## APPENDIX - 4

## MODE OF $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ (Chi-square) CALCULATION.

To test the hypothesis that "there is no significant difference between ONIDA and ORSON colour television sets regarding objective and subjective factors", which was preffered by their respective consumers, Chi-square test was applied and the mode of Chi-square calculation is as below:

For example : The table below shows the preferences obtained by ONIDA and ORSON to attractive appearance from their 100 customers each.

| Brands |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | Total |  |
| ONIDA | 26 | 24 | 12 | 17 | - | - | 79 |  |
| ORSON | 24 | 30 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 90 |  |
| Total | 50 | 54 | 22 | 27 | 8 | 8 | 169 |  |

Solution : To test the hypothesis that "there is no significant difference between ONIDA and ORSON colour TV sets regarding attractive appearance, Chi-square test is applied.

Weightages were allotted to the consumer preferences, $1,2,3, \ldots 6$ to $6,5,4, \ldots 1$, for example:

ONIDA $-26 \times 6=156,24 \times 5=120$.
ORSON : $24 \times 6=144,30 \times 5=150$.

| Brands | Preferences |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1st | 2nd | 3 rd | 4th | 5 th | 6th |  |
| ONIDA | 156 | 120 | 48 | 51 | -- | -- | 375 |
| ORSON | 144 | 150 | 40 | 30 | 16 | 8 | 388 |
| Total | 300 | 270 | 88 | 81 | 16 | 8 | 763 |

From the above observed preferences, expected preferences were computed by using the formula:

Expected preference $=\frac{\text { Total of row X Total of column }}{\text { Grand Total }}$
The expected preferences were obtained as follows:

## CNIDA

1. for first row, first column $=\frac{300 \times 375}{763}=147.44$
2. " " " 2nd " $=\frac{270 \times 375}{763}=132.70$
3. " " " 3rd " $=\frac{88 \times 375}{763}=43.25$
4.     * " $*$ th $\quad=\frac{81 \times 375}{763}=39.81$
5. " " " 5th " $"=\frac{16 \times 375}{763}=7.86$
6. " " " 6th " $=\frac{8 \times 375}{763}=3.93$
7. for second row, first column $=\frac{\frac{\text { ORSON }}{300 \times 388}}{763}=152.56$
8. " " " 2nd " $=\frac{270 \times 388}{763}=137.30$
9. " " " 3rd " $=\frac{88 \times 388}{763}=44.75$
10." " " 4th $\quad=\frac{81 \times 388}{763}=41.19$
11." " " 5th " $"=\frac{16 \times 388}{763}=8.14$
10. " " " 6th " $=\frac{8 \times 388}{763}=4.07$

Applying $X^{2}$ test:

Applying $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ test:

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} . \\ & \mathrm{No} . \end{aligned}$ | Observed (0) | Expected (E) | (0-E) | $(0-E)^{2}$ | $\frac{(0-E)}{E}{ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 156 | 147.44 | 8.56 | 73.27 | 0.497 |
| 2. | 120 | 132.70 | 12.70 | 161.29 | 1.216 |
| 3. | 48 | 43.25 | 4.75 | 22.56 | 0.522 |
| 4. | 51 | 39.81 | 11.19 | 125.22 | 3.146 |
| 5. | - | 7.86 | 7.86 | 61.78 | 7.860 |
| 6. | - | 3.93 | 3.93 | 15.45 | 3.930 |
| 7. | 144 | 152.56 | 8.56 | 73.27 | 0.480 |
| 8. | 150 | 137.30 | 12.70 | 161.29 | 1.175 |
| 9. | 40 | 44.75 | 4.75 | 22.56 | 0.504 |
| 10. | 30 | 41.19 | 11.19 | 125.22 | 3.040 |
| 11. | 16 8 | 8.14 | 7.86 | 61.78 | 7.590 |
| 12. | 8 | 4.07 | 3.93 | 15.45 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.796 \\ 33.756 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Degree of Freedom

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=(r-1)(c-1) \quad \text { where }: V=\text { degree of freedom, } \\
& V=(2-1)(6-1) \quad r=\text { rows, and } \\
& V=5 \\
& c=\text { colums. }
\end{aligned}
$$

for $V=5, X_{0.05}^{2}=11.070$
Here, 1. Calculated value of $X^{2}$, i.e. $\sum \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E}=33.756$, and
2. Table value of chi-square $\left(X^{2}\right)$ for 5 degrees of freedom at $5 \%$ level of significance is 11.070 .

Conclusion :
The calculated value of chi-square $\left(X^{2}\right)-(33.756)$ is greater than the table value of chi-square ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ ) for 5 degrees of freedom at $5 \%$ level of significance (11.070). Hence, the hypothesis is rejected and thus there is significant difference btween ONIDA and ORSON regarding attractive appearance.

