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# CHAPTER - IV <br> EXTENT OF ABSENTEEISM \& PROCEDURE ADOPTED TO DEAL WITH ABSENTEE WORKERS IN THE FACTORY <br> INTRODUCTION 

4.1

This chapter deals with the extent of absenteeism and procedure adopted to deal with absentee workers in Daulat Shetkari Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd; Halkarni. Taluka Chandgad, District Kolhapur. For this purpose the data were collected from the office of the tactory.

### 4.2 EXTENT OF ABSENTEEISM

At the time of the present study the total strength of permanent workers employed in Daulat Shetkari Sahakari Sakhar Karknana Ltd; Halkarni was 754. When enquired about the workers who frequently remain absent on their jobs, the concerned authorities of the tactory told that as per the ofticial attendance record, there are 84 such employees who trequently remain absent. Of these 44 workers were 'permanent' workers and 40 workers were 'seasonal' workers. The information thus suggested that, out of 754 employees the problem of absenteeism was in case of 84 employees. In other words, in the Daulat Shetkari Sahakari Saknar Karkhana Lcd: Halkarni the problem of absenteeism was in case of 11.14 per cent workers.
4.3 FACTORY WORKEKS: AUTHORISED LEAVES

Betore dealing with the absentee record of the
'absentee' workers it will pe worthwhile co see the details regarding the authorised leaves which workers can consume during the working year in Daulat Shetkari Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd: Halkarni (District- Kolhapur).

The details regarding the authorised leave in case of workers in Daulat Shetkari Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd; Halkarni are presented in the Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1
AUTHORISED LEAVES

| Sr. <br> No. | Type of Leave | Permanent <br> Workers | Seasonal <br> Workers |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Earned Leave | 30 days | 09 days |
| 2 | Sick Leave | 12 days | 07 days |
| 3 | Casual Leave | 12 days | 07 days |
| 4 | Declared Holidays | 15 days | 07 days |
| 5 | Incidental Holidays | 03 days | 02 days |
| 6 | Total Sundays | 52 days | 26 days |

It can be seen from the Table 4.1 that, in a year, total authorised leaves tor permanent workers are 124 days and tor seasonal workers 58 days. It means in a year total working days tor permanent workers are 241 and tor seasonal workers 107.

The 84 absentee workers referred above consume all the authorised leaves and frequently tend to enjoy some more days as unauthorised leave.
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4.4 ABSEN'LEE WORKERS : THE DATA REGARDING
    UNAUMHORISED LEAVES -
    The data regarding the number of unauthorised
Leaves taken by the 84 absentee workers was obtained trom
the tactory office which is presented in the Table 4.2
below.
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## Table 4.2

ABSENTEE WORKERS : UNAUTHORISED LEAVES
DURING 1990-91. 1991-92 AND 1992-93

| Sr. | No. Of <br> Unauthorised <br> Leaves in a year | $\underline{Y}$ E A R |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1990-91 \\ & \text { No.of } \\ & \text { Workers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1991-92 \\ & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { Workers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1992-93 \\ & \text { No.of } \\ & \text { Workers } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 1-10 days | 45 | 42 | 43 |
| 2 | 11-20 days | 18 | 18 | 15 |
| 3 | 21-30 days | 09 | 10 | 10 |
| 4 | $31-40$ days | 07 | 08 | 09 |
| 5 | 41-50 days | 05 | 06 | 07 |
|  | Total | 84 | 84 | 84 |

The table 4.2 shows that out of 84 absentee workers the proportion of workers taking unauthorised leaves tor 1 to 10 days in a year is high and those taking unauthorised leaves for 41 to 50 days is very low.

## 4.5 <br> HOW DOES THE FACTORY MANAGEMENT DEAL WITH THE ABSENTEE WORKERS ?

After having enjoyed the unauthorised leave the worker meets the head of his department in the tactory. The head of concerned department enquires about the reasons tor remaining absent on the job without prior permission. The worker by giving various reasons tries to convince the head of his department as to how it was impossible to attend the duties. However, the head of the concerned department not being the final authority to take any concrete decision refers the case to the Labour Otticer. The Labour Ofticer again enquires about the reasons for remaining absent on the job. It it is the first instance of remaining absent in case of the worker concerned, the Labour Offlcer usually advices the worker not to commit the same mistake next time. It was told that, the Labour Otticer being considerate, usually excuses the worker even if ne (worker) remains absent witnout prior permission tor 2 to $s$ times. However, tne Labour Otficer orally warns the worker each time not to remain absent without prior permission.

Some absentee workers without reporting to the nead of the concernea department, directly meet the Labour Ofricer and request him to excuse 'this time'.

Some absentee workers contact the trade union leaders and ask them to try and convince the Labour officer about
their absentee behaviour, and try to turn the decision in favour of them. This researcher has personally seen such cases. The worker comes alongwith the trade union leaders and the trade union leaders request the Labour ofticer not to take any legal step against the concerned worker. The trade union leaders request to do this on hunanitarian grounds. The meeting usually ends with the Labour Ofticer's oral warning to the concerned worker. The trade union leaders also warn the concerned worker not to remain absent in front of the Labour officer.

It is very clear from the above discription that, the tactory management excuses the absentee worker in case of the tirst few instances on humanitarian grounds and gives then an opportunity to improve their work behaviour. However, what about the workers who continue to remain absent without prior permission in spite of the considerate attitude of the management ? In such cases, the 'disciplinary actions' are taken against the workers as per the rules and regulations in this connections.

### 4.6 VARIOUS DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS OR LEGAL STEPS TO DEAL WITH 'ABSENTEE' WORKERS

The Iactory management to deal with the 'absentee' workers the factory management undertakes certain steps intended to entorce or restore discipline. The information collectea on this aspect is as under -

```
If any worker remains absent without prior permi-
ssion tor half day, tull day, 2 days, 3 days; a
written warning is issued.
If any worker remains absent without prior permi-
ssion tor 10 or more than 10 days consecutively
tirst show-cause notice is issued. If the
explanation to the notice issued is unsatistactory,
charge-sheet under suspension during the pendency
1s issuea.
```

If any worker remains absent without prior permi-
ssion for 4 days or more, on more than 4 occasions,
it is treated as habitual absenteeism for which
tirst show-cause notice is issued. If explanation
is unsatistactory, ne is issued charge-sheet under
suspension during the pendency of departmental
enquiry.
Punishment -
If habitual absenteeism is proved at the first time
management awards punishment of maximum 4 days
suspension without pay.
Dismissal -
If the worker remains absent consecutively for more
than 3 to 6 months and if it is proved in the
departmental enquiry, management dismisses the
worker as a punishment for remaining continuous
absent for a long period (see specimen copies of
written warning latter, final warning latter, showcause notice, charge-sheet under suspension and dismissal order in the appendix.)

It was reported that in practice the factory management tries to avoid to dismiss the workers on humanitarian grounds and taking into consideration the tactors such as age of the worker concerned, his character, family responsibilities of the worker, whether he nimself is shareholder of the factory or whether he is son of the shareholder and whether he is involved in other social service activities.

Thus, this chapter nas dealt with the extent of absenteeism and procedure adopted to deal with absentee workers in Daulat Snetkari Sahakari Sakhar Karknana Ltd; Halkarni. Against this background the next chapter is devoted to present and analyse tne data regarding the persona1, socio-economic background of the respondents and their attitude towards their work.

