to President and Vice-President | 11,025 | 16,515 | 17,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| 2. Permanent establishment | 2,01,700 | 3.06,477 | 2,70,000 | 3,50,000 | 4,20,000 |
| 3. Contingencies | | 2,274 | 5,000 | 2,000 | 35,000 |
| 4. Furniture | | | 70,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| 5. Stationary and Forms supplied by Government Press | | 10,257 | 35,000 2,000 | 45,000 | 25,000 |
| 6. Office Rent | 1,000 | 2,000 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 30,000 |
| 7. Telephone wire charges | | 17,035 | 2,500 | 5,000 | 1,000 |
| 8. Postal charges | | 25,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 5,500 |
| 9. Telegram charges | | | | | |
| 10.Purchase of Books | | 17,386 | | | |
| 11.Printing charges | | 16,321 | 2,000 | | 25,000 |
| 12.Advertisement charges | | 13,695 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 30,000 |
| 13.Clothing charges | | 13,829 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 35,000 |
| | | | | | |

| Con | Contd | EXPENDITURE | TURE | | | |
|---------------|---|-----------------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| S1. | Expenditure | 1990–91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993–94 | 1994–95 |
| 14 | Audit charges | 60,900 | 22,339 | 20,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 |
| 15 | Tiffin | | 1,358 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 3,000 |
| 16 | Repair of typing machines | | 1,422 | | | |
| 17 | T.A. | 19,000 | 20,000 | 40,000 | 2,000 | 2,500 |
| | | 2,76,525 | 4,70,791 | 5,50,500 | 30,000 | |
| | II. BUILDING AND LAND TAX | | | | 5,97,000 | 6,84,000 |
| | a. Establishment salary b. Contingencies c. Printing charges d. Other items | 82,000 1,500 | 89,715 | 85,000 2,000 1,000 1,000 | 1,02,000 2,000 2,000 1,000 | 1,00,000 5,000 2,000 1,000 |
| r | III Pensions and Gratuties including pensionery contribution on account of employees lent to the Municipality | | 11,676 | 50,000 | 1,00,000 | 1,00,000 |

| Contd | EXPENDITURE | ITURE | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| S1. No. Expenditure | 1990-91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993–94 | 1994-95 |
| B. PUBLIC SAFETY | | | | | |
| 1. Fire temporary staff | | | 5,000 | 5,000 | 2,000 |
| 2. Purchase of equipments | | | | 1,000 | 200 |
| 3. Fire incidents | | | | | 10,000 |
| II. Lighting | | | | | |
| 1. Electric lights and fixtures | 84,000 | 1,41,334 | 1,50,000 | 1,50,000 | 2,00,000 |
| 2. Cost of energy utilised | 1,000 | 1,78,896 | 1,50,000 | 1,75,000 | 2,00,000 |
| 3. Electric new poll charges | 1,25,000 | | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| TOTAL | 2,10,000 | 3,20,000 | 3,50,000 | 3,75,000 | 4,50,000 |
| III | | | | | |
| Charges for destruction of stray and rabid dogs | | | 2,000 | 2,500 | 5,000 |
| 2. Removal of prickly pear and rank vegetation. | | | 2,000 | 2,000 | 5,000 |

