## CHAPTER - V

## SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE RESPONDENTS

This chapter discusses the socio-economic characteristic of the community radio farmers as well as community radio non-listeners.

This study as mentioned earlier is concerned with impact of community radio on farmers. For the purpose of this stucy two comparative groups were taken one of community radio listener farmer from Kathevadi village which is 10 kms from Baramati. Community radio non listener farmer of similar socio-economic background were taken as a control group from Malegaon village which is 7 kms from Baramati so that the impact of community radio on the listeners could be assessed objectively.

The respondents characteristics are shown in the following tables.

TABLE NO. 5.1
Distribution of respondents according to age.

| Characteristics | No. of community <br> radio farmers $(\%)$ | No. of non community <br> radio farmers $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0-25$ years | $03(7.5)$ | $00(00)$ |
| $26-35$ years | $06(15.0)$ | $10(25.0)$ |
| $36-45$ years | $09(22.5)$ | $13(32.5)$ |
| More than 45 years | $22(55.0)$ | $17(42.5)$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |

## Significant Features :

It becomes clear from Table 5.1 that the majority of community radio farmers $(55 \%)$ were more than 45 years age group.
where as ( $22.5 \%$ ) of them were from $36-45$ years old age. ( $15 \%$ ) and (7.5\%) community radio farmers were from $26-35$ years old and $0-25$ years age groups respectively.

The table also indicates that majority of non community radio farmers ( $42.5 \%$ ) were from more than 45 years old age, followed by $32.5 \%$ and $25 \%$ of them were from $36-45$ years and $26-35$ years age group.

TABLE NO. 5.2.1
Distribution of community radio respondents according to religion and caste.

| Caste $\longrightarrow$ | General | O.B.C. | S.C. | S.T. | N.T. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion <br> $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | $24(60 \%)$ | $7(17.5 \%)$ | $5(12.5 \%)$ | - | $3(7.5 \%)$ |
| Muslim | - | $1(2.5 \%)$ | - | - | - |
| Jain | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buddhist | - | - | - | - | - |

## Significant Features:

Table No. 5.2.1 indicates the distribution of community radio respondents according to their caste and religion that it is seen from the Table No 5.2.1 that $60 \%$ community radio farmers were from Hindu religion and General Caste, followed by $17.5 \%$ community radio farmers were from Hindu religion and Other Backward Caste, $12.5 \%$ community radio farmers were from Hindu religion and Scheduled Caste, and 7.5\% community radio farmers were from Hindu religion and Nomadic Tribe caste.

## TABLE NO.5.2.2

Distribution of non community radio respondents according to religion and caste.

| Caste $\longrightarrow$ | General | O.B.C. | S.C. | S.T. | N.T. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Religion <br> $\downarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | $26(65 \%)$ | $4(10 \%)$ | $1(2.5 \%)$ | - | $8(20 \%)$ |
| Muslim | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jain | - | - | - | - | - |
| Buddhist | - | - | $1(2.5 \%)$ | - | - |

## Significant Features:

Table No.5.2.2 indicates the distribution of respendents according to their caste and religion. It is seen form Table No.5.2.2 that $65 \%$ non community radio farmers were from Hindu religion and General caste, $20 \%$ were from Hindu religion and Nomadic Tribe caste, followed by $10 \%$ from Hindu religion and Other Backward Caste.

TABLE NO. 5.3
Distribution of respondents according to family size.

| Characteristics | No. of community <br> radio farmers (\%) | No. of non community <br> radio farmers (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Small (1-6) | $28(70.0)$ | $25(62.5)$ |
| Medium (7-11) | $10(25.0)$ | $12(30.0)$ |
| Large (12 and above) | $02(5.0)$ | $03(7.5)$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |

## Important Features:

It can be seen form Table No. 5.3 that $70 \%$ of community radio farmers had small and $25 \%$ had medium family size. Only $5 \%$ community radio farmers had large family size.

Table also shows that $62.5 \%$ non community radio farmers had small and $30 \%$ had medium family size. Only $7.5 \%$ non community radio farmers had large family size.

