

APPENDIX A

LEMMA A . 1 : A 2^{k-p} design of even resolution $R = 2l$ must contain at least

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k-1}{l-1} \quad (A.1)$$

runs.

PROOF : In the design matrix, let $X_1^{(1)}, \dots, X_k^{(1)}$ be the column vectors associated with the k main effects, $X_1^{(2)}, \dots, X_k^{(2)}$ be the column vectors associated with the $\binom{k}{2}$ two-factor interactions, . . . , let $X_1^{(l-1)}, \dots, X_k^{(l-1)}$ be the column vectors associated with the $\binom{k}{l-1}$ $(l-1)^{th}$ -factor interactions and the matrix X be given by,

$$X = \left[X_1^{(1)}, \dots, X_k^{(1)}, X_1^{(2)}, \dots, X_{\binom{k}{2}}^{(2)}, \dots, X_1^{(l-1)}, \dots, X_{\binom{k}{l-1}}^{(l-1)} \right]$$

Let $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{\binom{k-1}{l-1}}$ represent the column vectors associated with the grand mean and the interactions of the first factor with the $\binom{k-1}{l-1}$ $(l-1)^{th}$ factor interactions which do not include the first factor. Let the matrix Z be given by,

$$Z = \left[Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_{\binom{k-1}{l-1}} \right].$$

The columns of the matrix $\left[X, Z \right]$ are orthogonal to each other and linearly independent.

Suppose the design contains $N \leq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k-1}{l-1}$ runs. Let $s = N - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i}$. Then select any vectors W_1, \dots, W_s such that the matrix $\left[X, W \right]$ is of rank N .

$\Rightarrow N \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i}$. Since $[X \ W]$ is of full rank N there exist matrices H_1 and H_2 such that $Z = XH_1 + WH_2$.

The model for underlying design is, $Y = X\beta_1 + W\beta_2 + Z\beta_3 + \epsilon$ where \underline{Y} is the $N \times 1$ vector of responses, $\beta_1 = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i} \times 1 \right)$ vector of parameters to be estimated and $\beta_2 = \left(N - \sum_{i=0}^H \binom{k}{i} \right) \times 1$, $\beta_3 = \left(\binom{k-l}{l-1} \times 1 \right)$ vectors of parameters not to be estimated. Since $E(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_1 = JY$ for some matrix J , we have

$$EJY = JEY = J(X\beta_1 + W\beta_2 + Z\beta_3) = \beta_1$$

$$\Rightarrow JX = I, JW = 0, \& JZ = 0.$$

$$\text{But } JZ = J(XH_1 + WH_2)$$

$$JZ = JXH_1 + JWH_2$$

$$JZ = H_1 + 0H_2 = H_1$$

$\Rightarrow H_1$ must have zero, therefore $Z = WH_2$. But there are more linearly independent Z 's than W 's, which contradicts the assumption $N \leq \sum_{i=0}^H \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k-1}{l-1}$. □

THEOREM A .1 : Let $N = 2^{k-p}$, let H be the largest integer such that $N \geq \sum_{i=1}^H \binom{k}{i}$ and let I be the indicator function. Then

$$R_{max} \leq 1 + 2H + I \left[N \geq \sum_{i=0}^H \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k-1}{H} \right]. \quad (A.2)$$

PROOF : Suppose $R_{max} = 1 + 2l$ is odd. Then the parameters estimated include the grand mean, the k main effects, the $\binom{k}{2}$ two-factor interaction, ..., and the $\binom{k}{l}$ l -factor interactions. This requires a mini-

mum of $1 + \binom{k}{1} + \binom{k}{2} + \dots + \binom{k}{l} = \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{k}{i} \leq N$ runs. Then $l \leq H$ and $R_{max} = 1 + 2l \leq 1 + 2H$ so that (A.2) holds. Now suppose $R_{max} = 2l$ is even. From the definition of H we have $H \geq l - 1$. If $H = l - 1$, then $R_{max} = 2l = 2 + 2H$ and (A.2) is satisfied since $N \geq \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i} + \binom{k-1}{l-1}$ by the preceding lemma. If $H \geq l$ then $R_{max} = 2l \leq 2H$ and (A.2) holds.

□

APPENDIX B

LEMMA B.1

$n(O) = 2^{p-1}$, $n(E) = 2^{p-1} - 1$, where $n(O)$ & $n(E)$ are defined in (4.1).

PROOF

Consider a set $\phi = \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$, $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s < p + 1$. There are $2^p - 1$ such subsets. Let S denote the set of entire collection of these subsets.

Let s be an odd number. Clearly there are 2^{p-s} members of S which intersect ϕ in only i_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ and no other members of ϕ . Similarly there are $\binom{s}{3}$ possible triplets $\{i_{j_1}, i_{j_2}, i_{j_3}\} \subset \phi$ and for each of these triplets there are exactly 2^{p-s} members of S which intersects ϕ in this triplet and no other members of ϕ . Continuing in a similar manner, for $i_{j_1}, i_{j_2}, \dots, i_{j_s} \in \phi$ there are 2^{p-s} sets in S which intersect ϕ in $\{i_{j_1}, i_{j_2}, \dots, i_{j_s}\}$ and no other members of ϕ . The totality of these members give the number of symbols in O and is given as,

$$n(O) = \binom{s}{1} 2^{p-s} + \binom{s}{3} 2^{p-s} + \dots + \binom{s}{s} 2^{p-s}$$

$$= 2^{p-s} \left[\binom{s}{1} + \binom{s}{3} + \dots \binom{s}{s} \right] \quad (B.1)$$

We know the Binomial Series,

$$\left[\binom{s}{0} + \binom{s}{1} + \dots \binom{s}{s} \right] = 2^s \quad (B.2)$$

If s is an odd then, we have

$$\binom{s}{1} = \binom{s}{s-1}, \binom{s}{3} = \binom{s}{s-2}, \dots \binom{s}{s} = \binom{s}{s-s} \quad (B.3)$$

(B.2) can be written as

$$\left[\binom{s}{1} + \binom{s}{3} + \dots \binom{s}{s} \right] + \left[\binom{s}{0} + \binom{s}{2} + \dots \binom{s}{s-1} \right] = 2^s$$

Then (B.3) \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left[\binom{s}{1} + \binom{s}{3} + \dots \binom{s}{s} \right] &= 2^s \\ \left[\binom{s}{1} + \binom{s}{3} + \dots \binom{s}{s} \right] &= 2^{s-1} \end{aligned} \quad (B.4)$$

substituting (B.4) in (B.1), we get

$$n(O) = 2^{p-s} \{2^{s-1}\} = 2^{p-1}.$$

Since Cardinality of $S = \text{Cardinality of } E + \text{Cardinality of } O$ i.e.

$O \cup E = S$ and $\#S = 2^p - 1$, we have

$$n(E) = (2^p - 1) - (2^{p-1}) = 2^{p-1} - 1$$

□