نقع

| Contd | EXPENDITURE | LTURE | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| S1. No. Expenditure | 1990–91 | 1991-92 | 1992–93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 |
| C I PUBLIC AND HEALTH CONVENIENCE | | | | | |
| | 1,27,000 5,10,000 | 1,53,997 5,66,750 4,82,220 | 1,80,000 4,00,000 1,00,000 | 2,00,000 4,00,000 1,00,000 | 2,20,000 4,50,000 1,00,000 |
| d. Water electricity bille. Water pipelinef. Repairs of meters includingpurchase of meter boxes. | | 3,34,007 | 50,000 | 1,00,000 | 10,000 |
| TOTAL | 6,37,000 | 15,36,974 | 7,30,000 | 8,00,100 | 11,80,000 |
| II DRAINAGE | , | | | 1,000 | |
| III SUPERVISION | | | | | |
| 1.Health Office and Officer | | 36,452 | 10,000 | 40,000 | 000'09 |
| 2. Health Inspector (Driver salary) | | 18,975 | | 25,000 5,000 | 30,000 |
| 3. Other Items | | : | | | |
| TOTAL | 6,37,000 | 55,427 | 10,000 | 70,000 | 95,000 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 6,37,000 | 15,92,402 | 7,40,000 | 8,70,100 | 12,75,000 |
| اللاسانية فيها في من سود المساولة والمراولة وا | | | | | |

| Contd | | EXPENDITURE | URE | | |
|---|----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| S1. No. Expenditure | 1990-91 | 1991–92 | 1992-93 | 1993-94 | 1994-95 |
| IV GENERAL CONSERVANCY | | | | | |
| 1. Establishment (Permanent) | 6,60,000 | 9,46,428 | 8,50,000 | 000'00'6 | 9,50,000 |
| 2. Establishment (Temporary) | | 3,852 | | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 3. Contingencies | | 405 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 4. Purchase of sanitary materials | 32,000 | 10,336 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 |
| 5. Purchae & upkeep of motors | | | | 1,00,000 | 2,50,000 |
| 6. Tractor repair | | 26,943 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 35,000 |
| 7. Petrol and lubricants | | 22,766 | 50,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| 8. Purchase of BHC & DDT Powder | 4,000 | 10,806 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| TOTAL | 000'96'9 | 10,21,533 | 9,77,000 | 10,92,000 | 13,22,000 |
| V. HOSPITALS AND DISPENSARIES | | | | | |
| 1. Family Planning Programme | | | 5,000 | 2,000 | 5,000 |
| 2. Registration of Births, Deaths contingencies | | | 1,000 | 2,500 | 1,500 |
| 3. Vaccination | | | | | 200 |
| 4 Charges on account of epedemics | | | 2,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 |
| 5. Burlal grounds. | | | 5,000 | 5,000 | 6,000 |
| | | | | | |

| Contd | | EXPENDITURE | URE | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| S1. No. Expenditure | 1990–91 | 1991–92 | 1992–93 | 1993-94 | 1994–95 |
| 6. Market and slaughter houses | | | | | 200 |
| 7. Cattle pounds (food for animals) | | | | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 8. Repair on builds and to fences | 29,500 | | 75,000 | 52,000 | |
| 9. Purchase of feeds | 2,500 | | 10,000 | | |
| 10.Public Halls | | | 5,000 | . • | 200 |
| 11.Public gardens | | 21,656 | 30,000 | 41,000 | 1,04,000 |
| 12.Fair and festivals | | 732 | 2,000 | | 2,500 |
| 13.Public works carried out by TMC like repair of gutters, lavotaries and staff. | 1,98,000 | 6,76,077 | 6,35,000 | 7,55,000 | 10,80,000 |
| 14.Public instruction (Primary Education, Library Establishment purchase of Books & periodicals) | | 34,963 | 51,000 | 82,000 | 92,000 |
| 15.Contribution & Grant-in-aid | | | | 1,000 | 3,700 |
| 16.Election and law charges | | 36,363 | 30,000 | 11,000 | 12,000 |
| 17.Acquisition charges | | 96,882 | 10,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 18.Other items (NRY Donation & Bank Commission) | | | | 100 | |
| 19.Interest on loans & other items | | 454 | 5,200 | | |
| 20.18% Budget (Reserved for scheduled caste) | 55,000 | 45,588 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL REVENUE DISBURSEMENTS | 25,06,758 | 42,60,544 | 39,30,440 | 45,60,000 | 52,72,000 |

Source : Annual Budget Reports Sankeshwar TMC from 1990-91 to 1994-95.