TABLE NO.5.4
Distribution of respondents according to the level of education

| Level of Education | No. of community <br> radio farmers (\%) | No. of non community <br> radio farmers (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Illiterate | $02(5.0)$ | $01(2.5)$ |
| Primary | $05(12.5)$ | $04(10.0)$ |
| High School | $19(47.5)$ | $07(17.5)$ |
| Higher Secondary | $07(17.5)$ | $01(2.5)$ |
| Graduation | $06(15.0)$ | $22(55.0)$ |
| Post Graduation | $01(2.5)$ | $05(12.5)$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |

## Significant Features:

The data presented in Table No. 5.4 reveals that majority of community radio farmers ( $47.5 \%$ ) had high school level of education, followed by $17.5 \%$ higher secondary level of education, $15 \%$ had graduation level of education, $12.5 \%$ had primary level of education. Only $5 \%$ community radio farmers were illiterate and $2.5 \%$ had postgraduation level of education.

Table also shows that majority of non community radio farmers had graduation level of education, $17.5 \%$ had high school, $12.5 \%$ had
post graduation, $10 \%$ had primary and only $2.5 \%$ had higher secondary level of education.

TABLE NO. 5.5
Distribution of respondents according to land holding

| Size of land holding | No. of community <br> radio farmers (\%) | No. of non community <br> radio farmers (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below 5 acres | $16(40.0)$ | $18(45.0)$ |
| $5-10$ acres | $14(35.0)$ | $9(22.5)$ |
| Above 10 acres | $10(25.0)$ | $13(32.5)$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |

## Important Features:

The data in Table No 5.5 indicates that majority (40\%) of community radio farmers possessed below 5 acres of holding, followed by $35 \%$ and $25 \%$ of them were in 5-10 acres and above 10 acres land holding, respectively.

The data also indicates that majority (45\%) of non community radio farmers were in below 5 acres size of land holding, followed by $32.5 \%$ and $22.5 \%$ of them possessed above 10 acres and 5-10 acres size of land holding.

As regards to type of land holdings, $100 \%$ respondents from community radio farmers and non community radio farmers have irrigated land.
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TABLE NO. 5.6
Distribution of respondents according to average annual income (in Rs)

| Average annual <br> income | No. of community <br> radio farmers (\%) | No. of non community <br> radio farmers (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below 25,000 | $16(40.0)$ | $18(45.0)$ |
| $25,000-50,000$ | $14(35.0)$ | $9(22.5)$ |
| Above 50,000 | $10(25.0)$ | $13(32.5)$ |
| Total | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |

## Important Features:

It is evident from Table No 5.6 that majority ( $40 \%$ ) of respondents from community radio farmers belonged to below Rs 25,000 average annual income, $35 \%$ had income ranging from Rs 25,001 to Rs 50,000 and $25 \%$ community radio farmers had income above Rs 50,000

About non community radio farmers, majority (45\%) of respondents had average annual income below Rs 25,000 ; followed by $32.5 \%$ non community radio farmers had income ranging from Rs 25,001 to Rs. 50,000.

TABLE NO. 5.7
Distribution of respondents on bases of their use of different sources of farm information.*

| Information sources | No. of community <br> radio farmers (\%) | No. of non community <br> radio farmers (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Family / Friends | $40(100)$ | $40(100)$ |
| Radio | $37(95.5)$ | $25(62.5)$ |
| Community radio | $40(100)$ | - |
| Television | $19(47.5)$ | $17(42.5)$ |
| Newspapers | $28(70.0)$ | $31(77.5)$ |

[^0]
## Significant Features:

The various sources of farm information for the respondents are reflected in Table No. 5.7. The major source of information in community radio farmers and non community radio farmers is family / friends. Community radio is also a major source for community radio farmers. About $95.5 \%$ community radio farmers obtained information through the radio, followed by $70 \%$ and $47.5 \%$ community radio farmers use Newspapers and Television as a source of farm information.

Data also shows that, $77.5 \%$ non community radio farmers are using newspapers, $62.5 \%$ and $42.5 \%$ non community radio farmers also use Radio and Television to meet their farm information needs.