The revenue generated by the Sankeshar TMC for the last five years along with the amount spent on Health and Sanitation has been presented in the Table 5.2.

TABLE 5.2 STATEMENT SHOWING TOTAL REVENUE OF STMC AND ACTUAL AMOUNT SPENT FOR HEALTH AND SANITATION

| Year | Total Revenue (in Lakhs) | Amount spent for Health & Sanitation (in Lakhs) | Percentage | Average |
|---------|-----------------------------|---|------------|---------|
| 1990-91 | 28,42,894 | 15,31,800 | 53,85 | |
| 1991-92 | 46,60,549 | 32,90,012 | 77.22 | |
| 1992-93 | 39,30,440 | 23,52,000 | 59.84 | |
| 1993-94 | 45,60,000 | 27,17,100 | 59.59 | |
| 1994-95 | 53,73,000 | 36,77,000 | 68.43 | |
| TOTAL | 2,13,66,883 | 1,35,67,912 | 318.93 64 | & (App) |

Source: Annual Budget Reports of Sankeshwar TMC from 1990 to 1995.

It could be seen from the Table that the revenue of the TMC has increased over the years due to the increased grants received from the State Government. The TMC has let out the constructed complex on lease basis which has been fetching additional revenue along with increased house tax and water tax in subsequent years. Another factor responsible for the increased revenue was a remarkable tax collection drive (96% as against the demand) by the Sankeshwar TMC. This indicates that STMC is trying its best to mobilise financial resources to meet the growing needs of the people living in the TMC area.

The amount spent on health and sanitation also increased from Rs. 15,31,800/- to the year 1990-91 to Rs. 32,90,012 for the year 1992. This clearly indicated the higher allocation of funds for this sector out of the total revenue. The percentage of amount spent to the total revenue has also increased from 53.85% in the year 1990-91 to 77.22% in the year 1991-92.

Surprisingly in absolute terms the amount on health and sanitation declined from 77.22 to 59.84 per cent in 1992-93. This may be attributed to the fact that greater proportion of total revenue was spent on water head-works during 1991-92.

In subsequent years, Health and Sanitation once again received due consideration in the budget and the allocation increased correspondingly. But in relative terms the share was marginally lower than the previous year (See Table No. 5.2).

In the year 1994-95 additional importance was given for the Health and Sanitation and as a result the allocation to this sector touched the peak level i.e. Rs.36,77,000 which was highest in the last five years. The percentage of allocation was also increased nearly by 8 per cent. This was partly due to the increased revenue on one hand and partly due to the awareness about Health and Sanitation, which has induced the officials and non-officials to give prime importance for this

sector. Besides, out of Rs.36,77,000 a sum of Rs, 18,61,788 accounts for establishment expenses. Out of which about 84% i.e. Rs. 15,64,464 is spent on the staff engaged on health and sanitation and the balance of 16% i.e. Rs, 2,97,324 is on office staff like clerks, typists and watchman. The health staff and the number of paur-karmikas (40) engaged by the STMC is more or less sufficient to meet the needs. As the population of the STM area grows proportionate increase in paur-karmikas can be made.

PART II

OPINION OF RESPONDENTS

Under the scheme of welfare state, the governmental should function as a servant to the people. Obviously, it is essential for the administrative machinery pulse of the people regarding its functioning. To obtain as clear a picture as possible regarding the functioning of STMC in health and sanitation sector the opinion of people living in the Municipal area ascertained with a view analyse whether the people are satisfied with the functioning of the PHC and in what areas people feel that improvements can be effected. For this purpose opinions were collected from 100 respondents comprising of 55 male and 45 female residents spread over five wards. For this purpose age, education and caste particulars and the opinion of respondents relating to health and sanitary aspects are ascertained. same is presented in the following tables.

Table 5.3 STATEMENT SHOWING AV. AGE, EDUCATION AND CASTE PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS (TOTAL NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 100)

| S1. No. | Partio | culars | I | II | ш | WARDS IV | ٧ | TOTAL |
|------------|-----------|----------------|--|----------|----------|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| 1. | Av. Age | Male Female | 49 47 | 44 42 | 45 46 | 43 37 | 42 37 | 55 Male 45 Female |
| 2. | Education | | | | | **** | | |
| | Primary | Male Female | 2 5 | 2 5 | 3 | 2 2 | 2 4 | |
| | Secondary | Male Female | 5 2 | 6 3 | 9 5 | 5 6 | 5 4 | |
| | Degree | Male Female | 4 2 | 3 1 | 2 1 | 4 1 | 4 1 | |
| l'otal | | | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 100 |
| 3. | Caste | | | | | | | |
| | Lingayat | Male Female | 6 3 | 5 6 | 6 7 | 3 4 | 4 7 | |
| | Maratha | Male Female | 2 3 | 6 2 | 1 | 1 | _ 2 | |
| | Muslim | Male Female | and the same of th | | 1_ | 6 4 | • | |
| | Brahmin | Male Female | 1 3 | | | | | |
| | Jain | Male Female | 1 | <u> </u> | 2 | 1 | | |
| | s.c. | Male | 1 | **** | 1 | | 7 | |
| | TOTAL | | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | |

It is clear from the above Table (5.3) that on an average the age of the respondents in all the wards was found to be more than 40 years as against less than 40 years in Ward Number IV and V. This clearly indicates that all the respondents selected were in the middle age group and capable of assessing the prevailing situation and able to express their opinions somewhat critically and as far as possible accurately.

So far as the education levels is concerned it is observed that almost all beth (male and female) were found to be literates except male respondents in Ward Number III. From this analysis it is evident that majority of the respondents were educated.

Regarding caste composition, it is observed that major proportion of the respondents (63%) were belonging to scheduled castes in Ward Number V. Further, it was seen that hardly (9%) of the respondents were belonging to schedule castes in the first four wards. The major communities are Lingayats, Marathas, Brahmins in first three wards and the Muslim community is dominant in Ward Number IV. From the Table it is clear that respondents belonging to different caste groups were residing in all the wards.

STATEMENT SHOWING OPINION OF THE RESPONDENTS ABOUT MEDICAL FACILITIES IN GOVERNMENT HOSPITAL (TOTAL RESPONDENTS 100) TABLE 5.4

| S1. No. Parti | Particulars | W Male | WARD I Female | Wal Male | Ward II le Female | Ward | III Female | Ward Male | IV Female | Ward Male | Ward V Male Female | OVER ALL Male Femal | ALL Female |
|--|--|---|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1. Health Condition Good Fair | lon Good Fair | 11 | 1 8 | 11 | H 8 | 1 10 | 4 to | ကဆ | 2 4 | 10 | 8 11 | 20 8 | 33 |
| 2. Season of 111 | ness Rainy Summer | = 1 | 6 | =1 | 22 | 6-8 | 43 | တက | 22 | =1 | ကမ | 48 | 25 |
| 3. Illness a. Dyscentary b. Cold c. Cough d. Malaria e. Flue f. Others | | 11112 | 10000 | 11111111 | 166 21 | 122 2 | امميوا | 122191 | 100 1 2 | 122121 | معامما | 55 65 4 35 | 7 28 . |
| Govt. Hospital a. Clean beds b. Furniture c. Free medicine 5. Handing over | 1 YES NO YES NO Ine YES NO NO YES | 920000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 933831118857 | 10 10 10 6 | | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | C 0 8 - 15 4 0 C | 4747m 8 74 | | 470 0 1060 | 4040 000 | 36 19 20 12 43 25 30 | 27 18 29 16 6 39 39 |

It can be observed from the Table 5.4 that opinion about the health condition differed from men to women. In all the wards, majority of the male respondents relatively expressed good opinion about the health condition of the citizens. In respect of the opinion relating to the season of illness it is found that a higher proportion of the respondents were of the opinion that illness was found to be more pronounced in rainy season compared to other seasons.