VASUNDHARA VAHINI COMMUNITY RADIO AND RESPONDENTS:

TABLE NO. 5.8
Frequency of Listening Community Radio Programmes .

| Frequency | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Daily | 31 | 77.5 |
| 1 -2 days in a week | 3 | 7.5 |
| $3-5$ days in a week | 6 | 15.0 |
| Very rarely | - | - |
| Total | 40 | 100 |

## Important Features:

It is seen form Table No. 5.8 that although there are respondents (7.5\%) who listen community radio programmes for 1-2 days in a week, a majority number 37 ( $92.5 \%$ ) of them listen the Vasundhara Vahini community radio programmes for 3 to 7 days.

TABLE NO .5.9
Distribution of respondents on bases of their habit of listening
Vasundhara Vahini community radio programmes.*

| Listening habit | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In home | 40 | 100 |
| In public spaces | 36 | 90 |
| In group | 28 | 70 |
| Lonely | - | - |

*Some farmers gave multiple responses.

## Important Features:

As expected, majority of the farmers $40(100 \%)$ listen community radio programmes at home, 36(90\%) farmers listen community radio programmes at public spaces and 28(70\%) farmers in group respectively.

As regards to any technical problems in reception of the programme, $40(100 \%)$ farmers said that there were never any technical problems in reception of the programmes.

TABLE NO.5.10

## Response of respondents about appropriateness of the scheduling of

 the broadcast.| Response | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 8 | 20.0 |
| Strongly agree | 32 | 80.0 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |

## Important Features:

The above table indicates that there are $32(80 \%)$ farmers who strongly agreed about appropriateness of scheduling of the broadcast and 8 (20\%) farmers agreed about that.

As regards to language of the programmes, $40(100 \%)$ farmers strongly agreed about appropriateness of language of programmes.

TABLE 5.11
Response of respondents about appropriateness of the format of the programmes.

| Response | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 12 | 30.0 |
| Strongly agree | 28 | 70.0 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |

## Important Features:

Out of 40 community radio farmers $28(70 \%)$ farmers strongly agreed about appropriateness of the format of the programmes, $12(30 \%)$ farmers just agreed.

TABLE NO. 5.12
Frequency of listening agricultural programmes on Vasundhara Vahini community radio.

| Frequency | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Always | 35 | 87.5 |
| Sometimes | 5 | 12.5 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |

## Significant Features:

Table No 5.12 is concerned with frequency of listening agricultural programmes. $87.5 \%$ farmers always listen and $12.5 \%$ out of them listen to agricultural programmes sometimes listen on Vasundhara Vahini community radio.

TABLE NO.5.13

## Response of respondents about getting transformation of grassroots

 issues and indigenous ideas into community radio programmes.| Frequency | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Always | 30 | 75.0 |
| Sometimes | 10 | 25.0 |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |

## Significant Features:

It is seen from Table No. 5.13 that the response to the above question was $30(75 \%)$ farmers said 'always' and $10(25 \%)$ farmers said 'sometimes', grassroots issues and indigenous ideas get transformed into Vasundhara Vahini community radio programmes.

TABLE NO. 5.14
Opinion of respondents according to avenues to give feedback.

| Opinion | No. of farmers | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Always | 27 | 67.5 |
| Sometimes | 06 | 15.0 |
| Rarely | 07 | 17.5 |
| Never | - | - |
| Total | 40 | 100.0 |

## Important Features :

Table No. 5.14 reveals opinion of respondents regarding to avenues to give feedback. According to opinion of majority of respondents $27(67.5 \%)$ there is always, $06(15 \%)$ sometimes and $07(17.5 \%)$ rarely avenues to give feedback.

## TABLE NO. 5.15

Opinion of respondents according to uses of such a project (Vasundhara Vahini community radio project) and its programmes.*

| Uses | No. of farmers | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Information | 40 | 100 |
| Education | 40 | 100 |
| Entertainment | 33 | 82.5 |

*Some farmers gave multiple responses.

## Important Features:

Table No. 5.15 shows that opinions of respondents about uses of such a project like Vasundhara Vahini community radio project. According to majority of respondents $40(100 \%)$ such a project is useful to get information as well as education, out of them $33(82.5 \%)$ respondents think that such a project is also useful for entertainment.


[^0]:    * Some farmers gave multiple responses