In respect of type of illness, cold and cough (100%) followed by flue (45 to 81%) found to be the most common type of illness in almost all the Wards. As per the opinion of respondents climatic factors, uncleanness and unclean water were the major factors responsible for causing the above mentioned diseases. Hence in order to minimize the contagious diseases efforts should be made to provide clean drinking water and maintain hygienic conditions in the town.

Majority of the respondents of first three wards (77 to 90%) are of the opinion that the bed facilities in Government Hospital are quite satisfactory. In contrast, the respondents of IV and V Ward (55 to 63%) expressed their dissatisfaction about the cleanliness of the beds. The difference of opinion may be due to the location of hospital in a particular area and the frequency of visits by the people of

Number IV and easily accessible to the people of Ward Number V, the residents of these two wards frequently visit the hospital and might have observed keenly the cleanliness of beds whereas the residents of other wards who are staying away from this hospital might have visited this hospital rarely. As a result they might have expressed a favourable opinion about cleanliness of beds. Similar phenomena was observed in respect of the condition of furnitures and supply of free medicines.

On the issue of handing over the administration of the hospital from Government to Town Municipal Council male respondents of II, IV and V Wards (54 to 81%) expressed a favourable opinion. Their intention was to have local peoples' (Councillors) involvement in the administration of the hospital so that the grievances of the people could be better understood and effectively solved.

In contrast to this opinion male respondents of I and III ward as well as female respondents of all the wards were against this proposal. The reasons given by these respondents revolve around the paucity of funds. The hospital was handed over to Government in the year 1980-81, because the funds were not even sufficient to run the hospital (for meeting the salary expenses and purchase of medicines). The respondents stated that even today the financial position of the STMC is not sound enough to run the hospital efficiently. Hence it would be better to maintain the present status.

TABLE - 5.5 STATEMENT SHOWING OPINION OF RESPONDENTS ABOUT WATER AND DRAINAGE (TOTAL RESPONDENTS 100)

| S1. | | MA | WARD I | WARD | ED II | WA | WARD III | WARD | ΔI | WARD V | > (| 2 | TOTAL. |
|---|-------|------|--------------|------------|------------|------|-------------|------|------------|--------|------------|------|-----------------|
| NO. Particulars | | Male | Male Female | Male | Female | Male | Male Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Male Female |
| 1.Unclean town | : YES | = 1 | 6 l | =1 | o | = | o 1 | =1 | o 1 | ΞÎ | 6 1 | 1 22 | 1 45 |
| 2.Clean drinking water | : YES | 7 | 6 | =1 | o | = | e ! | 11 | ٥ | =1 | ا ه | 32 | 4 4 |
| 3.Insufficient Tap water : YES | r YES | =1 | 6 1 | = 1 | <u>6</u> 1 | = | 6 | =1 | 6 | =1 | 6 l | 65 | 45 |
| 4. No satisfactory drainage | y YES | 11 | 6 l | =1 | 6 l | =1 | 6 | =1 | o | =1 | 6 l | 181 | 45 |
| 5. No satisfactory urinal facility | : YES | =1 | o I. | = 1 | 6 l | = | o 1 | = 1 | o i | =1 | 6 l | 52 | 84 |
| 6. Whether public latrines are required | r YES | = | 6 , 1 | =1 | σl | =1 | o | =1 | <u>о</u> I | =1 | o 1 | 50 | 8 .1 |

Table 5.5 presents the opinion of respondents about water and drainage. Almost all the respondents in all the five wards expressed that the town in unclean. However, they stated that they obtain clean drinking water. This is mainly due to the digging of bore-wells recently in all wards of TMC area. It is disheartening at the same time to note that the residents do not get sufficient tap water. The gravity of the problem is still severe during summer season.

All the respondents expressed their dissatisfaction regarding drainage and urinary facilities which is very important for the good health and minimising environmental hazards, that which been neglected since long. All the respondents are of the opinion that they require public latrine facilities.

TABLE 5.6 STATEMENT SHOWING OPINION OF THE RESPONDENTS ABOUT SANITATION (NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS 100)

| SI | | CAR | WARD T | EDAF | 11 02 | E. W. | ED TIT | WARD | 2 | WARI | ^ C | 5 | R ALL |
|---|-----------|------------|-------------|------|-------------|---------|-------------|------|--------|----------|-------------|------|-------------|
| NO. Particulars | | Male | Male Female | Male | Male Female | Male | Male Female | Male | Female | Male | Male Female | Male | Male Female |
| 1. Use dust-bins | YRS NO | =1 | σl | =1 | σl | =1 | σĺ | =1 | 11 9 | =1 | 6 l | 18 I | 45 |
| 2. Inadequate dust-bins | r YES | = | 6 l | = | 6 l | = 1 | σĺ | =1 | σĺ | = | . 1 | 18 | 45 |
| 3. Average extent of increase of dust-bins (Number) | | 10 | თ | 7 | ω | 13 | 14 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 21 | 99 | 89 |
| 4. Inadequate Transport facility for disposal of garbage | YES NO | = 1 | σl | =1 | " Г | = 1 | 6 | =1 | o | =1 | 6 l | 85 I | 45 |
| 5. Spray of chemicals | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a. Weekly b. Once in 15 days c. Once in month d. Once in three month | | 44m | w 4 | 194 | 1184 | I ~ ~ - | 118- | 1100 | 1100 | 1164 | موسا | 33 | 1 9 8 6 |

It is seen from the Table (5.6) that all the respondents are quite aware of the use of dust bins in all the wards. They are of the opinion that the number of dust bins should be increased ranging from 7 to 21 in each ward depending upon the requirement. At the same time they expressed the fear that sufficient space may not be available for placing these dust bins due to encroachment of roads by the citizens. They further expressed that the increased number of dust bins may pose threat to nearby residents in case of irregular lifting of the collected garbage in these dust bins by the municipal authorities.

It is a common practice found every where that the spraying of chemicals to control disease-carrying agents. The Sankeshwar TMC is not an exception to it. It is very interesting to note that different opinions are expressed by the respondents of various wards in respect of periodicity in the spray of chemicals as a preventive measure. None of the respondents had expressed the spraying is done on weekly basis.

Male respondents in Ward Number I, II and III opined that spraying of chemicals is taken up once in 15 days by the TMC. Major proportion of the respondents in all the wards both male and female are of the opinion that spraying of chemical is done once in a month (33 to 88%) and remaining respondents

stated that it is done once in three months (9 to 55%). This indicates that preventive measures are not taken up seriously the concerned officials.

From this analysis it is clear that the spraying of chemicals is taken up by the municipal authorities with long intervals which is not conducive from the point of view of minimization of organisms causing contagious disease. Now let us analyse the responses of councillors regarding health and sanitory sector.

Table 5.7 STATEMENT SHOWING AV. AGE, EDUCATION AND PARTY AFFILIATION OF THE COUNCILLORS (NUMBER OF COUNCILLORS 15)

| | ndent Pemale | 1 | |
|-------------------|---|----|--|
| | Independent Male Pemale | - | |
| | dal Female | + | |
| | Janata Male | 1 | |
| NOI | KCP Janatadal Male. Female Male Female | 1 | |
| PARTY AFFILIATION | KCP Male. | 1 | |
| PARTY | ess Female | 1 | |
| | Congr Male | 10 | |
| | , Degree Congress le Male Female Male Female | 1 | |
| | | 7 | |
| | ndary Female | | |
| | Secondary Male Fema | S | |
| EDUCATION | Primary Male Female | 2 | |
| R | Pri Male | വ | |
| AV AGE (YEARS) | Male Female | 43 | |
| AV AGE | Male | 20 | |

| 15) |
|-------------|
| COUNCILLORS |
| Ö |
| (NUMBER |
| SANITATION |
| AND |
| HEALTH |
| REGARDING |
| SRS |
| COUNCILL |
| F TE |
| OPINION OF |
| SHOWING O |
| STATEMENT |
| 5.8 |
| Table |

| • | | |
|--|----------------|----|
| for ng ic | £ | 1 |
| Need for having public latrines | YES | 15 |
| ling count ted | tation | 1 |
| Spending all amount allotted for Health | & Sanit YES | 15 |
| Sufficient fin- ancial allotment a ry for Health and Sanitation f | Q | |
| icient l allo Health | YES NO | · |
| Suff ancia for Sai | YE | 15 |
| Satisfactory S work of Chief an Officer & Sanitary f Inspector | SN SN | l |
| isfact k of C r & Sa specto | YES NO | 15 |
| Sat worl Office | | |
| 1 | | |
| Satisfactory work of Health Committee | S. | ļ |
| isfact lealth | YES | 15 |
| 1 | | |
| cient | YES NO | 1 |
| Insufficient medicine | YES | 15 |
| t I | | |
| icilita Hosp | YES NO | 1 |
| Insufficient bed facility in Govt. Hospital | YES | 15 |
| ir mn. in | | |
| Handing over Hospital Admn. to TMC | £ | 15 |
| Handli Hospi to TW | YES NO | 0 |
| | | |

Councillors of Sankeshwar TMC are 15 in total comprising of 12 male and 3 female Councillors. Age, education and party affiliation of Councillors are presented in the Table NO. 5.7.

The Table (5.7) reveal that on an average the age of male Councillors is 50 years as compared to female councillors whose average age is 43 years. Since both male and female Councillors are of middle age group indicates their potential capacity to take appropriate decisions. Out of 12 male councillors 5 each had primary and secondary education. Remaining two are graduates. Out of 3 female councillors 2 have primary education and one a graduate.

So far as the party affiliation of Councillors are concerned they are affailiated to different parties, wherein Congress (I) Party bagged higher number of seats (10 male, 1 female) other 4 Councillors belong to parties. Such as Karnataka Congress party (2), Janatadal (1) and one independent.

OPINION OF THE COUNCILLORS REGARDING HEALTH AND SANITATION

The opinions expressed by the Councillors in respect of TMC's functioning are found in the Table No. 5.8.

It was evident from the Table that all the Councillors expressed their satisfaction in respect of work of Health Committee. So also the Chief Officer and Sanitary Inspector. This clearly indicates the morale of the councillors and their dedication to municipal work. Inspite of various hurdles they have been able to get the appreciation from the Councillors as well as from the public.

On the contrary all the Councillors express their dissatisfaction in the area of bed facilities in Government Hospital and the availability of medicine. All the Councillors expressed the need of public latrines as nearly 40% of population of the town have no private sanitation system.

All the Councillors are against the proposal of handing over hospital administration to TMC due to unsound financial position of the TMC. 6 Councillors are of opinion that local supervision over hospital is necessary for proper functioning of the same.

All the 15 Councillors are of the opinion that the financial allotment made for health and sanitation has been morethan sufficient in the budget.

The revenue of Sankeshwar Municipality has incrased over the years due to the release of more grants from the State Government and increase in house-tax and water tax. Further, tax drive helped in improving the financial situation of the STMC. The percentage of amount spent for the Health and Sanitation increased from year to year during period under study. (1990-95). The average amount spent for Health and Sanitation in all these five years is 64%.

has failed to implement health and sanitary measures to the extent of their satisfaction. All the Councillors are opposed to handover hospital administration to TMC. They admit that bed facility and medicines are inadequate to meet the requirements of town. They expressed happiness about the working of officials. They also expressed satisfaction regarding financial allotment made for health and sanitation in the budget.